

CHRONICON PASCHALE

284 [p.510.18] Diocletian was 33rd Roman emperor for 20 years. In total, 5,814.

Diocletian, after being proclaimed on day 15 before Kalends of October [17 Sept.] in Chalcedon, entered Nicomedia on day 5 before Kalends of October [27 Sept.] [p.511] wearing the purple, and on Kalends of January [1 Jan.] he appeared in public as consul.¹

285 Indiction 4, year 1, the 2nd consulship of Diocletian Augustus and that of Aristobulus.

The regnal years of Diocletian in the Easter Tables are determined from these consuls.²

¹ 284 Introductory heading for new reign: a standard formula, enumerating emperors in sequence from Julius Caesar and adding their regnal length to the cumulative total of years since the Creation.

Diocletian's proclamation as Augustus: the accepted date and place are 20 Nov. at Nicomedia (anniversary distributions on 20 Nov. 299: Chester Beatty Panopolis papyrus, in *Papyri from Panopolis*, ed. T. C. Skeat, Dublin 1964, ii. 162, 170, *al.*; *vicennalia* at Rome on 20 Nov.: Lact. *DMP* 17.1); unless, for reasons unknown, Diocletian established 20 Nov. as the nominal date of his accession, the CP date has to be rejected. It is possible that an initial proclamation at Chalcedon was followed by formal accession at Nicomedia (Diocletian's principal residence for most of his reign), but CP's information on the deaths of Diocletian's predecessors Carus, Carinus, and Numerian is very confused and contributes to doubts about CP's reliability at this point.

For the chronology of changes in the imperial college between the accession of Diocletian and the death of Constantine, see Barnes, *NE* 3-8.

² 285 Easter Tables: the calculation of Easter, a movable Feast dependent on the date of the first full moon after the spring equinox, provided considerable scope for disagreement. Various attempts were made to establish a reliable cycle that would fix the date in advance and ensure the simultaneous celebration of this major festival of the Christian year. An important step was taken in the mid-3rd c. by the Egyptian Anatolius, bishop of Laodicea in Syria, who exploited a 19-year lunar cycle for the computation of Easter and probably produced a 95-year table (5 cycles) whose notional beginning was in 258. In order to place the equinox correctly on 21 March, a reform was undertaken at Alexandria in the early 4th c., and the revised cycle was notionally begun either in 303 or one cycle earlier in 284; the latter coincided with the regnal years of Diocletian which were used for dating purposes especially in Egypt, where the new cycle was adopted.

286 Indiction 5, year 2, consulship of Maximus and Aquilinus.

Olympiad 267³

287 Indiction 6, year 3, the 3rd consulship of Diocletian Augustus and that of Maximianus Herculus Augustus.

In this year Diocletian declared Maximianus Herculus partner in his reign, at the beginning of the third year of his reign, after he had spent the winter in Nicomedia.⁴

288 Indiction 7, year 4, the 2nd consulship of Maximianus Herculus and that of Januarius.⁵

289 Indiction 8, year 5, consulship of Bassus and Quintianus.

290 Indiction 9, year 6, the 4th consulship of Diocletian Augustus and the 3rd of Maximianus Herculus Augustus.

Olympiad 268

291 Indiction 10, year 7, consulship of Tiberianus and Dio.

292 [p.512] Indiction 11, year 8, consulship of Hannibalianus and Asclepiodotus.

293 Indiction 12, year 9, the 5th consulship of Diocletian Augustus and the 4th of Maximianus Herculus Augustus.

In this year Maximianus Jovius *nobilissimus* Caesar was adopted into the rule, as well as Constantius, at Nicomedia on day 12 before Kalends of June [21 May].⁶

294 Indiction 13, year 10, consulship of Constantius Caesar and Maximianus Jovius Caesar.

See further Grumel, *Chronologie* pt. I, ch. 3, Richard, 'Comput', and cf. *s.a.* 344 for an Easter computation that includes Diocletian's regnal years.

³ Olympiads: these strictly began in mid-summer and could not correspond exactly to consular-calendar years. Olympiad 267 began in summer 289: down to Olympiad 273 (placed before AD 312) Olympiads are inserted two years early, thereafter one year early. For indictions (which ran from 1 Sept. to 31 Aug.), see n. 30.

⁴ 287 Maximian: proclaimed Caesar on 21 July 285, he was in fact created Augustus early in 286, probably on 1 April (*Hyd. s.a.* 286); cf. Theoph. 6. 18-19. Maximianus' divine name Herculus reflected his status as the agent of Diocletian Jovius.

⁵ 288 *cos. post.*: Januarianus.

⁶ 293 Galerius (Maximianus Jovius) and Constantius: cf. Theoph. 7. 1-2; both were probably created Caesar (in east and west respectively) on 1 March, Galerius at Sirmium and Constantius at Milan (Barnes, *NE* 60-2).

Olympiad 269

295 Indiction 14, year 11, consulship of Tuscus and Anullinus.

In year 267 from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord⁷ and under the aforementioned consuls, St. Menas was martyred at Cotyaeum in Phrygia Salutaris, on Athyr 15, day 3 before Ides of November [11 Nov.].⁸

296 Indiction 15, year 12, the 6th consulship of Diocletian Augustus and the 2nd of Constantius Caesar.

297 Indiction 1, year 13, the 5th consulship of Maximianus Herculus and the 2nd of Maximianus Jovius.

The Persians were soundly defeated by Constantius and Maximianus Jovius.⁹

[p.513] In year 269 from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord and under the aforementioned consuls, St. Gelasinus was martyred at the Heliopolitan city in Libanensis. This man was second mime and, while a public theatrical contest was being held and the populace was watching, the other mimes threw him into a great tub from the bath-house, full of warm water, in mockery of the doctrine of the Christians and the holy baptism. And the same Gelasinus, the second mime, after being baptized and coming up from the tub, clad in white robes, no longer tolerated being on stage, saying, 'I am a Christian; for I saw an awesome glory in the tub, and I will die a Christian.' And when they heard this the people who were watching in the theatre of the Heliopolitan city were exceedingly enraged; and they rushed from the seats onto the stage, forced St. Gelasinus out of the

⁷ 295 Dating from Ascension: used for Christian material, cf. *s.a.* 297. Both these Ascension dates place the Crucifixion in AD 29, at variance with the location of the Crucifixion in CP in *a.m.* 5540 (AD 31); this suggests that the dates were derived from a source, probably that responsible for the Egyptian date at the end of the notice.

⁸ Menas: an Egyptian martyred at Alexandria (hence the Egyptian month Athyr), whose cult only became widespread in the 5th c. His association with Cotyaeum in Phrygia is plausibly connected with the career of the Egyptian Cyrus, native of Panopolis where the cult of Menas was popular; Cyrus, consul, praetorian and urban prefect under Theodosius II, was relegated to Cotyaeum as bishop c.441-2. See P. Peeters, *Orient et Byzance: le tréfonds oriental de l'hagiographie byzantine* (Subsidia Hagiographica xxvi, 1950), 32-41; for Cyrus, CP *s.a.* 450 with n. 261.

⁹ 297 Persian defeat: CP has two separate notices (cf. n. 11) which mention different victors; this suggests the use of two sources. Constantius was in Gaul and was not involved. Galerius (Maximianus Jovius) was defeated by the Persians in 297, but conquered them in 298 (Eutropius ix. 24-5; Barnes, CE 17-18, NE 63).

theatre still wearing his white robes, and stoned and slaughtered him, and so the just man died. And his kinsmen took his corpse and carried it away to the village called Mariamme from which he originated, outside Heliopolis, and there they built a chapel for him.¹⁰

In the time of the same consuls, the Persians were defeated by Maximianus Herculus Augustus.¹¹

298 [p.514] Indiction 2, year 14, consulship of Anicius Faustus and Severus Gallus.¹²

Olympiad 270

299 Indiction 3, year 15, the 7th consulship of Diocletian Augustus and the 6th of Maximianus Herculus Augustus.

300 Indiction 4, year 16, the 3rd consulship of Constantius Caesar and the 3rd of Maximianus Jovius.

Peter was 16th leader of the Alexandrian church for 12 years. Since he conducted himself with considerable rigour in asceticism and openly concerned himself with the community of the churches, in year 9 of the persecution and year 16 of the reign of Diocletian, he was beheaded and adorned with the crown of martyrdom, together with innumerable others as well who were martyred in many cities.¹³

301 Indiction 5, year 17, consulship of Tatianus and Nepotianus.

302 Indiction 6, year 18, the 4th consulship of Constantius Caesar and the 4th of Maximianus Jovius Caesar.

In this year the military bread was granted at Alexandria by Diocletian.¹⁴

¹⁰ Gelasinus: cf. *Mal.* 314. 12-315. 11 (undated). According to Malalas, Mariamme was located half a mile outside Damascus. See further W. Weisman, 'Gelasinos von Heliopolis, ein Schauspieler-Märtyrer', *AB* xciii, 1975, 39-66.

¹¹ Defeat of Persians: cf. *Hyd.* (without name of victor). Maximianus Augustus was in Africa and was not involved; cf. n. 9.

¹² 298 *cos. post.*: Virius Gallus.

¹³ 300 Peter of Alexandria: patriarch 300-11; cf. *Euseb. HE* vii. 32. 31, also ix. 6. 2. Peter was martyred in 311 (the ninth year from the resumption of persecution in 303), but the regnal year of Diocletian relates to Peter's inauguration (not his death).

¹⁴ 302 Bread distributions: the expression *καστρήσιος ἄρτος* is odd (literally 'camp' or 'military bread'; possibly by extension 'imperial' or 'official'), but *Scal.* 198 (*CM* i. 290) attests the donation to Alexandria of *castrisius* (*panis* is omitted, presumably by mistake). On distributions, see Carrié, 'Distributions' 1078-80. There is one reference to distributions in mid-3rd c. Alexandria (*Euseb. HE* vii. 21. 9). Action by Diocletian is confirmed by Procopius (*SH* 26. 41), who states that he decreed that the treasury should give a large amount of grain to the poor

Olympiad 271

303 Indiction 7, year 19, the 8th consulship of Diocletian Augustus and the 7th of Maximianus Herculius.

[p.515] In year 19 of the reign of Diocletian, on day 25 in the month Dystrus (this would be called March according to the Romans), on the day of the Feast of Easter, imperial edicts were everywhere published, commanding that the churches be razed to the foundations and the divine Scriptures be obliterated by fire, and proclaiming that those in possession of office and dignity should be demoted, while ordinary citizens, if they persisted in the persuasion of Christianity, should be deprived of freedom. Shortly afterwards other edicts were promulgated which commanded that the leaders of the churches, all of them in every place, should first be consigned to chains, then subsequently be compelled by every means to sacrifice; and so as a result of this, many met their end after resolutely contending in diverse ways, but many were weakened and succumbed through cowardice after first making their souls insensible.¹⁵

In the same year many men everywhere, as we have said, met their end after resolutely contending; and no less too at the Nicomedian city, in which the emperor was residing, Dorotheus and Gorgonius met their end at that time, together with many others from the imperial retinue, and a great choir of martyrs was manifested together. And not long afterwards Anthimus too, bishop of the same Nicomedian church was beheaded and met his end; others perished by fire and more indeed were cast into the sea, [p.516] since the executioners were not adequate to suffice for such a very great innumerable throng. Concerning this innumerable throng of martyrs the presbyter Lucian, writing to the Antiochenes, declared, 'The whole choir of martyrs jointly sends you greetings. I bring you good news that Father Anthimus has met his end in the race of martyrdom.' And as regards Nicomedia these things happened, and yet more than these.¹⁶

of Alexandria each year; the grant would have been associated with the visit by Diocletian in winter 301/2.

¹⁵ 303 Edicts of persecution: cf. Theoph. 10. 5-9, Euseb. HE viii. 2. 4-3. 1; also Lact. *DMP* 12-15. The first edict was published at Nicomedia on 24 Feb., but arrived in Palestine as Easter was approaching (18 April, not 25 March). By directing their attacks against Christian leaders, the authorities hoped to disorganize and undermine the church while avoiding mass persecutions.

¹⁶ Dorotheus, Gorgonius, and Anthimus: cf. Euseb. *HE* viii. 6. 1, 5-6; *CP* is the only evidence for Lucian's letter to the Antiochenes, and preserves the sole

304 Indiction 8, year 20, the 9th consulship of Diocletian Augustus and the 8th of Maximianus Herculus.

Maximianus Herculus was co-emperor with Diocletian, and gave 8 consular donatives. The same Maximianus was emperor for 18 years. And together with Diocletian these two created two Caesars, Diocletian his own son-in-law Galerius Maximianus (for he had Diocletian's daughter Valeria in marriage), while Herculus Maximianus himself too made his own son-in-law Caesar: for Constantius married Theodora the step-daughter of Maximianus Herculus, by whom his children were Delmatius, Constantius, and Hannibalianus. For Constantine, who was emperor after Diocletian and his partners, was son to this Constantius by another union, with Helena.

As has been said then, Diocletian and Herculus Maximianus were emperors together, Diocletian of Rome and Herculus [p.517] Maximianus of the Celts. Subsequently Diocletian and Herculus Maximianus, after laying down their sceptres in their lifetime, have given the empire to their individual Caesars, Herculus Maximianus gave the empire to Constantius and Diocletian to Galerius Maximianus. Constantius died after being Celtic emperor for 13 years, and his son Constantine, the bastard whom he had by Helena, succeeded him; for the children borne to him by Theodora were infants. Galerius Maximianus while he was emperor of Rome created two Caesars, Maximinus in the east and in Italy Severus. But the Romans on their own authority set up as emperor Maxentius, the son of Herculus Maximianus. And Galerius Maximianus dispatched Severus the Caesar to kill Maxentius; and Severus was killed in battle. And after being emperor for 16 years Galerius, when he was dying, made Licinius emperor in the east. And so there existed four emperors, Constantine of the Celts, of Rome Maxentius son of Herculus Maximianus, of the east Licinius, and Maximinus who still remained Caesar. But Constantine killed Maxentius in battle, and thus he was sole emperor of the west. And Maximinus, after campaigning against Licinius, made a truce with him so that Licinius retired from the empire. And thus Constantine, on becoming sole emperor, founded Byzantium, after receiving an oracle that the empire of Rome was about to perish, and became a Christian. He was emperor for 31 years, 10

quotation from any of his letters, see G. Bardy, *Recherches sur Saint Lucien d'Antioche et son école* (Paris 1936), 82-5. Other evidence about Lucian (c.240-311/12) can plausibly be traced back to Philostorgius (see *HE* pp. 184-201 Winkelman); he was an important teacher, with Arius and leading exponents of Arian doctrine among those influenced by his works.

months. [p.518] And his children were Constantius, Constans, and Constantine. But the troops of Constans killed Constantine, while one Magnentius, a usurper, killed Constans himself. So Constantius was sole emperor, and he made Caesar Gallus, the son of his uncle Constantius, the brother of Delmatius the son of Theodora, making him Caesar in the east.¹⁷

The most sacred and faithful Constantine the Great, the son of Constantius, was 34th Roman emperor from day 8 before Kalends of August [25 July], for 31 years and 10 months.¹⁸

In total, 5,846.

305 Indiction 9, year 1, the 5th consulship of Constantius Caesar and the 5th of Maximianus Jovius.

When Constantine began his reign from the western regions, he had as joint emperors with him the aforementioned, Constantius, Galerius, Maximinus, and Flavius Valerius Severus and Maxentius – Constantius and Severus until the second year of his reign, Maxentius until the seventh year of his reign, and Galerius, Valerius, and Maximinus until the ninth year of his reign.¹⁹

306 Indiction 10, year 2, the 6th consulship of Constantius Caesar and the 6th of Maximianus Jovius.

Constantius, father of Constantine the great emperor, [p.519] died after being emperor for 13 years, and Maxentius was proclaimed.²⁰

¹⁷ 304 Survey of imperial succession: cf. Theoph. 10. 18-11. 8, 11. 33-12. 4. Diocletian: Aug. (based in east) 284-305; Maximian: Aug. (based in west) 286-305; Galerius: Caes. 293-305, Aug. 305-11; Constantius: Caes. 293-305, Aug. 305-6; Constantine: Aug. 306-37; Maximinus (Daia): Caes. 305-10, Aug. 310-13; Severus: Caes. 305, Aug. 306-7; Licinius: Aug. 308-24. For clear tables of the various imperial colleges, see Barnes, *NE* 4-8 (plus 12-13 for the usurper Maxentius); other references to Constantine's illegitimacy, *ibid.* 36.

CP's summary of events following Galerius' death in 311 (after an 18-year reign) is confused: Maximinus (Daia) committed suicide at Tarsus in mid-313 after being defeated by Licinius at Adrianopolis (cf. *CP s.a.* 310/11); in 324 Licinius abdicated in favour of Constantine (who had just won three victories against him).

¹⁸ Proclamation of Constantine: 25 July 306. In *CP* the consular year 310 is omitted, which helps to compensate for the 2-year antedating of Constantine's proclamation. The regnal length is correct.

¹⁹ 305 Imperial college: probably the author's own confused extrapolations from the previous survey (*s.a.* 304); such summaries of imperial colleges are characteristic, cf. *s.a.* 337 with n. 75.

²⁰ 306 Constantius' death: this was at once followed by the proclamation at York of Constantine (cf. n. 18), and by that of Maxentius at Rome (28 Oct. 306).

Olympiad 272

307 Indiction 11, year 3, sole consulship of Novius Constantine Augustus.²¹

Maximianus Jovius died after being emperor for 14 years.²²

Licinius was proclaimed at Carnuntum on day 3 before Ides of November [11 Nov.].²³

308 Indiction 12, year 4, consulship again for the tenth time, and the 7th of Maximianus Galerius.

309 Indiction 13, year 5, the 8th consulship of Maximianus Herculus and that of Galerius Maximus.

The most magnificent martyrs of Christ, shining out over the whole world, naturally astounded those men everywhere who were spectators of their courage, while they made manifest through themselves proofs of the truly ineffable power of our saviour Jesus Christ; it is impossible for a mortal to mention each of them by name. Of the ecclesiastical leaders who were martyred in famous cities, first let there be proclaimed by us on the monuments of pious men as martyr of Christ's kingdom the bishop of the Nicomedian city who was mentioned a little earlier, Anthimus. Of those in Antioch, Lucian, for all his life the excellent presbyter of the diocese there, himself too in Nicomedia [p.520] when the emperor was present, after proclaiming the heavenly kingdom of Christ first by word in his defence speech, then also through deeds, died by the furnace. Of those in Phoenicia, Tyrannion bishop of Tyre, Zenobius presbyter of the church in Sidon, Silvanus bishop of Emesa, in extreme old age after being bishop for forty years, became food for beasts with a great choir of martyrs; and of those in Palestine, Silvanus bishop

²¹ 307–11 Consuls: there are considerable divergences in the records for these years, which reflect the shifting allegiances in the struggle for power in the western part of the empire: see Bagnall, *Consuls* 148–57. But *CP* has added its own errors to this confused picture: Novius Constantine (307) is a garbled version of the Latin record (*Chr.* 354; *Hyd.*) of a ninth consulship (*novies*) for Maximian, in association with Constantine for the latter part of the year; the phrase 'again for the tenth time' (308) refers to a tenth consulship for Diocletian, which in the Latin texts is recorded simply as X (=10), without Diocletian's name, or *item* X ('similarly 10'); the consuls of 309 (Licinius Aug. & Constantine Caesar) and 311 (8th of Galerius Maximianus Aug. & 2nd of Maximinus Aug.) have been inverted, and those of 310 (Tatius Andronicus & Pompeius Probus were accepted in the east) omitted altogether.

²² 307 Death of Maximianus Jovius: the author of *CP* is unaware that this was the dynastic name of Galerius, who died in early May 311 (recorded by *CP s.a.* 312). Severus died in 307.

²³ Licinius' proclamation: 11 Nov. 308, cf. *Hyd.*

of Gaza was beheaded along with others, 40 in number, and the Egyptian bishops Peleus and Nilus, with others, died by fire.²⁴

310/11 Indiction 14, year 6, the 2nd consulship of Constantine Augustus and that of Licinius.²⁵

Constantine, an emperor pious above emperors and most moderate in all things, son of Constantius, possessing assurance from God the king of all and his only-begotten son our Lord Jesus Christ, when he moved against the most impious usurpers Maximinus Galerius and Maxentius, the sign of the Cross, radiant in the heaven, was seen by him as he set out to do battle against them, with this in radiant Roman letters in its middle and lower part: 'Conquer in this.' And in clear manifestation Maxentius fell at Rome, drowned in the [p.521] river Tiber at the Muluvian bridge after being emperor for 6 years,²⁶ while Galerius Maximinus, when he had been defeated by Licinius, died a fugitive in Cilicia after being emperor for 9 years; he wasted his great army since he was a usurper of low birth.²⁷

Olympiad 273

312 Indiction 15, year 7, the 3rd consulship of Constantine Augustus and the 2nd of Licinius.

Constantine, victorious, venerable, Augustus, who first cared for the salvation of the Christians oppressed at Rome, taking God as his heavenly ally and invoking Him by prayers, went out with his whole army to court the ancestral freedom of the Romans. With all joy and gladness, together with mere infants and wives of the men of the senate and of the other most distinguished people, along with the whole Roman populace, their eyes and their very souls bright, they welcomed him as redeemer, saviour, and benefactor, with acclamations and utmost joy. But he, possessed of piety towards God as if it were

²⁴ 309 Martyrs: cf. *Euseb. HE* viii. 13. 1-5 and ix. 6. 1, 3. Anthimus was martyred in 303 at the start of Diocletian's persecution (cf. *CP s.a.* 303, p. 515. 19-21), Lucian (cf. n. 16) and the others by Maximinus Daia in 311-12.

²⁵ 310/11 Consuls: Licinius Augustus and Constantine Caesar were nominated in 309 by Galerius, and this (combined with the inversion of the consuls of 311 and 309, see n. 21) probably lies behind *CP*'s record; after this year *CP* assigns one more consulship to Constantine than other lists, which either ignore the disputed consulship of 307 or discount the Galerian arrangements in 309.

²⁶ Defeat of Maxentius: for Constantine's vision and campaign in 312, which culminated in the battle of the Milvian bridge, see Barnes, *CE* 42-3.

²⁷ Maximinus (Daia): defeated by Licinius in Thrace in 313, he then fled east to Tarsus in Cilicia where he committed suicide, see Barnes, *CE* 62-4.

innate, was neither agitated at all by the shouts nor exalted by the praises, since he recognized full well the help from God. He immediately ordered that there be set up in one of the most public places in Rome a trophy of the saving Passion in the hand of his own statue, holding the saving sign in the right hand, [p.522] and he commanded that an inscription be inserted on it in these words in the Roman tongue: 'By this saving sign, true proof of courage, I have liberated your city which is saved from the yoke of slavery of the usurper Maxentius. And furthermore, after liberating the senate and Roman people, I restored them to their ancient distinction and splendour.'²⁸

Galerius Maximianus, while living in the east, was attacked by a most grievous illness, his body wasting away and sprouting worms; after being treated by innumerable practitioners and receiving no benefit at all, he issued laws not only that Christians be released but also that churches be built.²⁹

*From here is the start of Constantinian indictions.*³⁰

313 Indiction 1, year 8, the 4th consulship of Constantine Augustus and the 3rd of Licinius.

Metrophanes was first leader of the church in Byzantium for 10 years.³¹

314 Indiction 2, year 9, consulship of Volusianus and Anianus.

315 Indiction 3, year 10, the 5th consulship of Constantine Augustus and the 4th of Licinius.

²⁸ 312 Constantine's triumph: the same victory as recorded under 310/11 above; cf. Euseb. *HE* ix. 9. 2, 9-11. For his arrival in Rome, and for the statue which was erected in Maxentius' new basilica in the Forum, see Barnes, *CE* 44-7.

²⁹ Galerius' illness and edict in favour of Christians: cf. Euseb. *HE* viii. 16. 4-17. 1 (fuller version), and see Barnes, *CE* 39. He died in April/May 311.

³⁰ Indictions: the new 15-year cycle, originally devised for tax assessment, began in the eastern provinces on 1 Sept. 312 (for the evidence: Jones, *LRE* 1077 n. 43), and was probably the consequence of the major census exercise initiated by Galerius before his death. All earlier references in *CP* to indiction years are calculated from the spurious association of the start of indictions with the 'first' Roman emperor Julius Caesar (*CP* p. 355. 14-18).

³¹ 313 Metrophanes: bishop 306/7-14. Cedrenus (i. 477. 3-4) describes him as the fourth bishop and dates his inauguration (wrongly) to Constantine's 9th year. Metrophanes is unlikely to have been the first bishop, but from his episcopate there was a continuous succession: see Dvornik, *Apostolicity* 156-8.

[p.523]

Olympiad 274

316 Indiction 4, year 11, consulship of Sabinus and Rufinus.

Constantine the Augustus proclaimed his son Constantine as Caesar.³²

Galerius Maximianus, who was oppressed by terrible dropsy, died at Salona under these consuls.³³

317 Indiction 5, year 12, consulship of Gallicanus and Symmachus.³⁴

Constantine Augustus proclaimed as Caesars his sons Constans, Constantius, and Crispus on Kalends of March [1 March].³⁵

318 Indiction 6, year 13, the 5th consulship of Licinius and that of Crispus Caesar.

319 Indiction 7, year 14, the 6th consulship of Constantine Augustus and the 5th of Licinius.³⁶

Since heavenly light shone down on the churches of the saviour Christ throughout the entire world, when in every place the buildings which had been torn down by the usurpers were completed from the foundations to immense height, not only were there inauguration ceremonies but also the emperors provided continual legislation on behalf of Christians.³⁷

[p.524]

Olympiad 275

320 Indiction 8, year 15, the 7th consulship of Constantine Augustus and that of Constantius Caesar.³⁸

32 316 Proclamation of Constantine Caesar: 1 March 317 (*Hyd.*).

33 Death of Galerius: the fatal illness is recorded *s.a.* 312. Though 'terrible dropsy' fits Galerius' disease, he died in Dardania, not at Salona in Dalmatia. This suggests that there has been some confusion with Diocletian's death at Salona, which the majority of sources date to 316 (though 313 is probably correct: see Lact. *DMP* ed. Moreau vol. ii. 421-3); Barnes, *NE* 31-2, prefers 311/12.

34 317 *cos. post.*: Caesonius Bassus; the consuls of 330 were a different Gallicanus and Symmachus.

35 Caesars: on 1 March 317, Constantine's sons Crispus and Constantine were proclaimed (cf. n. 32), along with Licinius' infant son Licinius (*Hyd.*); Constantius was in fact proclaimed on 8 Nov. 324, Constans on 25 Dec. 333 (*Hyd. ss.aa.*).

36 319 *cos. post.*: Licinius Caesar; several consular lists confuse the infant Caesar with his father, see Bagnall, *Consuls* 172-3.

37 Favours for Christians: cf. Euseb. *HE* x. 2. 1-2.

38 320 *cos. post.*: Constantine Caesar (also 321, 324, 329).

321 Indiction 9, year 16, the 2nd consulship of Crispus and the 2nd of Constantius.

322 Indiction 10, year 17, consulship of Probianus and Julianus.

323 Indiction 11, year 18, consulship of Severus and Rufinus.

Alexander was second leader of the church in Byzantium for 7 years.³⁹

Olympiad 276

324 Indiction 12, year 19, the 3rd consulship of Crispus and the 3rd of Constantius.

The creator of kindred souls and the merciful saviour who shone out over all went before Constantine together with his son Crispus, and prepared the encirclement of the regions which Licinius possessed; and that man was confined on every side, and being slain obtained an end such as he himself saw and heard had befallen those who had briefly been usurpers before him.⁴⁰

325⁴¹ Indiction 13, year 20, consulship of Paulinus and Julianus.

In year 295 from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord and year 20 of the reign of Constantine the pious, the same celebrated emperor, supremely entrusted by God with piety, in the [p.525] present year 20 of his reign, on day 19 in the month Daisius [June] prepared for a synod of 318 holy fathers to take place in Nicaea and for the symbol of the blameless faith to be defined. Wherefore, God the Lord of the universe also made him victorious over all by the prayers of the holy and blessed fathers who were assembled in the same synod. For Arius with perverted mind did not shudder, the accursed man, to separate and distinguish the ineffable and indivisible unity of the Godhead of the Father and Son.⁴²

³⁹ 323 Alexander: bishop 314–37, probably the 5th (cf. n. 31).

⁴⁰ 324 Campaign against Licinius: cf. Euseb. *HE* x. 9. 4–5. Constantine attacked and defeated Licinius three times in 324, twice by land and once by sea; Licinius abdicated in return for the sparing of his life, but in spring 325 he was executed for ‘plotting’, see Barnes, *CE* 76–7, 214.

This is the last notice that can be traced (indirectly) to Euseb. *HE*, which was published in 325/6.

⁴¹ 325 The information in this year is a mixture of wrongly-dated chronicle material and ecclesiastical information whose precise provenance cannot be identified.

⁴² Synod of Nicaea: the Nicene creed, promulgated on 19 June 325, condemned the Arian doctrine which attempted to deny the full equality of God the Father and God the Son; see Chadwick, *Church* 129–31, and ch. 9 for the subsequent

The most pious Constantine proclaimed as Augustus his son Constans, who was Caesar, on day 6 before Ides of November [8 Nov.],⁴³ and he celebrated the twentieth anniversary of his reign and held his *vicennalia* in Rome with exceeding brilliance and lavishness, and he cancelled the taxation of craftsmen and tributaries during the year of the same twentieth anniversary, and gave many gifts to the churches everywhere.⁴⁴

Constantine, victorious Augustus, after smashing the battle of Adrianopolis on day 5 before Kalends of July [27 June], and being victorious in the Chalcedonian battle on day 14 before Kalends of October [18 Sept.],⁴⁵ killed his son Crispus who was Caesar and had been maligned to him.⁴⁶

In the same year Constantine, sole-ruling emperor of the entire Roman realm, overturned all the idols everywhere and took away all their wealth and possessions, and honoured all the churches of Christ and all the Christians.

[p.526] At this time he campaigned against the enemies of the faith of the Christians and carried off victory by prayer. Wherefore, he has also inaugurated Sunday for the conversion of

controversy. The council had probably begun its meetings in early June; Barnes, *CE* ch. 12, esp. 212ff. The precise number of bishops present is unknown, but by the late 4th c. the number 318 (symbolic, as equivalent to the servants of Abraham at Genesis 14. 14) had become accepted: see G. Bardy in Fliche and Martin, *Église* iii. 81-2.

Ascension date: calculated from AD 31; cf. *ss.aa.* 381, 431, 452, for this method of dating church councils.

⁴³ Constans: Caesar on 25 Dec. 333, Augustus on 9 Sept. 337 (*Hyd. ss.aa.*); Constantius was created Caesar on 8 Nov. 324 (*Hyd.*). *CP* had already antedated the elevation of Constans and Constantius as Caesars to 317, and now seems to have adapted his source material to take account of this earlier error.

⁴⁴ *Vicennalia*: celebrations began in Nicomedia in 325 (Jerome, *Chron.*, p. 231. 10-11 Helm), and were continued in Rome in 326 (Jerome, *Hyd.*; in July: Barnes, *CE* 221). Important imperial anniversaries were regularly marked by donatives to officials and soldiers, which were financed in part by the *collatio lustralis*, a tax on artisans and the professions (see Hendy, *Economy* 175-8, also 192-201); Constantine's remission of taxation is an unusual extension of this munificence.

⁴⁵ Adrianopolis battle: Constantine defeated Licinius on 3 July 324, i.e. 5 days before Nones (not Kalends) of July: cf. *Hyd.* with correct date; this was followed by a decisive naval engagement in the Bosphorus on 18 Sept., cf. *s.a.* 324.

⁴⁶ Crispus: accused of sexual misconduct, he was tried by Constantine and executed in summer 326. The precise circumstances are unclear, but the accusation was probably designed to remove the heir apparent and open the succession for the sons of Constantine's current wife Fausta (whose machinations may soon have been revealed, resulting in her suicide); see Barnes, *CE* 220-1.

the pagans throughout the lands in honour of Christ our God, saviour for all men.⁴⁷

The years from the foundation of the world to the twentieth anniversary of Constantine Augustus are as follows:

From creation of Adam until the Flood, 2262.

From the Flood to Abraham, 1070.

From birth of Abraham until year 80 of Moses, 505.

From year 81 of Moses, that is from the Exodus of the children of Israel, until year 3 of Labdon, in which Troy was captured, 404.

From year 4 of Labdon to the 2nd year of Solomon, in which began the first construction of the temple, 226.

From year 1 of Solomon until year 50 of Ozios, that is the first Olympiad, 264.

From beginning of the first Olympiad until year 6 of Darius, son of Hystaspes, in which was completed the second building of the temple, 248.

From year 7 inclusive of the said Darius until year 15 of Tiberius, that is of the saving baptism, 557.

[p.527] From year 16 of Tiberius, that is from year 2 inclusive of Olympiad 202, until the twentieth anniversary of Constantine the great emperor and year 2 of Olympiad 276, 297.

In total from creation of the world until the twentieth anniversary of Constantine, 5833 years.⁴⁸

⁴⁷ Anti-pagan acts: Eusebius (*Triac.* 8, VC iii. 54) records confiscations of temple treasures; for this, and pro-Christian measures, see Barnes, *CE* 210-12, 246-9, Jones, *LRE* 89-93.

Sunday observance: for the law of 321, see Barnes, *CE* 51-2, Jones, *LRE* 81.

⁴⁸ *Annus mundi*: CP has a similar calculation under year 15 of Tiberius, the year of Christ's baptism (pp. 403. 13-404. 5), although with some differences in the intermediate points of calculation (Adam, Flood, Flight from Egypt, Construction of Temple in year 4 of Solomon, Cyrus king of Persia, Alexander of Macedon), while the total of years from Creation to Christ's baptism is given as 5537, not 5536 as here.

The framework for both calculations can plausibly be traced back to Eusebius, who was concerned to link Hebrew and Graeco-Roman history, and who may (ultimately) be responsible for the location of this survey in CP. Eusebius' *HE* and *Chronicle* both terminated in Constantine's 20th year (325/6), and the *Chronicle* probably concluded with a chronological survey, since an earlier version of the work terminated (c.311) with a computation which is quoted in Eusebius' *Praeparatio Evangelica* x. 9. 1-11. This is basically similar to CP, although there are differences on specific points: thus for Eusebius there were only 408 years between the destruction of Troy in year 3 of Labdon (i.e. Abdon, judge of Israel before Samson) and the first Olympiad in year 50 of Ozios

326 Indiction 14, year 21, the 9th consulship of Constantine Augustus and the 4th of Constantius Caesar.⁴⁹

327 Indiction 15, year 22, the 5th consulship of Constantius Caesar and that of Maximus.⁵⁰

The emperor Constantine, after refounding Drepanum in Bithynia in honour of the holy martyr Lucian, named it Helenopolis, with the same name as his mother, and in honour of the holy martyr Lucian he granted it to the present day immunity from taxation to the extent of the environs visible outside the city.⁵¹

Olympiad 277

328 Indiction 1, year 23, consulship of Januarius and Justus.⁵²

Constantine the pious crossed the Danube very many times, and made a bridge for it in stone.⁵³

In the time of the aforementioned consuls, Constantine the celebrated emperor departed from Rome and, while staying at Nicomedia metropolis of Bithynia, made visitations for a long (i.e. Uzziah, king of Judah and contemporary of the prophet Isaiah), and only 548 years between year 2 of Darius and year 15 of Tiberius. Eusebius placed the Crucifixion in Tiberius' 18th year (year 3 of Olympiad 202), 1 year earlier than in CP (19th year of Tiberius, year 4 of Olympiad 202). (Julius Africanus, the founding father of Christian chronology, had placed the Crucifixion in year 16 of Tiberius, year 2 of Olympiad 202, according Christ a ministry of only one year.) See further, Finegan, *Handbook* pt. I, sec. III, esp. 147-76, and Grumel, *Chronologie* pt. I, ch. 2.

⁴⁹ 326 Consuls: 7th of Constantine and 1st of Constantius. CP was already crediting Constantine with one more consulship than other lists (cf. n. 25) but now, for no obvious reason, it misses out an eighth consulship (7th of Constantine recorded *s.a.* 320); Constantius had been wrongly credited with Constantine II's consulships in 320, 321, and 324.

⁵⁰ 327 *cos. prior*: Flavius Constantius, praetorian prefect 324-7 (PLRE i. 225, s.v. Constantius 5).

⁵¹ Drepanum: cf. *Theoph.* 28. 3-4. Birthplace of Constantine's mother Helena, Drepanum on the south shore of the gulf of Nicomedia was also famous as the site of the tomb of Lucian, the presbyter of Antioch martyred in 312 at Nicomedia (cf. CP *s.a.* 309; Philostorgius ii. 12 records that his remains were transported there by a dolphin). Barnes (NE 77) tentatively dated the refoundation to 7 Jan. 328, on the assumptions that it must have followed Helena's death in 327 and probably occurred on the anniversary of Lucian's death: both assumptions are plausible, no more, and CP's date need not be wrong.

⁵² 328 *cos. prior*: Januarius.

⁵³ Bridge: cf. *Theoph.* 28. 19-20 (under Constantine's 24th year). The bridge, at Oescus, was used to launch a series of campaigns against the Goths and Sarmatians in the 330s.

time to [p.528] Byzantium. He renewed the first wall of the city of Byzas, and after making considerable extensions also to the same wall he joined them to the ancient wall of the city and named it Constantinople; he also completed the Hippodrome, adorning it with works in bronze and with every excellence, and made in it a box for imperial viewing in likeness of the one which is in Rome. And he made a great Palace near the same Hippodrome, and the ascent from the Palace to the box in the Hippodrome by way of the Kochlias, as it is called. And he also built a Forum which was large and exceedingly fine; and he set in the middle a great porphyry column of Theban stone, worthy of admiration, and he set on top of the same column a great statue of himself with rays of light on his head, a work in bronze which he had brought from Phrygia. The same emperor Constantine secretly took away from Rome the Palladium, as it is called, and placed it in the Forum built by him, beneath the column of his monument, as certain of the Byzantines say who have heard it by tradition. And after making bloodless sacrifice, he named the Tyche of the city renewed by him Anthusa.

The same emperor also built two fine porticoes from the entrance of the Palace as far as the Forum, adorned with statues and marbles, and he named the place of the porticoes Regia. Nearby he also built a basilica with an apse, and set outside great columns [p.529] and statues; this he named the Senate, and he named the place Augustaeum because he had also set up opposite his own a monument of his mother, lady Helena Augusta, on a porphyry column.

Likewise too he completed the bath which is called Zeuxippon, adorning it with columns and varied marbles and works of bronze.⁵⁴

⁵⁴ Foundation of Cpl.: cf. *Mal.* 319. 20-321. 15 with only very minor differences (the bronze statue of Constantine came from Ilium and had 7 rays on its head; some Byzantines say that the Palladium is still beneath Constantine's column; extra antiquarian information on the city's Tyche; Septimius Severus' involvement in the Zeuxippon); *CP* has an occasional phrase not contained in the abridged text of Malalas. Hesychius of Miletus (*Patria* 39-41, pp. 16-18 Preger) has another account.

Discussion of Constantine's works: see Dagron, *Naissance* 29-47, and Mango, *Const.* ch. 2.

Hippodrome and imperial box: the box or *kathisma* was located on the east side of the Hippodrome towards the curved end. See further Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 64-71, R. Guiland, 'Études sur l'Hippodrome de Byzance: le palais du Kathisma', *ByzSlav.* xviii, 1957, 39-76 = *Études* i. 462-98, and Alan Cameron, *Porphyrius the Charioteer* (Oxford 1973), 49-58. F. Millar (*The Emperor in the Roman World*, London 1977, 370 n. 23) states that there is no corroboration for

329 Indiction 2, year 24, the 10th consulship of Constantine Augustus and the 5th of Constantius Caesar.⁵⁵

330 Indiction 3, year 25, consulship of Gallicanus and Symmachus.

In year 301 from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord and year 25 of his reign, Constantine the most pious, father of Constantine II Augustus and of Constantius and Constans Caesars, after building a very great, illustrious, and blessed city, and honouring it with a senate, named it Constantinople, on day five before Ides of May [11 May], on the second day of the week, in the third indiction, and he proclaimed that the city, formerly named Byzantium, be called second Rome. He was first to celebrate a chariot-racing contest, wearing for the first time a diadem of pearls and other precious stones. And he made a great festival, and commanded by his sacred decree that the anniversary of his city be celebrated on the same day, and that on the 11th of the same month Artemisius [May] the public bath Zeuxippon be opened, [p.530] which was near the Hippodrome and the Regia of the Palace. He made for himself another gilded monument of wood, bearing in its right hand a Tyche of the same city, itself also gilded, and commanded that on the same day of the anniversary chariot races, the same monument of wood should enter, escorted by the troops in mantles and slippers, all holding white candles; the carriage should proceed around the further turning-post and come to the arena opposite the imperial box; and the emperor of the day should rise and do

CP's statement that the Cpl. *kathisma* was modelled on a similar structure in Rome, but the antecedent might be the *pulvinar* from which emperors usually watched races in the Circus Maximus: see J. H. Humphrey, *Roman Circuses* (London 1986), 78-83 with 691 n. 117, and *ibid.* 595-600 for the box in the Circus of Maxentius outside Rome, which was directly connected by an elevated passage to the nearby imperial palace.

Constantine's column and associated legends: see C. A. Mango, 'Constantinopolitana', *Jahrb. d. deutsch. arch. Inst.* lxxx, 1965, 305-36 at 306-13, and *id.* 'Constantine's Porphyry Column and the Chapel of St. Constantine', *Deltion tes christianikes archaiologikes etaireias* x, 1980/1, 103-10; also Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 255-7.

Palace, Augustaeum, adjacent Senate, baths of Zeuxippus: see Mango, *Brazen House* esp. 37-47, 56-60. This Senate-house is also known as Julian's Senate (J. Lyd. *de mag.* iii. 70) which might indicate that Constantine did not construct it; it must be distinguished from the Senate at Constantine's Forum. See also Guillard, 'L'Augoustéon', *Études* ii. 40-54.

Regia: the eastern section of Cpl.'s main street, the Mese; see Guillard, 'La Mésé ou Régia', *Études* ii. 69-79.

⁵⁵ 329 *cos. post.*: 4th of Constantine Caesar (cf. nn. 38, 49).

obeisance to the monument of the same emperor Constantine and this Tyche of the city.⁵⁶

The same most sacred emperor Constantine continued as emperor in Constantinople; he separated it from the province of Europe, that is from its metropolis Heracleia, and appointed for

⁵⁶ 330 Dedication of Cpl. (chariot races and anniversary festival): cf. *Mal.* 321. 15-322. 15, again with only minor differences. Malalas contains a Biblical justification for Constantine's elaborate diadem, correctly states that the Zeuxippon was near 'the Regia and the Palace' (the author of *CP* has presumably miscopied his source), adds the name Anthusa for the city's Tyche, and asserts that the ceremonial act of obeisance in the Hippodrome continued to the present day. Malalas has a single account covering foundation, buildings and dedication, the last introduced by a second reference to the construction of the Hippodrome (*Mal.* 321. 15-16). In *CP* this Malalas material is divided between the years 328 and 330 and an elaborate date provided for the second notice. *CP*'s first sentence (with Ascension date) is not in Malalas, and probably originated in a different source (for the Roman date, cf. *Hyd.*); the Ascension date is calculated from AD 31, 19th year of Tiberius (in accordance with *CP*'s own chronology, cf. n. 48).

Anniversary ceremony: described, or alluded to, in various chapters of *Parastaseis* (esp. 5, 38 and 56), whose evidence probably originated in a written source connected with the source tradition on which Malalas and *CP* were based. *Parast.* 5 states that the Hippodrome spectacle continued down to the time of Theodosius I, but a version of the ceremony may have been performed under Phocas, cf. n. 427. The non-Christian connotations of this ceremony involving the Tyche of the new city have aroused suspicions among those who regard Constantine as a complete and orthodox Christian, but it does seem that Cpl. had a Tyche called Anthusa parallel to Flora at Rome: the whole ceremony with its pagan elements should probably be accepted; for discussion, see Dagron, *Naissance* 37-45.

The Greek term *ξόανον*, used of Constantine's gilded statue, need not strictly indicate that it was made of wood, but merely of worked material. The military escort wear a ceremonial dress similar to that of patricians (J. Lyd. *de mag.* i. 17); the slippers (*compagi*) are a sandal-like shoe, rather than a military boot, and are worn by Justinian and his escort in the St. Vitale mosaic at Ravenna.

'Arena opposite the imperial box': the noun 'arena' is uncertain. The MS reading *σκάμμα* (cf. *Mal.* 322. 12: *σκάμα* in MS) signifies a sanded pit (for wrestling, etc.), hence the Hippodrome race track, 'arena'. However, in accounts of the ceremony in *Parastaseis* (5, 38) and *Patria* (ii. 42) the place is called *στάμα*, 'the stand'; cf. also Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 92 (pp. 418. 3, 423. 4-5) for soldiers gathered on the *stama* at Anastasius' proclamation. The Australian translators of Malalas (p. 175; a confused note) accept that *σκάμα* is a textual corruption for *στάμα*, but still translate *σκάμα* ('pit'). The problem is also discussed by R. Guillard ('Études sur l'Hippodrome de Constantinople: L'arène', *JÖBG* vi, 1957, 25-44 at 37-8 = *Études* i. 451-2). We have tentatively retained *σκάμ(μ)α* on the grounds that, whereas the *stama* was a recognized location within the Hippodrome, *σκάμμα* ('arena') was a more general term that required the qualifying phrase 'opposite the imperial box'.

the same Constantinople a praetorian prefect and city prefect and the other major officials.⁵⁷

There are from the foundation of Rome until Constantinople was inaugurated 1,080 years.

In this year Alexander bishop of Alexandria died on day 14 before Kalends of May [18 April], on Pharmuthi 22, and Athanasius the great father was elected bishop in his place.⁵⁸

331 [p.531] Indiction 4, year 26, consulship of Bassus and Ablabius.

Olympiad 278

332 Indiction 5, year 27, consulship of Pacatianus and Hilarianus.

In the time of these consuls bread began to be disbursed to the citizens of Constantinople, from 18th May.⁵⁹

333 Indiction 6, year 28, consulship of Dalmatus and Zenophilus.

334 Indiction 7, year 29, consulship of Optatus Patricius and Anicius Paulinus.⁶⁰

Under these consuls took place the inauguration of the church of the Holy Cross, which had been built by Constantine in the

⁵⁷ Provincial status of Cpl., and its magistrates: cf. *Mal.* 323. 3-8 who adds that all appointees were Christians and that Cpl. remained in good fortune as an imperial capital. The first city prefect was in fact only appointed in 359: see *CP s.a.*

⁵⁸ Alexander of Alexandria: cf. *Scal.* 228 (*CM* i. 292) for the Egyptian dating (= 17 April). Athanasius: patriarch 328-73; for his career and involvement in the Arian controversy, see G. Bardy, P. de Labriolle, and J. R. Palanque in Fliche and Martin, *Église* iii. 97-276.

⁵⁹ 332 Bread ration: cf. *Mal.* 322. 17-323. 2 for a more detailed description, undated but placed between his account of Cpl.'s dedication and that of its provincial status; *Parast.* 56 has an allusion in a similar context; also *Zos.* ii. 32. 1; *Philost.* ii. 9. Dagron (*Naissance* 530-41) discusses the ration and favours *CP's* date; see also Carrié, 'Distributions' 1071-3. Socrates ii. 13 suggests that there was initially a maximum of 80,000 recipients, assuming a *modius* per person per day.

⁶⁰ 334 Optatus: the first known patrician (*PLRE* i. 650, s.v. Optatus 3); in *CP* the title is mistaken for a proper name.

time of the bishop Macarius, on 17th of the month September.⁶¹ Thereafter began the Feast of the Invention of the Cross.⁶²

335 Indiction 8, year 30, the 6th consulship of Constantius Caesar and that of Albinus.⁶³

The thirtieth anniversary of Constantine the pious was celebrated in Constantinople-Rome with exceeding lavishness on day 8 before Kalends of August [25 July],⁶⁴ and he declared Constans his son Augustus,⁶⁵ and he proclaimed as Caesar Dalmatius, the son of his brother Dalmatius the censor, on day 8 before Kalends of October [24 Sept.].⁶⁶ Dalmatius was the son of Dalmatius the brother of Constantine the pious; he was a Roman general and consul prior to his [p.532] being proclaimed Caesar.⁶⁷ And after appointing Hannibalianus *rex*, he clad him

⁶¹ Holy Cross: the church of the Holy Sepulchre at Jerusalem, whose dedication was celebrated in 335 with a week of festivities (13–20 Sept.). Macarius, bishop of Jerusalem 314–33, received personal instructions from Constantine about the construction of the church (Euseb. VC iii. 30–32). On the church, see C. Coüasnon, *The Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem* (London 1974), and Rubin, 'Church'.

⁶² Feast of Invention (discovery) of Cross: for the discovery of the relic and the proliferation of stories concerning it, see Hunt, *Pilgrimage* 38–48, and Rubin, 'Church'. The Cross was supposedly discovered during the construction of the church of the Holy Sepulchre, possibly on the same day as the church's dedication, 14 Sept. (not 17 Sept., as in CP). There is no contemporary evidence for the discovery of the Cross: Euseb. VC iii. 30. 1, the discovery of a proof of the Passion that had been hidden underground, is most naturally interpreted in connection with VC iii. 28 as Christ's tomb (Hunt, *Pilgrimage* 8, *contra* Rubin, 'Church' 81–3), since Eusebius nowhere explicitly mentions the discovery of the Cross. Stories concerning the discovery quickly became popular (c.350), towards the end of the 4th c. it was credited to Constantine's mother Helena, and this version is presented in Malalas (319. 14–18). By the 380s the Feast of the Invention was being celebrated. CP has none of the elaborate stories, but antedates the start of the Feast. See also n. 438.

⁶³ 335 *cos. prior*: Julius Constantius, half-brother of Constantine and father of Gallus and Julian (PLRE i. 226, s.v. Constantius 7).

⁶⁴ *Tricennalia*: cf. *Hyd.* The exact anniversary fell on 25 July 336, when Eusebius delivered his panegyric (*Triac.*), but celebration would have been appropriate during the preceding twelve months (Constantine's thirtieth year), as at the *vicennalia*.

⁶⁵ Constans: proclaimed Caesar on 25 Dec. 333 (8 before Kalends of Jan.: *Hyd.*), he was elevated to Augustus on 9 Sept. 337 after Constantine's death, together with his elder brothers Constantine II and Constantius II (*Hyd.*). CP has already recorded his elevation to Augustus on 8 Nov. 325.

⁶⁶ Dalmatius: proclaimed Caesar on 18 Sept. 335 (14 before Kalends of Oct.: *Hyd.*).

⁶⁷ Dalmatius the elder: PLRE i. 240–1, s.v. Dalmatius 6, half-brother of

in a scarlet mantle and dispatched him to Caesarea in Cappadocia.⁶⁸

Olympiad 279

336 Indiction 9, year 31, consulship of Nepotianus and Facundus.

337 Indiction 10, year 32, consulship of Felicianus and Tatianus.

The Persians declared war against the Romans, and Constantine, who had reached year 32 of his rule, set out for the east against the Persians; when he had gone as far as Nicomedia, he gloriously and piously quitted life in a suburb of the same city, on 11th in the month Artemisius [May], having been vouchsafed the saving baptism by Eusebius bishop of Constantinople, after a reign of 31 years and 10 months.⁶⁹ And he left behind as Caesars his three sons, Constantine Caesar emperor of the regions of Gaul, being in the twentieth year of rule, Constantius who was Caesar after him in the regions of the east, being in year 11 of rule, and Constans who was Caesar after him and stayed in the regions of Italy, being in the third year of rule, as well as Dalmatius Caesar, son of his brother, in Mesopotamia, himself also being in his third year.⁷⁰

The thrice-blessed Constantine took his rest on 22nd in the month May, [p.533] day 11 before Kalends of June, at the Holy

Constantine, who in addition to holding the title 'censor' had also been consul and a general (his son the Caesar had not been either).

⁶⁸ Hannibalianus: see *PLRE* i. 407, s.v. Hannibalianus 2; younger son of Dalmatius the elder, he was declared *rex regum et Ponticarum gentium* (*Anon. Val.* 6. 35) with the intention of appointing him to Armenia and possibly, in due course, to Persia as well.

⁶⁹ 337 Persian war, and baptism and death of Constantine: cf. *Theoph.* 33. 16-20, 23 (no date). Theophanes (33. 21-2) asserts that Constantine had earlier been baptized in Rome by Pope Sylvester, and describes as an Arian falsehood the story of his baptism by the Arian Eusebius, but his own version is an anti-Arian fiction: cf. *Soc.* i. 39 for the baptism at Nicomedia, but omitting Eusebius' name. On the Persian war, see Barnes, *CE* 259. Constantine died on 22 May, 11 before Kalends of June, as recorded below (pp. 532. 22-533. 1); the mistake here may arise from confusion with the dating by the Kalends.

⁷⁰ Imperial succession and division: cf. *Theoph.* 34. 16-18 (inverting the regions assigned to Constantine and Constans). Constantine II had been Caesar for 20 years, Constantius for 12, Constans for 3, and Dalmatius for less than 2. The regnal lengths given here by *CP* contradict the earlier dating to 317 of the elevation of Constantius and Constans, and the (correct) designation of Constans as Caesar is at odds with the two notices of his elevation to Augustus (*ss.aa.* 325, 335).

Pentecost itself;⁷¹ the corpse of Constantine the pious was still lying unburied and guarded in the Palace of Constantinople until his sons knew.

When Constantius in the east in Mesopotamia heard, although the Persian war was still looming, he immediately set out for Constantinople; on arriving there he escorted forth his celebrated father Constantine in such great pomp and glorious imperial procession that it is impossible to speak worthily of it: the army was present under arms as if he were still alive, and the whole city, both because it had been proclaimed by him as Rome and furthermore so many distinctions had come to it, as well as the corn allocations of the annual distributions which had been donated; everyone was in such great grief that it never happened that any emperor before him was so glorified in life and after death.⁷² And he was laid to rest in the church of the Holy Apostles in which lie the remains of the holy apostles Andrew, and Luke the evangelist, and Timothy disciple of Paul the apostle.⁷³

Sapor the Persian king invaded Mesopotamia to sack Nisibis, and when he had besieged it for 63 days and not overpowered it, he withdrew.⁷⁴

After the death of their father Constantine, Constantine the younger and Constans and Constantius were 35th Roman emperors for 24 years. In total, 5,870.

[p.534] And the younger Constantine was emperor in Constantinople for 1 year, Constans in Rome for 12 years; and after the death of this Constans, Constantius who was their brother was emperor in Rome for the remaining 12 years. Such is the divisional arrangement.⁷⁵

⁷¹ Death of Constantine with Roman date: cf. *Hyd.*

⁷² Funeral of Constantine: see Barnes, *CE* 261. Eusebius (*VC* iv. 65-72, a work of which *CP* shows no knowledge) preserves a rhetorical and emotional account which includes references to the army honouring Constantine as if he were alive. At Cpl. Constantius, together with his brothers, eliminated their father's various step-relatives in a Palace purge (Barnes, *CE* 261-2). Bread ration: cf. n. 59.

⁷³ Holy Apostles: designed by Constantine as his mausoleum, see Mango, *Const.* 27, and Grierson, 'Tombs', for later burial arrangements. On the remains of apostles, see nn. 101-2.

⁷⁴ Shapur's attack on Nisibis: cf. *Theoph.* 34. 32-35. 1. Shapur seems to have had two objectives in attacking the Romans, to recover Nisibis which Narses had surrendered in 298, and to reassert Persian authority in Armenia which was now a Christian kingdom. Nisibis was attacked in spring 338, and its famous bishop Jacob died at the time of the siege (*Chron.* 724, *CSCO* iii/4. 103).

⁷⁵ Imperial college: Constantine II ruled Britain, Gaul, and Spain until spring

338 Indiction 11, year 1, consulship of Ursus and Polemius.

339 Indiction 12, year 2, the 4th consulship of Constantine II Augustus and the 7th of Constans Augustus.⁷⁶

Olympiad 280

340 Indiction 13, year 3, consulship of Acindynus and Proclus.⁷⁷

341 Indiction 14, year 4, consulship of Marcellinus and Probinus.

342 Indiction 15, year 5, the 5th consulship of Constantius Augustus and the 2nd of Constans Augustus.⁷⁸

343 Indiction 1, year 6, consulship of Placitus and Romulus.

Olympiad 281

344 Indiction 2, year 7, consulship of Leontius and Salustius.

Chronological tables of the nineteen-year cycle for the fourteenth days according to the moon on which Easter is ordered to be celebrated according to the law.⁷⁹ The cycle

340; Constans Africa, Italy, and much of the Balkans (plus Constantine II's provinces after 340) until 350; Constantius Thrace, Asia Minor, the east, and (after 350) the territory of Constans until 361.

⁷⁶ 339 Consuls: 2nd of Constantius and 1st of Constans. Other eastern lists do not share this or the following errors in *CP*, which has lapsed into complete confusion over the sons of Constantine. The 'seventh' consulship for Constans (his second is correctly recorded in 342) is probably a continuation of the 'sixth' credited to Constantius in 335, the 'fourth' for Constantine II appears from nowhere, since his four earlier consulships (320, 321, 324, 329) are all credited to Constantius.

⁷⁷ 340 *cos. post.*: Proculus. *Scal.* 245 (*CM* i. 293) also has Proclus, but otherwise does not share *CP*'s errors here (though it introduces several of its own).

⁷⁸ 342 *cos. prior*: 3rd of Constantius. Through conflation with Constantine II, Constantius had already been credited with a sixth consulship in 335; now, however, the sequence seems to be reckoned from the 'fourth' consulship of Constantine II in 339. From this point Constantius' consulships are overstated by two in *CP*.

⁷⁹ 344 Easter tables: for their development in the 3rd–4th c., see Grumel, *Chronologie* pt. I, ch. 3. Grumel argues that this table is an official statement of the reformed computation of 353, dating from that time. In 352 the 95-year table of Anatolius (cf. n. 2) expired and a replacement was necessary. New calculations had to be made, since the date of the spring equinox was now established as 21 March (instead of 22 March), and this change entailed an adjustment to the date of the creation of the world, since it was believed that the sun and moon were created at the equinox and on a Wednesday (4th day of

contains them according to Romans and Syrians, that is Macedonians, and according to Egyptians. The present nineteen-year cycle took its beginning from year 59 of Diocletian, that is from the 21st inclusive of the month March on which the equinox is recognized in the consulship of Leontius and Salustius, under which consuls the year 5,852 from the creation of the world is completed. So the nineteen-year cycle having been completed in the said times and under the said consuls, return again to its first year on the 21st of the month March, indiction 2, accurately recognizing that neither an eight- and fifteen-year cycle, nor a seventeen-year cycle, nor a sixteen-year cycle, can have accuracy, but only a nineteen-year cycle. In the cycle it also has the epacts which it is necessary to place in each year, and the so-called *embolimoï*.⁸⁰

Year 1; epacts 30; Ides of April [13 April]; *embolimos*; 13 Xanthicus; 18 Pharmuthi; year 59.

Year 2; epacts 14; 4 before Nones of April [2 April]; 2 Xanthicus; 7 Pharmuthi; year 60.

Year 3; epacts 22; 11 before Kalends of April [22 March]; 24 Dystrus; 29 Phamenoth; year 61.

Year 4; epacts 3; 4 before Ides of April [10 April]; *embolimos*; 10 Xanthicus; 15 Pharmuthi; year 62.

Year 5; epacts 14; 4 before Ides of April [10 April]; 29 Dystrus; 3 Pharmuthi; year 63.

Creation). As a result of calculations at Cpl., the year of Creation was advanced from *a.m.* 5500 to 5509 BC (see Grumel, *Chronologie* pt. I, ch. 5, who calls this the proto-Byzantine era); this required the advance to AD 345 of the start of the new 'natural' lunar cycle (*κατὰ φύσιν*), the cycle of the sequence of lunar epacts (the age of the moon at the start of the solar year on 1 Jan.) which began in the year after the creation of the world, *a.m.* 2, or to AD 344 of the 'adjusted' cycle (*κατὰ ἔσιν*), the cycle aligned with the year of the world's creation, when there were no epacts. For detailed discussion, see Grumel, *Chronologie* 41-8.

⁸⁰ Easter calculations: these are presented in the form of a wheel divided into 19 segments, each of which represents one year in the cycle; the introductory preamble is arranged in the centre of the wheel. There is one other comparable table in CP (between pp. 372-3), associated with the date of the conception of John the Baptist by his mother Elizabeth (also two tables that respectively explain solar and lunar cycles, between pp. 26-7). The table here is designed to establish the dates of the first full moon (the 14th day of the moon) after the spring equinox, the date of the Jewish Passover as established by (Mosaic) law, and hence the earliest date on which the Christian Easter could fall. The Quartodecimans insisted on celebrating Easter on this day, regardless of the day of the week, but the majority of Christians celebrated it on the first Sunday following the full moon after the equinox. The years in the table refer to the Era of Diocletian, for which cf. n. 2; an *embolimos* is a month intercalated into the lunar year.

Year 6; epacts 25; 14 before Kalends of March [16 Feb.]; *embolimos*; 18 Xanthicus; 23 Pharmuthi; year 64.

Year 7; epacts 6; 7 before Ides of April [7 April]; 7 Xanthicus; 12 Pharmuthi; year 65.

Year 8; epacts 17; 6 before Kalends of April [27 March]; 27 Dystrus; 1 Pharmuthi; year 66.

Year 9; epacts 28; 17 before Kalends of March [14 Feb.]; *embolimos*; 15 Xanthicus; 20 Pharmuthi; year 67.

Year 10; epacts 9; 1 before Nones of April [4 April]; 4 Xanthicus; 9 Pharmuthi; year 68.

Year 11; epacts 20; 9 before Kalends of April [24 March]; 24 Dystrus; 22 Phamenoth; year 69.

Year 12; epact 1; 1 before Ides of April [12 April]; *embolimos*; 12 Xanthicus; 17 Pharmuthi; year 70.

Year 13; epacts 12; Kalends of April [1 April]; 1 Xanthicus; 6 Pharmuthi; year 71.

Year 14; epacts 23; 12 before Kalends of March [18 Feb.]; *embolimos*; 20 Xanthicus; 25 Pharmuthi; year 72.

Year 15; epacts 4; 5 before Ides of April [9 April]; 9 Xanthicus; 14 Pharmuthi; year 73.

Year 16; epacts 15; 4 before Kalends of April [29 March]; 29 Dystrus; 3 Pharmuthi; year 74.

Year 17; epacts 26; 15 before Kalends of March [16 Feb.]; *embolimos*; 17 Xanthicus; 22 Pharmuthi; year 75.

Year 18; epacts 7; 8 before Ides of April [6 April]; 6 Xanthicus; 11 Pharmuthi; year 76.

Year 19; epacts 18; 7 before Kalends of April [26 March]; 26 Dystrus; 30 Phamenoth; year 77.⁸¹

345 Indiction 3, year 8, consulship of Amantius and Albinus.

In the time of these consuls the building of the Constantianae

⁸¹ Text: the MS has several errors, and at three points is inaccurately reported in the Bonn text. Introductory paragraph: *ἀνέδραμε* (indicative) for MS *ἀνέδραμε* (imperative, 'return'; noted by Grumel, see below); year 1: year 79 for MS 59; year 5: 13 Pharmuthi for MS 3 Pharmuthi. (We are indebted to Claudia Rapp for examining the Vatican MS, thereby enabling us to reconsider this problematic section.) Most of the MS errors were corrected by Grumel in an important discussion of the tables, *Chronologie* pt. 1, ch. 5, pp. 73-84, esp. 77ff (though there are some discrepancies with his corrected version of the table at p. 232). The following corrections to the MS are necessary. Year 2: epacts 11; year 3: 22 Dystrus, 27 Phamenoth; year 5: 3 before Kalends of April [30 March], 30 Dystrus, 4 Pharmuthi; year 6: 14 before Kalends of May [18 April]; year 9: 17 before Kalends of May [15 April]; year 11: 28 Phamenoth; year 14: 12 before Kalends of April [21 March], 21 Dystrus, 25 Phamenoth; year 17: 15 before Kalends of May [17 April]. All the years of Diocletian are one year out: year 1, AD 344, was the 60th year of the Diocletianic Era.

bath in Constantinople near the Apostles was begun by Constantius Augustus, from day 17 of the month April.⁸²

346 [p.535] Indiction 4, year 9, the 6th consulship of Constantius Augustus and the 3rd of Constans Augustus.⁸³

347 Indiction 5, year 10, consulship of Rufinus and Eusebius.

Olympiad 282

348 Indiction 6, year 11, consulship of Philippus and Salia.

349 Indiction 7, year 12, consulship of Limenius and Catullinus.

In the time of these consuls Constans was killed in Gaul by Magnentius after ruling for 12 years, and Magnentius was elevated on day 15 before Kalends of February [18 Jan.],⁸⁴ and Vetrano at Sirmium on Kalends of March [1 March].⁸⁵ And Nepotianus was elevated in the same year at Rome three days before Nones of June [3 June],⁸⁶ and after this a great war came about between Romans and Magnentians.

350 Indiction 8, year 13, consulship of Sergius and Nigrinianus.

The blessed Leontius, the bishop of Antioch in Syria, a man who was in all respects faithful and devout and zealous for the true faith, who also had responsibility for hospices for the care of strangers, appointed men who were devout in their concern for these, among whom were three men exceedingly zealous in piety. On account of a certain business matter these men set out for a place 17 miles distant from Antioch. The name of the place was a village called Thracon. [p.536] A certain Jew encountered them and travelled with them. The leader of the three brothers

⁸² 345 Constantianae baths: sometimes (e.g. *CP* p. 581. 1) called Constantianae (wrongly suggesting a link with Constantine rather than Constantius), they were located to the south-east of the Holy Apostles, and only completed in 427 (*CP s.a.*). See Mango, *Const.* 41; Janin, *Const.* 219-20; G. Prinzing and P. Speck, 'Fünf Lokaltäten in Konstantinopel', 179-81, in Beck, *Studien*.

⁸³ *cos. prior*: 4th of Constantius, see n. 78.

⁸⁴ 349 Death of Constans, proclamation of the usurper Magnentius: cf. *Hyd.* for the same material correctly placed in 350. The coup was promoted by Constans' *comes rei privatae* Marcellinus (*PLRE* i. 546, s.v. Marcellinus 8); Magnentius was a high-ranking German army officer, see *PLRE* i. 532.

⁸⁵ Vetrano: *magister peditum per Illyricum* (*PLRE* i. 954, s.v. Vetrano 1); cf. *Hyd. s.a.* 350, and see further *CP* pp. 539-40 (*s.a.* 350) and notes below.

⁸⁶ Nepotianus: cf. *Hyd. s.a.* 350; nephew of Constantine, he was killed by Magnentius' troops after a reign of 28 days (*PLRE* i. 624, s.v. Nepotianus 5); cf. Theoph. 44. 5-7 (giving his reign as 3 months).

was a very devout man named Eugenius. While they were travelling together, Eugenius began and initiated a discussion with the Jew concerning the faith of the only-begotten Son of God. The Jew being utterly scornful, they found on the road a serpent lying dead. And immediately the Jew said to them, 'If you eat this dead serpent and do not die, I will become a Christian.' And immediately Eugenius took the serpent and divided it into three parts for himself and the two with him; and they ate it in front of the Jew and lived. In them was fulfilled the saving word of the Gospel which says, 'And in their hands they shall lift up serpents, and if they eat anything deadly, it will not do them harm.' The Jew came with them to the hospice and, remaining there, was highly esteemed, having become a Christian.⁸⁷

Constantius the Augustus, who was residing in the eastern regions because of the Persian war, when he heard about the events regarding Magnentius, set out from Antioch for Italy.⁸⁸

Sapor the Persian king invaded Mesopotamia, and besieged Nisibis for 100 days. He assailed it in various ways and used many stratagems, so that he even [p.537] brought a multitude of elephants trained for military support, and mercenary kings and all kinds of war engines with which, if they should be unwilling to yield the city, they threatened to obliterate it from the foundations. When the Nisibenes held out against surrender, Sapor thereupon decided to inundate it with the nearby river. But the Nisibenes defeated their enemies by prayers, since they had the favour of God. For when the waters were about to level the structure of the walls in a collapse, part of the wall was affected advantageously in accordance with God's will, as will be shown in what follows: for it came about that the city was protected and the enemy withstood by the waters, so that many in fact perished. And they, even after they had suffered this, were threatening to enter through the collapsed part of the wall: they brought up the armed elephants and prevailed upon the horde to apply more vigorously to the battle, devising stratagems with all kinds of war-engines. But the soldiers

⁸⁷ 350 Miracle: Leontius, patriarch of Antioch 344-58, 'zealous for the true faith', was an Arian who actively opposed the orthodox party at Antioch (Philost. iii. 18); Gospel quotation: Mark 16. 18. *CP*'s account of the 4th c. shows several signs of favour towards Arians, especially Constantius; this material was probably transmitted to *CP* by the Arian church historian Philostorgius, or a similar intermediary text.

⁸⁸ Constantius in East: cf. *Theoph.* 43. 32-44. 2, 4-5. Philost. iii. 22 (p. 49. 4-6 Winkelman) puts Constantius at Edessa.

guarding the city gained victory through God's providence. For they filled the whole place with weapons of every kind, and killed the majority of the elephants with their catapults; the remainder fell in the quagmires of the ditches, while others turned away to the rear after being struck; they killed more than ten thousand of the infantry, and a thunderbolt from heaven fell on the rest; there were black clouds and lashing rain and claps of thunder terrified all, so that the majority of them perished from fear.

[p.538] And the new Pharoah, Sapor, was confined on every side and worsted, being dreadfully submerged by the waves of fear. When he was on the point of destroying it, and the wall had sustained a very large cleft, and the city was on the point of surrendering thereafter, a vision was revealed to Sapor by day, at the very hour when he was fighting: it was a man running around on the walls of Nisibis, and the apparition was in the shape of Constantius the Augustus. Hence Sapor was more enraged against the inhabitants of Nisibis, saying: 'Your emperor is of no avail: let him come out and fight, or you hand over the city.' But they said, 'It is not right that we should hand over the city, since our emperor Constantius the Augustus is absent.' Hence, as a result of this, Sapor was more enraged since, according to the apparition which he had, they were lying, and he said, 'For what purpose are you lying? With my own eyes I behold your emperor Constantius running around on the walls of your city.' And Sapor, who had been variously assailed by God in this, withdrew unsuccessful, after threatening his magi with death. And after learning the reason, they recognized the power of the angel which had appeared with Constantius, and they interpreted it to him. And Sapor, when he understood the cause of the danger, was afraid and ordered that the war-engines be burnt and that all that he had prepared in respect of military equipment be destroyed. He himself, together with his own men, [p.539] driven out in flight, gained his homeland, although the majority perished from pestilential disease beforehand. This is reported in a letter of Valageses, bishop of Nisibis, which sets out the details of these things.⁸⁹

⁸⁹ Siege of Nisibis: cf. *Theoph.* 39. 13-40. 13 for essentially the same account, but clarifying some details (his subsequent long accounts of Constantius' actions and Julian's persecutions are also sometimes clearer). Theophanes, however, omits CP's emphasis on the divine favour for the Arian Constantius signified by Shapur's vision; he also omits Shapur's dialogue with the Nisibenes and gives a different version of the vision in which an angel, brilliantly clad, appeared on top of the wall holding Constantius by the hand (this version is reflected in CP's

While Constantius the Augustus was leaving for the war against Magnentius, before he arrived, Constantia the sister of Constantius clad Vetranio in purple as emperor on Kalends of March [1 March] at Naissus in Italy, and raised up the illustrious man for the battle with Magnentius. And after this Constantius, having arrived in the part of Italy where the war was, received Vetranio with great honour.⁹⁰ And after this he made a tribunal on a high place in the Campus; in the presence of the army and

reference to 'the angel which had appeared with Constantius'). Theophanes does not mention Vologeses, third bishop of Nisibis (c.350–361/2) and builder of the extant baptistry (see further Fiey, *Nisibe* 29–33).

Persian tactics: the precise details are hazy, since panegyric or religious matters, including the prominent role of Vologeses, are the focus of the main sources, Jul. Or. i. 27–8, ii. 62–6; Ephraem the Syrian, *Nisibene Hymns* i–iii, xi. 14–18, xiii. 14–20 (ed. E. Beck, CSCO Scr. Syri 92–3, Louvain 1961), and *Nicomedene Memre* x. 145–56, xv. 53–62, 97–208 (ed. C. Renoux, *PO* xxxvii/2–3, 1975). However, it is clear that key elements were an attempt to undermine the walls by flooding and a subsequent failed assault involving elephants: see further the useful discussion by C. S. Lightfoot, 'The Eastern Frontier of the Roman Empire with Special Reference to the Reign of Constantius II' (unpubl. Oxford D. Phil., 1981), 92–103 (with notes, 118–29), to which this note is greatly indebted; also M. Maróth, 'Le Siège de Nisibe en 350 ap. J.-Ch. d'après des sources syriennes', *Acta Antiqua* xxvii, 1979, 239–43.

Deliverance of Nisibis: elsewhere the unexpected escape is attributed primarily to the prayers of Jacob, first bishop of the city, or more accurately to his posthumous appearance on the walls: for discussion of the various legends, see P. Peeters, 'La Légende de Saint Jacques de Nisibe', *AB* xxxviii, 1920, 285–373. Theodoret (*HR* i. 12) may reflect the process whereby the bishop took over credit from the emperor, in that Shapur is said to mistake Jacob for the emperor because he appeared to be wearing the purple and diadem. (In Theodoret, *HE* ii. 30 the apparition dressed in imperial purple is kept separate from Jacob's ascent to the walls and efficacious prayers.) For a divine defender at Cpl., cf. *s.a.* 626 with n. 476.

⁹⁰ Proclamation of Vetranio: cf. *Theoph.* 44. 7–12 (wrongly placed in Constantius' 21st year); also Philost. iii. 22 (p. 49. 7–12 Winkelman); an official version is presented in Julian's panegyrics for Constantius, esp. *Orr.* i. 31–3, ii. 76–8. The elderly Vetranio was proclaimed in Illyricum at Sirmium (*Soc.* ii. 25; *Soz.* iv. 1; correctly recorded by *CP s.a.* 349), not Naissus; *CP*'s Italy is an error probably caused by the reference to Italy at p. 539. 9. Constantius, who met Vetranio in Illyricum, did not advance into Italy until late 351.

Constantina (not Constantia): her motives are uncertain. The chronicle version suggests that she was attempting to reduce the threat of Magnentius' usurpation by timely action while her brother was involved in the east, but she may well have had her own imperial ambitions – she had been proclaimed Augusta in her own right by her father Constantine (*Philost.* iii. 22, 28), and in 335–7 had been married to Hannibalianus, Constantine's step-nephew, and his candidate for the throne of Armenia (and probably also Persia, cf. n. 68); in 351 she married Gallus Caesar, brother of Julian, see *PLRE* i. 222, s.v. Constantina 2.

with Vetranio also standing beside him Constantius declared publicly that it was fitting for empire that power belong even to him who inherited this from imperial ancestors; and it was also expedient for the commonwealth that public affairs and whatever was fitting for these be duly administered under a single power.

In all these things God was with Constantius, guiding his empire. For he himself indeed took great care for the churches of Christ. And when Vetranio had been emperor for ten months, in accordance with the aforementioned public declaration Constantius removed the purple, and at the same time granted him fellowship of his table at dinner; [p.540] with all honour and an escort and many favours, he dispatched him to live in the city of Prusa in Bithynia, receiving payments and provisions in abundance. Since Vetranio was a Christian and attended the church for services, he undertook almsgiving to the poor as well as honouring the leaders of the church until his death.⁹¹

351 Indiction 9, year 14, post-consulship of Sergius and Nigrinianus.⁹²

Constantius Augustus, being sole emperor, proclaimed as Caesar and colleague in his rule his cousin Gallus, renaming him

⁹¹ Constantius' speech and deposition of Vetranio: cf. *Theoph. 44. 22-45. 5* (under Constantius' 21st year, in association with his two-week visit to Rome for his *vicennalia* in 357), but omitting the sentences on God's favour for Constantius and the emperor's care for the churches. In Constantius' speech, we have translated Theophanes' aorist, 'who inherited', *διαδεξαμένω*, in preference to CP's future, 'who would inherit', *διαδεξομένω*.

Vetranio was forced to abdicate on 25 Dec. 350 in Illyricum (9 months before Mursa) when Constantius, who for some time had been subverting Vetranio's troops, clinched their allegiance with a harangue that apparently became famous (cf. *Jul. Or. ii. 77*; *Zos. ii. 44*). Socrates ii. 28 stresses the sympathy and generosity of Constantius' treatment of Vetranio.

From Theophanes' narrative it appears that the common source of CP and Theophanes erroneously narrated these events *after* the defeat of Magnentius at Mursa in Pannonia, and placed the speech at Rome in the Campus Martius. It is possible that CP tried to correct his source by narrating Vetranio's deposition before the battle of Mursa, but he did not realize that he should also have removed from the story the references to Italy.

⁹² 351 The MS, misreported in the Bonn text, correctly records the post-consulship. Since consuls were an important dating mechanism, it was necessary to create a formula for the occasions, increasingly common from the mid-4th c., when no consul was appointed (or the men appointed by eastern or western emperors were not accepted in parts of the empire). The solution was the post-consular formula, which simply involved counting from the last recognized consulship: thus the consular dates for 542-65 are provided by reckoning from the consulship of Basilius in 541.

Constantius, on Ides of March [15 March]; he dispatched him to Antioch in the east, since the Persians were pressing.⁹³

The sign of the Cross of Christ was seen in Jerusalem at this time: it was about the third hour on the day of Pentecost, the Nones of May [7 May], when it extended radiant in the heaven from the Mount of Olives as far as Golgotha, the place where the Lord was crucified facing east, and from where the Lord was taken up; encircling the apparition of the precious Cross was a corona whose shape was like the rainbow. And at the same hour it was seen in Pannonia by Constantius the Augustus and the army which was with him in the war against Magnentius.⁹⁴ And when Constantius began to be victorious, Magnentius joined battle with him near the city of Mursa, as it is called; Magnentius was defeated and fled to Gaul with a few men.⁹⁵

[p.541] Constantius, who was also called Gallus, the aforementioned Caesar, stayed in the east and in Antioch.⁹⁶

⁹³ Proclamation of Gallus: cf. *Hyd., Theoph.* 40. 15-18; also Philost. iii. 25. Constantius, accepting that a single ruler could not cope with all military threats, remained in the west to fight Magnentius and then the Alamanni on the Rhine frontier, while Gallus (*PLRE* i. 224-5, s.v. Constantius 4), now married to the emperor's ambitious sister Constantina, was sent east.

⁹⁴ Apparition of Cross in sky: cf. *Hyd., Theoph.* 41. 33-42. 1 (wrongly dated to Constantius' 19th year and divorced from the campaign against Magnentius, which he also misdates); *CP* has misplaced the phrase 'from where the Lord was taken up', which should be connected with the Mount of Olives not Golgotha, so that the reference to the Ascension is confused. Philostorgius iii. 26 records the vision at greater length, noting the connection with the civil war. For an Arian writer the apparition was a sign of divine favour for Constantius, as potent as Constantine's vision before the battle of the Milvian bridge.

The apparition was reported to Constantius in a letter from Cyril bishop of Jerusalem, which confirms the precise date and place (*PG* xxxiii. 1165-76); the Cross was visible for several hours to the whole city, and after the vision the populace rushed to church. Cyril favourably compared this vision in the sky with the discovery under Constantine of the relic of the Cross in the earth. The connection of the Cross with Jerusalem and the city's possession of a relic (cf. n. 62) were probably exploited by Cyril as part of his attempt to elevate the see of Jerusalem above Caesarea, see Rubin, 'Church'. Theophanes (42. 1-2) alludes to Cyril's account, and then digresses to argue that his reference to Constantius as 'most pious' did not signify that Cyril condoned the emperor's Arianism.

⁹⁵ Defeat of Magnentius: after an initial success over Constantius near Atrians, Magnentius was bloodily defeated at Mursa in Pannonia on 28 Sept. 351 (*Hyd.*).

⁹⁶ Gallus at Antioch: for his cruel conduct, see *Amm.* xiv. 1, 7. 1-3.

Olympiad 283

352 Indiction 10, year 15, the 7th consulship of Constantius Augustus and that of Constans Caesar.⁹⁷

353 Indiction 11, year 16, the 8th consulship of Constantius Augustus and the 2nd of Constans Caesar.

354 Indiction 12, year 17, the 9th consulship of Constantius Augustus and the 3rd of Constans Caesar.

In this year Magnentius again joined battle at Montus Seleucus, was defeated, and fled alone to Gaul to the city of Lugdunum; and when he had slain his own brother, then he also killed himself, four days before Ides of August [10 Aug.].⁹⁸

355 Indiction 13, year 18, consulship of Arbetio and Lullianus.

In this year Gallus, who was also Constantius, was summoned from the city of the Antiochenes by Constantius the Augustus on a charge that he had killed a praetorian prefect and a *quaestor* contrary to the will of Constantius the Augustus, and he was killed on the island of Istrus.⁹⁹ And he put the purple on Julian, the brother of the same Gallus who was also Constantius, and appointed him Caesar [p.542] on day 8 before Ides of October [8 Oct.]; Constantius Augustus gave to him in marriage his sister Helena, and dispatched him to Gaul.¹⁰⁰

⁹⁷ 352 *cos. post.*: Constantius Caesar (i.e. Gallus); also 353 and 354, when *Heracl.* (CM iii. 399) makes the same error.

⁹⁸ 354 Defeat and death of Magnentius: cf. *Theoph.* 44. 14-16, without date, but adding that he killed his mother as well as his brother before committing suicide; also Soc. ii. 32. Magnentius, forced to abandon Italy in 352, was defeated in 353 at Mons Seleucus in south-east Gaul (precise location unknown), after which he committed suicide at Lyons (Lugdunum) on 11 Aug. (*Hyd. s.a.* 353: 3 before Ides of Aug.); a second brother, the Caesar Decentius, committed suicide at Sens on 18 Aug. 353 (*Hyd.*; cf. *Theoph.* 44. 16-17). Constantius then exacted cruel revenge on the usurper's supporters (*Amm.* xiv. 5).

⁹⁹ 355 Death of Gallus (in 354): the circumstances are recorded at greater length by Philost. iii. 28-iv. 1, *Amm.* xiv. 7. 9-19, 11. 1-23, and Soc. ii. 34. Constantius, worried by reports of Gallus' behaviour in Antioch (not all of them true, see *Amm.* xiv. 11. 3-4, 24), sent the praetorian prefect Domitian to recall him to the west; an argument ensued in which Gallus' *quaestor* Montius sided with Domitian, but Gallus had both men killed. Subsequently Gallus obeyed Constantius' summons to report to Milan, but he was tried in *absentia* and executed at Flanona on the east coast of the Istrian peninsula (*Hyd. s.a.* 354).

¹⁰⁰ Proclamation of Julian (at Milan): cf. *Theoph.* 45. 5-9 (wrongly located at Cpl., but with additional details); the correct date was day 8 before Ides of Nov., i.e. 6 Nov. 355 (*Hyd. s.a.*; cf. Soc. ii. 34). For the fullest account, see *Amm.* xv. 8 (proclamation, marriage, and arrival in Gaul), xvi-xviii, xx (Julian's successes in Gaul); Bidez, *Julien* pt. 2, Browning, *Julian* ch. 5.

Olympiad 284

356 Indiction 14, year 19, the 10th consulship of Constantius Augustus and that of Julian Caesar.

In the time of these consuls, on day 1 in the month Panemus [July], the remains of Timothy who had been the holy disciple of Paul the apostle and first bishop elected at Ephesus in Asia, were brought to Constantinople with all honour, and laid to rest in the Holy Apostles beneath the holy altar.¹⁰¹

357 Indiction 15, year 20, the 11th consulship of Constantius Augustus and the 2nd of Julian Caesar.

In the time of these consuls, on day 3 in the month Dystrus [March], the remains of the holy apostles Luke and Andrew were brought to Constantinople through the zeal of Constantius the Augustus, with zeal and piety, amidst psalmody and hymnody, and were laid to rest in the Holy Apostles.¹⁰²

Constantius Augustus came to Rome with great display and pomp for his *vicennalia*. [p.543] His wife Eusebia the empress also came with him, and they passed 14 days in Rome.¹⁰³

358 Indiction 1, year 21, consulship of Datianus and Cerealis.

359 Indiction 2, year 22, consulship of Eusebius and Hypatius.

In the time of these consuls in the month Hyperberetaeus [October] there was a great and violent earthquake in Nicomedia about hour 3 of the night. And the city collapsed and was destroyed, and among others the bishop of the same city, named Cecropius, also perished.¹⁰⁴

¹⁰¹ 356 Relics of Timothy: cf. *Hyd. s.a.* (with Roman date of 1 June). This notice and that following, which point to the piety of Constantius, probably derive from the postulated Arian history of the 4th c.: Philostorgius iii. 2 records these translations in a general chapter devoted to Constantius' acts of piety. On the relics' significance, see Dvornik, *Apostolicity* ch. 4.

¹⁰² 357 Relics of Luke and Andrew: cf. *Hyd. s.a.* (with Roman date). Three consular lists (*Vind. pr. & post.*, *Scal.*: CM i. 293) wrongly date this translation to 336 (30 Nov. *Vind. post.*; 22 June *Scal.*). Although CP has an anticipatory reference in the context of Constantine's burial in the Holy Apostles (*s.a.* 337, p. 533. 15-17; cf. also Philost. loc. cit. in n. 101, which is out of chronological sequence), the later date is supported by the involvement of Artemius (*PLRE* i. 112, s.v Artemius 2), who was 'martyred' under Julian (cf. *s.a.* 363 with n. 124).

¹⁰³ *Vicennalia*: cf. *Theoph.* 44. 19-22 (wrongly associated with the Magnentius campaign). The grand ceremony is described by Ammianus xvi. 10. 1-17; Constantius entered Rome on 28 April (*Hyd.*) and left on 29 May (Amm. xvi. 10. 20).

¹⁰⁴ 359 Nicomedia earthquake: cf. *Theoph.* 45. 25-7; also Philost. iv. 10. Ammianus (xvii. 7. 1-8) records that the disaster struck at daybreak on 24 Aug. (in 358; so too *Hyd.*), and that fires then burned for 5 days. CP here gives a

Gratian son of Valentinian was born on day 10 before Kalends of June [23 May],¹⁰⁵ and in the same year for the first time there appeared publicly in Constantinople a prefect of Rome, Honoratus by name, on day 3 before Ides of September [11 Sept.].¹⁰⁶

Olympiad 285

360 Indiction 3, year 23, the 12th consulship of Constantius Augustus and the 3rd of Julian Caesar.

In this year, on day 15 in the month Peritius [February] the Great Church of Constantinople was consecrated.¹⁰⁷

Macedonius bishop of Constantinople was demoted on the grounds of his many personal faults,¹⁰⁸ and Eudoxius was appointed in his place as bishop of the same church; he was enthroned on day 27 in the month Audynaueus [January], in the presence of 72 bishops, Maris, Acacius, Georgius, [p.544] Serra, Uranius, Theodosius, Eusebius, Leontius, Curio, Arabianus, Asinus, Philotheus, Agerochius, Eugenius, Elpidius, Stephanus, Heliodorus, Demophilus, Timotheus, Exeuresius, Megasius, Meizonius, Paulus, Evagrius, Apollonius, Phoebus, Theophilus, Protasius, Theodorus, Heliodorus, Eumathius, Synesius, Ptolemaeus, Eutyches, Quintus, Alphius, Trophimus, Eutychius,

Greek date, in contrast to the Roman date for other events in the year.

¹⁰⁵ Birth of Gratian: at Sirmium on 18 April 359 (Jerome, *Chron. s.a.; Hyd.*). Gratian was emperor 367–83, see *PLRE* i. 401, s.v. Gratianus 2.

¹⁰⁶ Honoratus: see *PLRE* i. 438–9, s.v. Honoratus 2; he was the first city prefect of Cpl. (the New Rome), cf. *Hyd.* with the preferred date 3 before Ides of Dec. 359 (11 Dec.). For *ἐν πρώτοις* in this sense, 'for the first time', cf. *Mal.* 321. 17–18, *CP* p. 529. 19 (*πρώτοις*); also *primum* in *Hyd.* For the prefecture, see Dagron, *Naissance* 215–17, 241–2, and R. Guillard, 'Études sur l'histoire administrative de l'empire byzantin – L'éparque de la ville', *ByzSlav* xli, 1980, 17–32.

¹⁰⁷ 360 Consecration of St. Sophia: cf. *Hyd.* with Roman date (15 before Kalends of March, in fact one day out because 360 was a leap year). The completion of the Great Church, together with the appointment of a city prefect in 359, was an indication that the new city of Cpl. had now developed into a major urban centre. See further n. 110.

¹⁰⁸ Macedonius: bishop of Cpl. 342–60 (but from 346 to 351 his deposed predecessor Paul was also recognized). Although elected by Arian bishops and known as a persecutor of 'orthodox' Nicenes, Macedonius was deposed at the instigation of Constantius (a more thorough Arian). His offence was partly doctrinal, because Macedonius denied the divinity of the Holy Spirit (see Chadwick, *Church* 146), and partly arose from the disputes caused by his decision to move Constantine's body to St. Acacius during building work at the Holy Apostles (either repairs or its transformation from a mausoleum into a church). See further Dagron, *Naissance* 436–42.

Basiliscus, Theomnestus, Vetrano, Philippus, Anastasius, Maxentius, Polyeuctus, Gratianus, Leontius, Metrodorus, Eustathius, Junianus, Trophimus, Oecumenius, Menophilus, Euethius, and the rest.¹⁰⁹

At the time of the same synod of bishops, not many days after the enthronement of Eudoxius as bishop of Constantinople, the inauguration of the Great Church of the same city was celebrated after a little more than 34 years since Constantine, victorious and venerable, laid the foundations.¹¹⁰ Its inauguration took place in the time of the aforementioned consuls, on day 16 before Kalends of March, which is day 14 of the month Peritius [February].¹¹¹ At the inauguration the emperor Constantius Augustus presented many dedications, great gold and silver treasures, and many gemmed and gold-threaded cloths for the holy altar; in addition also, for the doors of the church diverse golden curtains, and for the outer entrances [p.545] varied gold-threaded ones; so he lavishly bestowed many gifts at that time on the entire clergy, and on the order of virgins and widows and on the hospices. And for the sustenance of the aforementioned and of the beggars, and orphans, and prisoners, he added a corn allocation of greater size than that which his father Constantine had bestowed.¹¹²

¹⁰⁹ Eudoxius: bishop 360–70, recently deposed after a short period as bishop of Antioch (358–9). The 72 bishops had gathered at Cpl., following the separate western and eastern councils at Rimini and Seleucia (Isauria) in autumn 359, as the culmination of Constantius' attempt to re-establish ecclesiastical unity throughout his empire, but it was also appropriate for them to solemnize the change of bishop in the capital. See Dagron, *Naissance* 216–17, 441–4; Jones, *LRE* 117–18. The careful preservation of the majority of names indicates CP's interest in the affairs of the Cpl. church.

¹¹⁰ St. Sophia: the foundation is attributed to Constantine by some late sources, e.g. the anonymous *Ekphrasis St. Sophiae* 1 (*Patria* p. 74. 6–8 Preger), G. Mon. ii. 627. 4–5, and Cedr. i. 498. 3 (cf. 517. 9–11); however, Dagron (*Naissance* 397–401) reviews the evidence, and plausibly suggests that the allusion in CP to Constantine laying the foundations 34 years previously (i.e. in 326) refers to his foundation of Cpl. (in 328). Eusebius, in his panegyric VC, does not give Constantine credit for the church. Although it is possible that Constantine left instructions which Constantius executed (Cedr. i. 523. 4–7), Constantius was primarily responsible for the building.

¹¹¹ Date: day 16 before Kalends of March was in fact 15 Peritius/Feb. in 360 (a leap year), the date correctly but inconsistently recorded in the first entry for the year (from a different source); cf. n. 107.

¹¹² Constantius' generosity: the favourable attitude of CP's source towards the Arian emperor is again revealed in this catalogue of his benefactions. Constantius had in fact halved his father's provision of food for Cpl. in 342 (not mentioned in CP) as punishment for the murder of Hermogenes (Soc. ii. 13); this

361 Indiction 4, year 24, consulship of Taurus and Florentius.

At the beginning of the fourth indiction, because the rebellion of Julian Caesar had been reported to him, he came to the springs of Mompsus at the first staging-post from Cilician Tarsus; after he had first received the holy baptism from Euzoius bishop of Antioch, who was summoned to the same staging-post by the same Constantius, the same Constantius Augustus quitted life on day 3 in the month Dios [November], year of Antioch 410, indiction 5, when year 50 of the peace of the churches had been reached, under the aforementioned consuls Taurus and Florentius.¹¹³

Julian the apostate, cousin of Constantius and brother of Gallus who was also Constantius, was 36th Roman emperor for 2 years.

In total, 5,872.

362 Indiction 5, year 1, consulship of Mamertinus and Nevitta.

After the death of Constantius the Augustus the peace of the churches was severed when Julian entered Constantinople on day 11 in the month Apellaeus [December]. And these are the ensuing events.¹¹⁴

[p.546] Julian, when he learnt of the death of Constantius the Augustus, made manifest his own apostasy and impiety by sending out edicts against Christianity throughout the whole world, and commanded that all the idols be restored.¹¹⁵ Elated at

was reinstated after a request by Themistius in 357, but *CP* may refer to an extra grant for charitable purposes: see Dagron, *Naissance* 534-5.

¹¹³ 361 Death of Constantius: cf. *Theoph.* 46. 13-14 for place and date; *Amm.* xxi. 15. 2-3, but with incorrect date of 5 Oct. (3 before Nones Oct., for 3 before Nones Nov.); Moses of Khoren iii. 12 (alluding to Cyril's account of the apparition of the Cross, cf. *s.a.* 351); also *Philost.* vi. 5 for baptism by Euzoius, Arian bishop of Antioch 360-76. Constantius was fighting the Persians when Julian was proclaimed Augustus by his troops at Paris in Feb. 360; subsequent attempts to reach a compromise failed and the breach became open in spring 361 (*Amm.* xxi; see also Bidez, *Julien* pt. 2, chs. 11-14; Browning, *Julian* ch. 6).

Synchronism: this contains the correct indiction date, 5 (since the new indiction began in Sept.), as opposed to the opening phrase, 'at the beginning of the fourth indiction', which was presumably *CP*'s own inference from the year-heading. It reflects the importance attached to the Arian Constantius by *CP*'s source: cf. *Theoph.* 46. 16-17 where an *annus mundi* date is given for Constantius' baptism. Constantine's victory over Maxentius in 312 had established the peace of the churches.

¹¹⁴ 362 Julian's entry into Cpl.: cf. *Hyd. s.a.* 361 (correctly; with Roman date). *Ammianus* xxii. 2 describes the welcome given to him by senate and people.

¹¹⁵ Julian's measures: for summary, see Jones, *LRE* 121-2. The consequences of Julian's apostasy are recorded, with different selections, by the 5th-c. ecclesiastical historians (*Soc.* iii; *Soz.* v; *Theod.* iii; *Philost.* vii). Julian himself preferred persuasion and patronage to persecution (e.g. *Jul. Letter* 37), and did not want to strengthen Christianity by the creation of new martyrs; but his

this, the pagans in the east at once arrested and killed at Alexandria in Egypt George, the bishop of the city, and impiously insulted his body: for they put it on a camel and carried it around through the whole city, and after this they gathered together dead bodies of various beasts as well as bones, mixed them with his body, burnt them, and scattered them around.¹¹⁶

And in Palestine they dug up and scattered around the remains of St. John the Baptist which lay in the city of Sebaste.

Furthermore, they also dug up from the grave the remains of St. Patrophilus who had been bishop of the church in Scythopolis, and scattered the majority around, but the skull they insolently hung up and affixed as it were in the form of a lamp.

And in Gaza and Ascalon they killed presbyters and virgins, and after this, having cut open and filled their bodies with barley, they threw them to the pigs.

And in Phoenicia they killed Cyril a deacon of Heliopolis and ate his liver, since he had destroyed their idols in the time of Constantine of blessed memory. But it is worth mentioning how the man who cut open the [p.547] deacon and ate his liver destroyed his own life. He lost his putrefied tongue, cast out his shattered teeth, was debilitated in the eyes after great and increasingly violent pain, and tortured throughout his whole body he died horribly.¹¹⁷

At Emesa they invaded the great church and set up the idol of Dionysus.¹¹⁸

Similarly too in the city of Epiphania in Syria the pagans lenient treatment of pagan excesses (especially when compared with punishment of Christian violence at Caesarea and Edessa) will have encouraged extremists to settle scores that built up during fifty years of Christianization. Local responses to Julian's edicts varied: a thoroughly Christian city like Antioch ignored the emperor's wishes even though he was present, whereas Cyzicus or (Syrian) Hierapolis reacted enthusiastically. See further Bidez, *Julien* pt. 3, chs. 5, 9, 13; Browning, *Julian* ch. 9.

¹¹⁶ George: cf. also Soc. iii. 2-3, Soz. v. 7, Philost. vii. 2. Ammianus (xxii. 11) records that he was killed during a riot to celebrate the death of Artemius, *dux* of Egypt (cf. *s.a.* 363 with n. 124), although the Christians could have prevented this if all men had not hated him; Julian upbraided the Alexandrians for their behaviour (*Letter* 21).

¹¹⁷ Pagan outrages: cf. *Theoph.* 47. 25-48. 3 (omitting Sebaste), *Theod.* iii. 7. 1-4 (Gaza, Ascalon, Sebaste, Heliopolis); also *Philost.* vii. 4 (John the Baptist), *Soz.* v. 9-10. 7.

¹¹⁸ Emesa: cf. *Theoph.* 48. 12-13 (crediting Julian with the deed and adding that he pulled down the old church); also *Theod.* iii. 7. 5.

invaded the church and brought in an idol, with flutes and drums. And the blessed Eustathius who happened to be bishop of the same church, a man devout and pious, when he heard the flutes, enquired where they might be; on learning that they were in the church, being zealous in faith and piety, he was laid to rest immediately he heard, since he prayed not to see these things with his eyes.¹¹⁹

Furthermore, when Julian came to Constantinople, while Eudoxius was bishop there, in manifold ways he devised plots against the church for its confusion, and brought these things upon it. He wanted to let loose upon the churches all those who had previously been demoted for various foul heresies, contriving pretexts against the churches of God from the disturbances that arose.¹²⁰

So then, Meletius too, who had been demoted for impiety and other evils, [p.548] returned to Antioch and seized the old church, when those of the clergy who had already been legally demoted by the holy synod also ran to join him.¹²¹ Among these were notably Diogenes a former presbyter, who more than the others ran to join him, and Vitalius a layman, who lived constantly in imposture: and indeed he went much further and afterwards, subsequently aggrieved against Meletius, split off from him and established a heresy which was itself also worthy of ridicule; they are called Vitalians after him to the present day. Apollinarius too, the one who was a Laodicean from Syria, son of a schoolmaster, championed this heresy.¹²²

¹¹⁹ Eustathius of Epiphania: the only notice of Julian's anti-Christian actions that cannot be paralleled in a church historian and/or Theophanes.

¹²⁰ Encouragement for divisions among Christians: cf. Philost. vii. 4 (p. 81. 6-13 Winkelmann); also Soc. iii. 5 (recall of exiled bishops), Soz. v. 5, Amm. xxii. 5. 3-4. Together with the resumption of imperial patronage for pagans and a corresponding discrimination against those who refused to sacrifice (cf. Soc. iii. 13), this was Julian's most effective weapon against the Christians.

¹²¹ Meletius: an upholder of Nicene orthodoxy, he was briefly bishop of Antioch in 359/60, before being ousted on a disciplinary pretext in favour of the more Arian Euzoius (Theod. Lect. ii. 114). This marked the start of a major schism in the Antioch church that was not healed until 393, and for much of this period Antioch had three bishops, the Arian Euzoius (360-76), the orthodox Paulinus (362-88) who was in communion with Athanasius of Alexandria, and Meletius (360-81) also orthodox but not in communion with Athanasius. For Julian's encouragement of this schism, cf. Theod. iii. 4. 5, Soc. iii. 9, Soz. v. 13.

¹²² Heretics: Diogenes is unknown. Vitalius, ordained presbyter by Meletius, subsequently split from him in a personal quarrel and became a devotee of Apollinarius, a vehement anti-Arian who, however, propounded the heresy that Christ lacked a perfect human soul or spirit, since this was replaced by the

363 Indiction 6, year 2, the 4th consulship of Julian Augustus and that of Salustius.

At this time, certain of those too who were being reviewed on military service were deceived into apostasy, some of them being softened by promises of gifts and rank, others too by constraints applied by their own officers. And a certain Theotecnus, a presbyter of the church in Antioch, deceived by a promise turned voluntarily to idolatry; God forthwith took vengeance on him in the following manner: he became consumed by worms, lost his eyes, ate his tongue, and so died.

Then too Heron, such was his name, a certain Theban bishop, [p.549] voluntarily apostasized when he happened to be in the Antiochene city; on him the miraculous power of God exacted vengeance as an example and terror for many. For he rendered him devoid of all care, and inflicting a putrefying disease caused him to expire in public before the eyes of all as he roamed about the streets.

Among these Valentinian also, who was at that time a tribune of a brigade in the so-called cohort of the *Cornuti*, distinguished himself in confession of Christ. For not only did he scorn his rank, but even when subjected to exile he endured nobly and eagerly. How he was subsequently honoured by God in becoming Roman emperor will be shown in the following narrative.¹²³

And Artemius, who was *dux* of the diocese of Egypt, since in the period of his office under Constantius the Augustus of blessed memory he had displayed great zeal on behalf of the churches, had his property confiscated and his head cut off in the Alexandrian city, since Julian bore a grudge against him.

And in Dorostolon of Thracian Scythia Aemilianus was also martyred, being consigned to fire by soldiers under the *vicarius*

Divine Word (cf. Soz. vi. 25, and see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 350). Apollinarius of Laodicea and his schoolmaster father of the same name, attempted to avoid the consequences of Julian's ban on Christians teaching the pagan classics by transposing the Bible into classical literary genres (Soz. v. 18). 'The one who was a Laodicean' is our conjectural interpretation of a garbled reading in the MS.

123 363 Pressure on soldiers, Theotecnus of Antioch, Heron, Valentinian: cf. *Theoph.* 50. 34-51. 11 for the same sequence (adding an anecdote about Jovian, 51. 11-14); also Philost. vii. 13 (Heron, Theotecnus); Sozomen v. 17 records that Julian seduced the troops into sacrificing when they received their pay. For the stories about Valentinian's conduct under Julian, see *PLRE* i. 933-4, s.v. Valentinianus 7; the most extreme is that he was exiled to a desert place for striking a pagan priest. In *CP* the periphrastic 'so-called' is inappropriately applied to 'cohort' rather than to *Cornuti* (as *Theoph.* 51. 9, Philost. vii. 7), a unit of auxiliaries in the praesental field army.

Capitolinus, and many others in various places, cities, and lands distinguished themselves in confession of Christ, whose number and names it is not easy to declare.

[p.550] At this time a certain Thalassius, who was also called Magnus, being outstanding for licentiousness and profligacy, who even acted as pimp for shameful acts for his own daughter, died when his house collapsed on him.¹²⁴

Concerning the martyrdom of St. Domitius

In this year St. Domitius was also martyred in the following manner. For Julian, while setting out on campaign and passing through the Cyrrhestike, saw a crowd standing before the cave of St. Domitius and being cured, and he asked, 'Who is it?'. And when he learnt that he was a monk and that the crowd gathered wishing to be cured and blessed by him, the same Julian made this declaration to St. Domitius through a Christian *referendarius*: 'If you entered the cave to please your God, do not wish to please men, but live alone.' St. Domitius declared to him in return, 'After handing my soul and body over to God long ago, I shut myself away in this cave. But I cannot chase away the crowd who come to me in faith.' And the emperor Julian ordered that the cave be barricaded, and the righteous man remained within. And thus the same St. Domitius met his end.¹²⁵

¹²⁴ Artemius, Aemilianus, Thalassius: cf. Theoph. 51. 14-27 (with extra details on Thalassius). Ammianus (xxii. 11) records that Artemius was executed because of the serious charges brought against him by the Alexandrians (cf. *PLRE* i. 112, s.v. Artemius 2, and n. 102 above). Dorostolon was an army base at modern Silistra on the Danube in Bulgaria.

Thalassius who 'acted as pimp': we have restored the MS *προαγωγεύς* (nominative) for the Bonn Text's inaccurate *προαγωγός* (accusative).

¹²⁵ Domitius: cf. Mal. 328. 5-19. At Antioch Julian had been annoyed by the Christian enthusiasm of the majority of the population, and hoped to find greater reverence for pagan deities away from the city; Domitius was perhaps a victim of Julian's vexation (if the chroniclers' story is true). Julian left Antioch to attack the Persians, and his march eastwards would have taken him through the Cyrrhestike, the vicinity of Cyrrhus (for the route, see *Amm.* xxiii. 2).

Referendarius: a judicial clerk or messenger attached to the emperor; possibly an anachronism, since they are first attested in the law codes only in 427 (Jones, *LRE* 575, 1236 n. 24).

This story, which is not in Theophanes, marks the point at which CP switches to Malalas (or material in the Malalas tradition), abandoning the pro-Arian source which he had used in common with Theophanes. The heading printed in the Bonn text appears only in the margin of the MS, and is analogous to similar headings for Artemius and Aemilianus which the editor Dindorf did

At the same time Julian saw in a vision a certain full-grown [p.551] man clad in consular dress who came to him in his pavilion which was pitched near the city of Ctesiphon, in a village called Rasia, and struck him with a spear. And he woke from sleep and cried out, and the eunuch *cubicularii* and the *spatharii*, and the troop guarding the pavilion stood up and came to him with imperial lamps. And Julian, after noticing that he had a wound under the armpit, asked those standing beside him, 'How is the village named where my pavilion is pitched?'. And they said to him, 'Rasia.' And straightway Julian cried out, 'O Helios, you have destroyed Julian.' And he surrendered his soul from loss of blood at hour 5 of the night in the month Daisius, on day 6 before Kalends of July [26 June].¹²⁶ And straightway the army, before the Persian enemy knew of it, departed to the pavilion of Jovian, *comes domesticorum* and a *magister militum* in rank. And while he was unaware of the news of the death of Julian, they brought him to the imperial pavilion as though the emperor Julian had asked for him. And when he entered the pavilion they constrained him and proclaimed him emperor in the same month Daisius, on day 6 before Kalends of July [26 June], before dawn. And the mass of the army, which was at Ctesiphon and encamped a good distance away, did not know of the events until sunrise. So the same Julian died, aged 36 years.¹²⁷

not include.

¹²⁶ Death of Julian: cf. *Mal.* 332. 18-333. 6, with minor differences (cuirass rather than consular dress; Asia for Rasia). For the Roman date (not in *Mal.*), cf. *Hyd.* Malalas preserves considerable information about Julian's campaign against the Persians, and two versions of the fatal wound, a less miraculous account attributed to the historian Magnus of Carrhae (329. 2-3; 332. 8), and the version shared with CP, which is attributed to Eutychianus of Cappadocia (332. 9; little is known, see *PLRE* i. 319, s.v. Eutychianus 3). For detailed narrative of the Persian campaign, and of Julian's death from a wound suffered in a skirmish as the Romans retreated north up the Tigris from Ctesiphon, see *Amm.* xxiii-xxv. 3 (xxv. 3. 9: the place of death is called Phrygia, which accorded with a prophecy); also Bidez, *Julien* pt. 3, ch. 17, Browning, *Julian* ch. 10.

Julian's devotion to Helios: see *Jul. Or.* vii. 229-34, and P. Athanasiadi-Fowden, *Julian and Hellenism* (Oxford 1981), index s.v. Helios.

Cubicularii and *spatharii*: *cubicularii* were attendants of the imperial bed-chamber, traditionally eunuchs, among whom the *spatharii* were bodyguards. However, the first known *spatharius* was Chrysaphius under Theodosius II, so the reference here is anachronistic; cf. n. 264, and see Jones, *LRE* 566-70, Guillard, *Recherches* i. 269-85.

¹²⁷ Proclamation of Jovian: cf. *Mal.* 333. 7-17 (Julian aged 33: he was in fact 31), and *Hyd.* for the Roman date (5 before Kalends of July, i.e. 27 June, as in *Mal.*). Jovian, *protector domesticus* under Constantius, was promoted by Julian to be

[p.552] On the same night the most saintly Basil bishop of Caesarea saw in a vision the heavens opened and the saviour Christ seated on a throne, crying out and saying, 'Mercurius, go and slaughter Julian the emperor, he who is against the Christians.' And St. Mercurius, standing before the Lord and wearing an iron breastplate, disappeared when he heard the command. And again he was present, standing before the throne of the Lord, and he cried, 'Julian the emperor is slain and dead, as you ordered, Lord.' And the bishop Basil, terrified at the cry, awoke in confusion. The emperor Julian used to honour him as a man of eloquence and his companion, and he wrote to him constantly. And the bishop Basil went down to the church for the morning service, summoned all the clergy, and told them the mystery of the vision, saying, 'Julian the emperor is slain and dead on this night.' And all urged him to be silent and not to say such a thing.¹²⁸

After the reign of Julian, in the month Daisius [June] the same Jovian became emperor for 8 months, after being crowned by the army in the Persian regions. As soon as he became emperor, in his own voice he personally proclaimed to the whole army and to the senators with him, 'If you wish me to be your emperor, see that we are all Christians.' And all the army and the senate and the brigades of the armies acclaimed him. [p.553] And next, after the same Jovian together with his army left the desert land for the fertile Persian land, he was anxious about how he should depart from the remaining regions of Persia.

But the Persian king Labuarsacius, who had not yet learnt of the death of the emperor Julian, was possessed of great fear and dispatched from the country of Persian Armenia one of his grandees, Surenas by name, on an embassy to the Roman emperor seeking and begging for peace. Jovian the emperor received him gladly and consented to receive the peace embassy, saying that he himself too would send an ambassador to the Persian king. When he heard this, the Persian ambassador

primicerius domesticorum, an officer in the regiments of imperial household guards and a second-in-command to the *comes domesticorum* (Jones, *LRE* 636-40); see further *PLRE* i. 461, s.v. Iovianus 3. *Magister militum*: general in the field army, Jones, *LRE* 97, 124-5.

¹²⁸ Basil's vision: cf. *Mal.* 333. 18-334. 12, noting at the end that the chronographer Eutropius disagreed in some respects. Eutychianus of Cappadocia (cf. n. 126) is a likely origin for this anecdote, with its unexpected references to Basil's continuing friendship with his former student contemporary, and the clergy's lack of enthusiasm for the news.

St. Mercurius: martyred in the early 250s; see H. Delehaye, *Les Légendes grecques des saints militaires* (Paris 1909), 91-101, esp. 96ff.

Surenas asked the emperor Jovian to draw up peace terms straightway and at once.

And the emperor Jovian selected one of his senators, the patrician Arinthaëus, and handed the whole matter to him, agreeing to abide by what was sanctioned by him, or rather drawn up together with the senate and the Persian ambassador, so as to make peace terms, since Jovian himself was occupied. And he granted an intermission in the war for three days during the council for peace. And between the patrician Arinthaëus the Roman and Surenas the Persian senator it was drawn up that the Romans should give the Persians all the province and the city of Nisibis as it is called, empty, with just its walls alone [p.554] and without the men who inhabited it. And when this had been established and the peace put in writing, the emperor Jovian took with him one of the Persian satraps, Junius by name, who was with the ambassador, for the receipt of the province and its metropolis. And the same emperor Jovian, when he reached the Nisibene city, did not enter inside it, but stopped outside the walls. But Junius, the satrap of the Persians, went into the city at the command of the emperor and affixed a Persian standard to one of the towers, the Roman emperor having commanded that all the citizens together with all their property come out to a man immediately. And Silvanus came out to him, a *comes* in rank and a decurion of the same city, and prostrated himself before the emperor, begging him not to hand over the city to the Persians. But when he did not persuade him (for he said that he had sworn, and did not wish to have a reputation for perjury among all men), Jovian fortified a village which was outside the wall of the city of Amida, linked the same wall to the wall of the city of Amida, called it the village of Nisibis, and made all those from the Mygdonian land live there, including Silvanus the decurion. He immediately brought from Mesopotamia every Christian, and entrusted to Christians the offices and prefectures of all the east.

And after the making of peace terms with the Persians, [p.555] the emperor Jovian departing from the east within a short time set out with the army for Constantinople, in haste on account of the winter; for it was harsh.¹²⁹

¹²⁹ Proclamation of Jovian, negotiations with Persians, surrender of Nisibis, and Jovian's departure from east: cf. Mal. 334. 13-337. 9 for the same sequence of material, with only minor differences (Jovian is an ardent Christian; as emperor he is too proud, not too occupied, to negotiate directly with a Persian noble; Jovian restored Christianity in Mesopotamia, and made peace with the Persians for a short time). We have repunctuated the text after 'Silvanus the decurion' on

Olympiad 286

Jovian was 37th Roman emperor for 10 months, 15 days.

In total, 5,873.

364 Indiction 7, year 1, consulship of Jovian Augustus and Varronianus.

In this year Jovian Augustus died at Dadastana, in the month Peritius, day 12 before Kalends of March [19 Feb.].¹³⁰

Valentinian Augustus was 38th Roman emperor, after Salustius the praetorian prefect and aged patrician had selected the same Valentinian. This was the Valentinian whom Julian the apostate sent to Selymbria because he was thoroughly Christian, making him tribune of a unit there. For Julian recognized in a vision that he would be emperor after him. The praetorian prefect Salustius sent and brought him from Selymbria, and said to the army and senate, 'No-one will make an emperor for Roman affairs like him.' And Valentinian was elevated as Augustus at Nicaea in Bithynia, in the month Peritius, day 5 before Kalends of March [26 Feb.].¹³¹

the basis of a punctuation mark in the MS (overlooked in the Bonn text): this brings CP into agreement with Malalas.

This common account is highly favourable to Jovian, since it absolves him from responsibility for the disadvantageous peace terms agreed with the Persian king Shapur (Labuarsacius is an error for Sabuarsacius, cf. Mal. 335. 4), and does not state the full extent of the Roman loss (5 districts to the east of the Tigris and the city of Singara were also surrendered). Ammianus xxv. 5-9, in a more detailed and critical account, emphasizes the plight of the army stranded on the east side of the Tigris and the disgrace of the agreement: he records that Salustius was the first choice to succeed Julian, attributes Jovian's refusal to enter Nisibis to shame at its surrender, and names Bineses as the Persian who took over the city.

Arinthaëus: one of Julian's commanders, and subsequently consul in 372 (PLRE i. 102-3). Comes: 'companion', an honorific rank created by Constantine (Jones, LRE 104-5).

Peace treaty: see R. Turcan, 'L'Abandon de Nisibe et l'opinion publique', *Mélanges A. Piganiol*, ed. R. Chevallier (Paris 1966), ii. 875-90.

Nisibis: see Fiey, *Nisibe* 35-7. Amida: see A. Gabriel, *Voyages archéologiques dans la Turquie orientale* (Paris 1940), pt. 2, esp. chs. 1, 7.

¹³⁰ 364 Death of Jovian: cf. *Hyd.* with the Roman date of 11 before Kalends of March (13 before Kalends in Eutropius x. 18; 17 Feb. in Soc. iii. 26. 5); also Malalas 337. 9-11, who locates Dadastana in Galatia and gives Jovian's age as 60. For the circumstances, see Amm. xxv. 10. 11-13 (the election as consul of Jovian's infant son Varronianus was taken as a bad omen; Jovian was aged 33).

¹³¹ Elevation of Valentinian: cf. *Mal.* 337. 12-338. 2 (Valentinian the severe, who is crowned by Salustius), and *Hyd.* for the place and Roman date. For a fuller and more accurate report, see Amm. xxvi. 1-2. Valentinian had already returned from the exile imposed by Julian (cf. *s.a.* 363, with n. 123), and had been sent by

The same most sacred Valentinian was 38th Roman emperor for 14 years.¹³² In total, 5,887.

[p.556] This Valentinian, as soon as he became emperor, replaced the praetorian prefect Salustius and, when he had put him under surety, he posted edicts against him to the effect that if anyone was wronged by him he should approach the emperor Valentinian. And no-one made approaches against Salustius, for he was most thoroughly upright.¹³³

In this year Valens, the brother of Valentinian, was elevated as Augustus in Constantinople at the Hebdomon by Valentinian Augustus, in the month Dystrus, day 4 before Kalends of April [29 March].¹³⁴

This Valens the brother of Valentinian was Arian, and had as wife Domnica, by whom he had a son, Galates by name, and two daughters, Anastasia and Carosa,¹³⁵ and he founded two baths in Constantinople, the Anastasianae and the Carosianae, in accordance with their names.¹³⁶

Jovian to the west (Amm. xxv. 10. 6-7) but had come back to Ankara by the time of his selection as successor (xxv. 10. 9, xxvi. 1. 5).

Salustius: Secundus Salutius, praetorian prefect of the east 361-5 and 365-7 (PLRE i. 814-17, s.v. Secundus 3), not Flavius Sallustius, praetorian prefect of Gaul 361-3 and consul in 363 (PLRE i. 797-8, s.v. Sallustius 5). Sources often write Salustius instead of Secundus Salutius: e.g. Jul. *Letter to the Athenians* 281D, Zos. ii. 2. 2. Although Salutius was involved (Amm. xxvi. 2. 1), he was not primarily responsible for Valentinian's elevation; he was an old man in 367 (Zos. iv. 10. 4, cf. Amm. xxv. 5. 3); a career inscription datable to 365-7 (ILS 1255) does not mention the title of patrician.

¹³² Length of reign: under 12 years (until 17 Nov. 375), but the figure has been adjusted to fit CP's incorrect date for Valentinian's death in 378; Malalas 337. 13 gives 16 years.

¹³³ Demotion of Secundus Salutius: cf. *Mal.* 338. 3-6, and *Suda* s.v. *προβλήματα* (II 2441) and *Σαλούστιος* (Σ 64). For his career, see PLRE (cited in n. 131); he was deposed after 30 July 365, as a result of intrigues by Valens' father-in-law Petronius (Amm. xxvi. 7. 4), but had been reinstated before 2 Nov.; see further *sa.* 369.

¹³⁴ Elevation of Valens: cf. *Hyd.* Ammianus xxvi. 4. 3 gives the date as 28 March.

Hebdomon: located at the seventh milestone from the centre of Cpl., it seems to have originated as a military parade ground, but developed quickly as a suburban imperial residence at the end of the 4th c. For the remains, see R. Demangel, *Contribution à la topographie de l'Hebdomon* (Paris 1945).

¹³⁵ Valens' Arianism: cf. Soc. iv. 1. 5. His family: PLRE i. 1130, stemma 4; see further *ibid.* 930-1, s.v. Valens 8.

¹³⁶ Baths at Cpl.: cf. Theoph. 56. 31-57. 3 (adding a reference to the aqueduct of Valens); the *Notitia* locates the Anastasianae in the ninth region, the Carosianae in the seventh, see Janin, *Const.* 216, 219.

365 Indiction 8, year 1, consulship of Valentinian and Valens.

In this year the sea departed from its proper limits in the month Panemus [July], day 12 before Kalends of September [21 Aug.].¹³⁷

366 Indiction 9, year 2, consulship of Gratian *nobilissimus* and Dagalaiphus.

In the time of these consuls was born Valentinian Augustus, in the month Audynaesus, day 15 before Kalends of February [18 Jan.].¹³⁸

And in the same year the rebel Procopius was arrested [p.557] and killed by Valentinian Augustus in Phrygia Salutaris, on the plain of Nacolia, in the month Daisius, day 12 before Kalends of July [20 June].¹³⁹

367 Indiction 10, year 3, consulship of Lupicinus and Jovian.¹⁴⁰

In the time of these consuls God showered hail like stones on Constantinople, in the month Daisius, day 4 before Nones of June [2 June].¹⁴¹

And in the same year Gratian was elevated as Augustus in Gaul by Valentinian Augustus his father in the month Lous, day 9 before Kalends of September [24 Aug.].¹⁴²

Olympiad 287

368 Indiction 11, year 4, the 2nd consulship of Valentinian Augustus and the 2nd of Valens Augustus.

In the time of these consuls an earthquake occurred in the city of Nicaea so that it was razed, in the month Gorpiaeus, day 5 before Ides of October [11 Oct.].¹⁴³

¹³⁷ 365 Retreat of sea: cf. *Hyd.* with the date 12 before Kalends of Aug. [21 July], confirmed by Amm. xxvi. 10. 15-19 (cf. also Theoph. 56. 10-21).

¹³⁸ 366 Birth of Valentinian II: cf. *Hyd.*

¹³⁹ Procopius: cf. *Hyd.*, which does not name the rebel but correctly attributes his capture to Valens (Valentinian was now in the west), and gives the date as 6 before Kalends of June [27 May]. For the revolt, see Amm. xxvi. 5-9: Procopius, already suspect as a relative of Julian, was stimulated to revolt by the harsh exactions of Valens and his father-in-law Petronius; he was finally betrayed by his allies and handed over to Valens. Nacolia is located in central Anatolia, due west of Pessinus.

¹⁴⁰ 367 *cos. post.*: Jovinus.

¹⁴¹ Hail: cf. *Hyd.* with the date 4 before Nones of July [4 July].

¹⁴² Elevation of Gratian: cf. *Hyd.* (at Amiens); see also Amm. xxvii. 6, and further PLRE i. 401, s.v. Gratianus 2.

¹⁴³ 368 Nicaea earthquake: cf. *Hyd.*; also Mal. 342. 16-343. 1 (out of chronological sequence, dated Sept.).

369 Indiction 12, year 5, the 3rd consulship of Valentinian Augustus and that of Victor.¹⁴⁴

In the time of these consuls the most sacred emperor Valentinian slaughtered many senators and provincial officials [p.558] for doing wrong, stealing, and perverting justice. And as for the *praepositus* of his Palace, Rhodanus by name, a man of power and substance who controlled the Palace because he was first and chief eunuch and was held in great honour, he burnt him alive on faggots at the curved end of the Hippodrome, while he was watching the chariot racing.

The same *praepositus* Rhodanus seized property from a certain widowed lady called Berenice, after fabricating a charge against her, because he was a man of power; and she approached the emperor Valentinian against this *praepositus*, and he gave them as judge the patrician Salustius, who condemned the same *praepositus* Rhodanus. And when the emperor learnt of the decision from the patrician Salustius, he ordered the same *praepositus* to give to the widow what he had seized from her. But the same Rhodanus was not persuaded to give up to her what he had seized from her, but appealed against the patrician Salustius. And Salustius, in anger, ordered the woman to approach the emperor when he was watching the chariot racing. And the woman approached the emperor Valentinian in the morning at the 4th race, as the *praepositus* stood near him. And the emperor gave an order, and the *praepositus* was led down from the imperial box while all watched, and was led away to the curved end and burnt. And the emperor bestowed on the woman Berenice all the property of the *praepositus* Rhodanus, and the emperor was acclaimed by all the people [p.559] and the senate as just and severe; and there arose great fear among the evil-doers and those who seized others' possessions, and justice prevailed.

Likewise too when the emperor heard that the lady Marina his wife had bought a suburban estate short of its worth according to its revenue, because she was honoured as Augusta, he sent and had the estate valued, after binding the valuers by oath. And when he learnt that it was worth a far greater price than that for which it was bought, he was angry with the empress and

¹⁴⁴ 369 *cos. prior*: Valentinian Galates, son of Valens. Hereafter CP overstates the consulships of Valentinian Augustus by one.

banished her from the city, and gave the estate back to the woman who had sold it.¹⁴⁵

370 Indiction 13, year 6, the 4th consulship of Valentinian Augustus and the 3rd of Valens Augustus.

In the time of these consuls the church of the Holy Apostles in Constantinople was consecrated in the month Xanthicus, five days before the Ides of April [9 April].¹⁴⁶

371 Indiction 14, year 7, the 2nd consulship of Gratian and that of Probus.

Olympiad 288

372 Indiction 15, year 8, consulship of Modestus and Arinthaëus.

373 Indiction 1, year 9, the 5th consulship of Valentinian Augustus and the 4th of Valens Augustus.

374 [p.560] Indiction 2, year 10, the 3rd consulship of Gratian Augustus and that of Aequitius.

375 Indiction 3, year 11, the 4th consulship of Gratian Augustus and the 2nd of Aequitius.¹⁴⁷

In the time of these consuls the Carosianae gymnasium was inaugurated in the presence of the prefect Vindathnius Magnus.¹⁴⁸

In the time of the same consuls Valentinian II was elevated as

¹⁴⁵ Valentinian's justice: cf. *Mal.* 339. 20-341. 7 for the same sequence with very minor differences (Valentinian himself instructs the woman to approach him during the racing, and she does this in the fifth race). The *Suda* s.v. *Σαλοῦσιος* (Σ 64) contains a brief version of the Rhodanus story. On the office of *praepositus*, see Jones, *LRE* 567-70.

Date: Although Malalas gives no date, he notes the appointment of Salutius (cf. n. 131) as judge by Valentinian 'as soon as he became emperor' (340. 7-8). The story involving Valentinian and Salutius should have occurred in 364 before Valentinian left Cpl. for the west. Marina, however, seems still to have been in favour in 367 when she encouraged Valentinian to proclaim their son Gratian as Augustus (Aurelius Victor, *de caes.* 45. 4); see further *PLRE* i. 828, s.v. Marina Severa 2. It is probable that all the stories about Valentinian, Salutius, and justice came from the same source which celebrated the emperor's severity, but did not precisely date the individual incidents.

Suburban estates: cf. nn. 167, 180 for other evidence for the growth of imperial properties in Cpl. in this period.

¹⁴⁶ 370 Holy Apostles: cf. *Hyd.* Janin (*Églises* 42) suggested that this consecration followed a rebuilding caused by earthquake damage in 358, but cf. n. 108.

¹⁴⁷ 375 Consuls: post-consulship of the 3rd consulship of Gratian Augustus and of Aequitius. Hereafter *CP* overstates Gratian's consulships by one.

¹⁴⁸ Carosianae baths: cf. *Hyd.*, and above s.a. 364 with n. 136.

Vindaonius Magnus: city prefect 375-6, see *PLRE* i. 536, s.v. Magnus 12.

Augustus in the month Dius, day 5 before Kalends of December [27 Nov.] in the city of Aquincum.¹⁴⁹

Olympiad 289

376 Indiction 4, year 12, the 5th consulship of Valens Augustus and that of Valentinian Caesar.¹⁵⁰

377 Indiction 5, year 13, the 5th consulship of Gratian Augustus and that of Merobaudes.

378 Indiction 6, year 14, the 7th consulship of Valens Augustus and the 2nd of Valentinian Caesar.¹⁵¹

In the time of these consuls the emperor Valentinian was smitten by sickness and died in the fort of Vergitinae, aged 55 years.¹⁵²

In the time of these consuls Gratian Augustus recalled his mother, the lady Marina.¹⁵³

Gratian, together with Valens and Theodosius the Great, was 39th Roman emperor for 16 years.¹⁵⁴

In total, 5,903.

[p.561] Theodosius was elevated as Augustus in Sirmium by Gratian Augustus, his wife's brother, in the month Audynaesus, day 14 before Kalends of February [19 Jan.],¹⁵⁵ and he entered

¹⁴⁹ Proclamation of Valentinian II: cf. *Hyd.*, with the correct date of 10 before Kalends of Dec. [22 Nov.]. Valentinian II (*PLRE* i. 934-5, s.v. Valentinianus 8), aged only 4, was proclaimed on the sixth day after his father Valentinian's death on 17 Nov. (*Hyd.*, Soc. iv. 31. 6-7; *Amm.* xxx. 10); *CP* has wrongly placed the latter's death in 378, see n. 152.

¹⁵⁰ 376 *cos. post.*: Valentinian II Augustus (also described as Caesar in *Chron.* 354, paschal cycle, *CM* i. 63).

¹⁵¹ 378 Consuls: 6th of Valens and 2nd of Valentinian II Augustus.

¹⁵² Death of Valentinian: cf. *Hyd.*, correctly located on 15 before Kalends of Dec. [17. Nov.] 375; also *Mal.* 341. 16-17. He died at Bregetio in Pannonia from a fit of apoplexy after listening to an embassy from the Quadi (*Amm.* xxx. 6). *CP*'s mistake over the date may have been caused by confusion with the death of Valens in battle against the Goths at Adrianopolis in 378 (recorded by *Hyd.*, but not *CP*).

¹⁵³ Recall of Marina Severa: the date is unknown, but was presumably 375/6, shortly after Valentinian's death. For her exile, cf n. 145. *Malalas* 341. 10 wrongly states that Marina was recalled by her son Valerian.

¹⁵⁴ Gratian: Augustus (in the west) for 16 years, but from 367 to 383; the subsequent regnal years in *CP* relate to Theodosius I, who also reigned for 16 years (379-95).

¹⁵⁵ Elevation of Theodosius: cf. *Hyd.*, with *Scal.* 316 and *Vind. prior.* 497 (both *CM* i. 297), with the correct year 379; independently *Mal.* 343. 13-15, 344. 9-13 (with reference to his Spanish origins and proclamation by the senate). Theodosius had recently defeated the Sarmatians in Pannonia, a victory that

Constantinople in the month Dios, on day 8 before Kalends of December [24 Nov.].¹⁵⁶

379 Indiction 7, year 1, consulship of Ausonius and Olybrius.

In the time of these consuls Theodosius the emperor gave the churches to the orthodox, after enacting rescripts everywhere, and expelled from them the so-called Arian Exokionites, and he razed the shrines of the Hellenes to the ground.¹⁵⁷

The celebrated Constantine, while he was emperor, only closed the temples and shrines of the Hellenes; this Theodosius also destroyed them, including the temple of Balanius at Heliopolis, the great and renowned Trilithon, and made it a Christian church. Likewise too he made the temple at Damascus a Christian church. And Christian affairs were further exalted in the course of his reign.¹⁵⁸

went a little way towards restoring Roman morale after the disaster at Adrianopolis. It was only after the death of Flaccilla in 386 that he married Gratian's half-sister Galla (see *PLRE* i. 1130-1, stemmata 4 & 5); cf. n. 167.

¹⁵⁶ Entry into Cpl.: 24 Nov. 380 (Soc. v. 6. 6; *Hyd.* with the date 18 before Kalends of Dec., i.e. 14 Nov.), after further campaigns against the Goths in the Balkans; see *PLRE* i. 904-5, s.v. Theodosius 4.

¹⁵⁷ 379 Religious measures: cf. *Mal.* 344. 14-16. After falling seriously ill in winter 379/80 at Thessalonica, Theodosius had received baptism from the Nicene bishop Acholius; he then began to act against heretics (Soc. v. 6-8, Soz. vii. 4-5, Theoph. 68. 4-7). Pro-Nicene edicts were issued on 27 Feb. 380 from Thessalonica (to Cpl.) and on 30 July 381 from Heracleia (to the province of Asia): *Cod. Theod.* xvi. 1. 2-3; cf. also xvi. 5. 6 (10 Jan. 381).

Arians: the name Exokionites derived from the Exokionion at Cpl., a column outside the Constantinian city walls (Mango, *Const.* 47; Janin, *Const.* 351-2), to which the Arian bishop Demophilus withdrew after Theodosius' edict. At this date Arians were the dominant Christian group in the capital, as shown by the problems encountered by the orthodox Gregory Nazianzen during his brief spell as bishop of Cpl. in 379-81.

¹⁵⁸ Pagans: cf. *Mal.* 344. 19-345. 2 (the comparison of Constantine and Theodosius is not in our abridged Malalas, but survives in the Armenian history of Moses of Khoren (iii. 33), which contains some material parallel to Malalas (see Introduction n. 30). *CP* exaggerates Theodosius' harshness to pagans: only in 391 were sacrifices banned and temples closed (survey, Jones, *LRE* 165-9).

Temples: the temple of Zeus Heliopolis at Baalbek (Heliopolis), known as the Trilithon because of three especially large stones in an incomplete outer peristyle (each weighed about 720 tons) was converted into a church to St. Barbara, and that of Jupiter Damascenus into a church to John the Baptist (future site of the great mosque). John of Ephesus, however, records that in 555 the great temple at Baalbek was destroyed by lightning: offerings were apparently still being made at the temple and no-one had been able to destroy its credit (F. Nau, 'Analyse de la seconde partie inédite de l'Histoire Écclesiastique de Jean d'Asie', *Revue de l'Orient chrétien* ii, 1897, 455-93 at 490-1). Pagans could still be

Olympiad 290

380 Indiction 8, year 2, the 6th consulship of Gratian Augustus and that of Theodosius Augustus.

[p.562] In the time of these consuls Gratian Augustus, son of Valentinian the Great, was afflicted in the spleen and remained an invalid for a long time; and as he went up by way of the Kochlias to the Hippodrome of Constantinople to watch the games, his step-mother Justina arranged that he should be slain at the door of the Decimum, as it is called, because he was a Christian; for that lady was Arian.¹⁵⁹

381 Indiction 9, year 3, consulship of Syagrius and Eucherius.

In the three hundred and fifty-first year from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord, a synod of 150 holy and blessed fathers was convened in Constantinople. And they confirmed the symbol of orthodoxy by renouncing Macedonius, who was himself also similarly infected in his thinking by Arianism, since the impious man was not reluctant to ordain that the incomprehensibility and invisibility of the coeternity of the Holy Spirit with the Father and the Son was created in time.¹⁶⁰

discovered at Baalbek in 580 (J. Eph. *HE* iii. 27).

¹⁵⁹ 380 Death of Gratian: cf. *Mal.* 344. 5-8 for the story of his death in the Cpl. Hippodrome, but omitting the involvement and motive of the Arian Justina and incorrectly giving Gratian's age as 28 (in fact 24). This story is an anti-Arian fiction, since Gratian was in fact killed on 25 Aug. 383 at Lyons in Gaul during the revolt of Magnus Maximus, after being captured by Andragath, one of Magnus' officers (Theoph. 67. 30-68. 3; cf. Marc. Com. *s.a.* 383, Soc. v. 11, Zos. iv. 35. 5-6 with Paschoud's detailed discussion in vol. ii. 2 n. 172 at pp. 413-15). At the time of Gratian's murder, Justina (*PLRE* i. 488-9) was at or near Milan, where her Arian sympathies had led to arguments with bishop Ambrose.

Kochlias: a spiral stair connecting the Palace to the imperial box in the Hippodrome (cf. *CP* p. 528. 7-8, and the description of Justinian's moves during the Nika Riot at p. 625. 17-20 with n. 363, Proc. *Wars* i. 24. 43).

Decimum: a door or chamber behind the imperial box, correctly recognized by R. Guiland, *Études* i. 131-2 (preferable to Janin, *Const.* 187, who described it as a door into the Hippodrome rather than the imperial box); Guiland's further identification of the Decimum with the *triclinium* of the Bronze Doors is speculative. The reference to the Decimum as a means of access into the Palace at Const. Porph. *de caer.* ii. 51 (p. 701. 10-12) does not clarify its precise location. The murder of Armatus is located at the same place, see below *s.a.* 484; and cf. *Mal.* 387. 13-14 for an attempt on Illus' life (probably in 481).

¹⁶⁰ 381 Synod of Cpl.: Theophanes 68. 21-69. 32 has a detailed account, *Mal.* 346. 3-5 a brief notice; Marc. Com. (whose chronicle begins in 379) notes the synod.

Ascension date: cf. *ss.aa.* 325, 431, 452 for similar dates for other church councils.

This council (in May) confirmed the orthodoxy of Nicene doctrine, which

382 Indiction 10, year 4, consulship of Antoninus and the 2nd of Syagrius.¹⁶¹

383 Indiction 11, year 5, consulship of Merobaudes and Saturninus.¹⁶²

In the time of these consuls Arcadius was proclaimed Augustus in Constantinople at the tribunal of the Hebdomon by his father [p.563] Theodosius the Augustus, in the month Audynaesus, day 14 before Kalends of February [19 Jan.].¹⁶³

And in the same year the corpse of Constantia, the daughter of Constantine Augustus, arrived in Constantinople in the month Gorpiaeus, one day before Kalends of September [31 Aug.], and was laid to rest in the month Apellaeus, on Kalends of December [1 Dec.].¹⁶⁴

Olympiad 291

384 Indiction 12, year 6, consulship of Richomeres and Clearchus.

In the time of these consuls a Persian ambassador arrived.¹⁶⁵ And in the same year was born Honorius, true brother of

Theodosius favoured, and condemned the Arianizing views of the followers of Macedonius, former bishop of Cpl., who denied the divinity of the Holy Spirit; 36 of his followers withdrew from the council rather than subscribe to the doctrine upheld by the majority group of about 150. The triumph of Nicene belief was enshrined (and the end of Arianism signalled) when Theodosius arranged the transfer from Ankara of the relics of Paul, the orthodox bishop of Cpl. whom Macedonius had ousted, and their installation in a basilica constructed by Macedonius (for which see Janin, *Églises* 394-5). The most significant of the council's decisions was the elevation of Cpl. to second place in the ecclesiastical hierarchy, subordinate only to Rome, in recognition of its status as the New Rome; its bishop was now promoted to the rank of patriarch: see Chadwick, *Church* 150-1.

¹⁶¹ 382 Consuls: Antonius and Flavius Afranius Syagrius (the latter to be distinguished from the consul of 381).

¹⁶² 383 *cos. prior*: 2nd of Merobaudes, consul 377.

¹⁶³ Proclamation of Arcadius: cf. *Hyd.*, with the date 17 before Kalends of Feb. (16 Jan.; corroborated by Soc. v. 10. 5); Marc. Com. (year only). Theodosius' eldest son was born c.377, see *PLRE* i. 99, s.v. Arcadius 5.

¹⁶⁴ Burial of Constantia: cf. *Hyd.* dating the corpse's arrival to 2 before Ides of Sept. (12 Sept.). She was daughter of Constantius II (not Constantine; error also in *Hyd.*) and wife of Gratian (*PLRE* i. 221, s.v. Constantia 2).

¹⁶⁵ Persian embassy: cf. *Hyd.*, Marc. Com. The negotiations, conducted with envoys of Shapur III, resulted in the partition of Armenia between the two empires.

Arcadius Augustus, in the month Gorpiaeus, day 5 before Ides of September [9 Sept.].¹⁶⁶

385 Indiction 13, year 7, consulship of Arcadius Augustus and Bauto.

Theodosius the Augustus had as first wife before his reign Galla, the daughter of Valentinian the Great. By her he had a daughter with the same name as her mother, Galla, whom he also called Placidia. And both the two women were Arians. And Placidia, when she came to Constantinople with her father Theodosius, founded the mansion of Placidia.¹⁶⁷

As second wife Theodosius had Flaccilla, who was orthodox, [p.564] by whom he had Arcadius and Honorius. And this Flaccilla founded the Flaccillianon palace.¹⁶⁸

386 Indiction 14, year 8, consulship of Honorius Caesar and Euodius.

387 Indiction 15, year 9, the 4th consulship of Valentinian and that of Eutropius.¹⁶⁹

¹⁶⁶ 384 Honorius: cf. *Hyd.*, Marc. Com., and see *PLRE* i. 442, s.v. Honorius 3. 'True brother' because both were the sons of Flaccilla, cf. n. 168.

One fragment of the Goleniščev papyrus, a derivative of an Alexandrian chronicle related to *Scal.* and *Hyd.* and hence to *CP* as well, begins at this point (the last entry is the destruction of the Serapaeum in Alexandria in 392); see Bagnall, *Consuls* 53-4.

¹⁶⁷ 385 Galla: in 387 Theodosius married Galla (*PLRE* i. 382, s.v. Galla 2), daughter of Valentinian I and the Arian Justina, as part of the agreement whereby Theodosius was to attack Magnus Maximus and restore Valentinian II (Galla's brother) to the western throne; Valentinian II now abjured the Arian heresy (Theod. v. 15. 3), and it is likely that Justina and Galla did too (Paschoud, *Zosime* ii. 2, n. 188 at pp. 436-8). Galla Placidia was born c.388 (*PLRE* ii. 888-9, s.v. Placidia 4); the allusion here to her arrival in Cpl. is probably the result of confusion with her mother's arrival as a refugee from the west, recorded by Marc. Com. s.a. 386.

Palace of Placidia: the *Notitia* records three palaces of Placidia, two in the first region and one in the tenth; see Janin, *Const.* 135-6, and for an attempt to distribute these palaces between Galla Placidia mother and daughter, P. Speck in Beck, *Studien* 144-7. Theodosian princesses were great property magnates, cf. nn. 168 and 180.

¹⁶⁸ Flaccilla: in fact Theodosius' first wife, who died in 386 (*PLRE* i. 341-2). The inversion of the order of Theodosius' marriages may reflect the reluctance of an orthodox writer to record that the Nicene champion Theodosius married an Arian *after* an orthodox wife.

Flaccillianon: in the eleventh region of Cpl., cf. s.a. 531 at n. 360, and see Janin, *Const.* 413.

¹⁶⁹ 387 *cos. prior*: 3rd of Valentinian II (his 4th is recorded again, correctly, in 390); *Scal.* terminates in this year.

Olympiad 292

388 Indiction 1, year 10, the 2nd consulship of Theodosius Augustus and that of Cynegius.

389 Indiction 2, year 11, consulship of Timasius and Promotus.

In the time of these consuls Theodosius the emperor came to Rome with his son Honorius, and crowned him there as emperor. And Honorius was emperor there for 14 years.¹⁷⁰

390 Indiction 3, year 12, the 4th consulship of Valentinian and that of Neoterius.

391 Indiction 4, year 13, consulship of Tatianus and Symmachus.

In the time of these consuls Theodosius Augustus found the head of St. John the Baptist at the house of a certain Macedonian woman living in Cyzicus, recovered it, and laid it to rest for a time in Chalcedon. Finally he built from the foundations a church in the name of the saint at the so-called Hebdomon of Constantinople, and in it he laid the precious head of the Baptist, in the month Peritius, day 12 before Kalends of March [18 Feb.].¹⁷¹

Olympiad 293

392 Indiction 5, year 14, the 2nd consulship of Arcadius and that of Rufinus.

393 [p.565] Indiction 6, year 15, the 3rd consulship of Theodosius and that of Abundantius.

¹⁷⁰ 389 Coronation of Honorius in Rome: cf. *Theoph.* 70. 31-3, with the date 5 before Ides of June (9 June); *Hyd.* records the entry of Theodosius and Honorius into Rome on the Ides of June (13 June) and the granting of a donative, but (correctly) no coronation; similarly *Marc. Com.* records the entry and donative (also the departure on 1 Sept.). There are traces of this account in the Goleniščev papyrus (VI. verso 1-5).

Theodosius was visiting Rome following the defeat of the usurper Magnus Maximus and the restoration of the young Valentinian II as western emperor. Honorius was not proclaimed Augustus until 393, following the death of Valentinian II, cf. *Marc. Com. s.a.* He in fact reigned for 28 years (395-423), but the joint reign of Honorius and Arcadius lasted 14 years, 393-408 (*CP* p. 565. 15-17).

¹⁷¹ 391 Head of John the Baptist: the same story is in *Soz.* vii. 21 and *Theod. Lect.* 268, who explain that the relic had been discovered by 'Macedonian' monks (i.e. followers of the deposed bishop of Cpl. Macedonius: cf. n. 108); Valens wanted to transfer the relic to Cpl., but after a miracle it had been entrusted to a 'Macedonian' holy woman in the village of Cosila in the territory of Chalcedon. *CP s.a.* 453 has an alternative version of its discovery in Emesa. For the church, see Janin, *Églises* 413-15.

In the time of these consuls Proclus, former prefect, was beheaded in the month Apellaeus on day 8 before Ides of December [6 Dec.] in Sycae.¹⁷² And in the same year the Theodosian Forum was inaugurated.¹⁷³

394 Indiction 7, year 16, the 3rd consulship of Arcadius and the 2nd of Honorius.

In the time of these consuls was set up a great statue of Theodosius Augustus in the Theodosian Forum, in the month Lous, on Kalends of August [1 Aug.].¹⁷⁴

And in the same year Diogenes the usurper was beheaded in Italy.¹⁷⁵

In this year Theodosius Augustus died in Milan in the month Audynaues, on day 16 before Kalends of February [17 Jan.], aged 65 years.

Arcadius was 40th Roman emperor. He set out from Rome, having left his brother Honorius in the same Rome. And Arcadius was emperor in Constantinople and Honorius in Rome for 14 years.¹⁷⁶

In total, 5,917.

¹⁷² 393 Proculus: city prefect of Cpl. 388–92, see *PLRE* i. 746-7, s.v. Proculus 6; his execution was connected with the fall of his father Tatianus, praetorian prefect of the east.

Sycae: modern Galata; no remains of the late antique settlement have been identified, but for the late Byzantine (primarily Genoese) town, see A. M. Schneider & M. I. Nomidis, *Galata: topographisch-archäologischer Plan* (Istanbul 1944); also Janin, *Const.* 56-7, 466-7. For executions there, see further nn. 368, 403.

¹⁷³ Theodosian Forum: see Mango, *Const.* 43-5 and plan II; Janin, *Const.* 64-8; Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 258-65; also Guillard, *Études* ii. 56-9.

¹⁷⁴ 394 Theodosius' statue: an equestrian statue on a column, see Mango and Janin, locc. cit. in n. 173. It fell in the earthquake of 478 (cf. GC 1 in Appendix 2 below), and in 543/4 it was transported by Justinian to the Augustaum to serve as his own famous equestrian monument.

¹⁷⁵ Usurper: Flavius Eugenius (not Diogenes), Augustus 392–4 (*PLRE* i. 293, s.v. Eugenius 6); proclaimed emperor by the Frankish general Arbogast, he was executed on 6 Sept. 394 after Theodosius, prompted by his wife Galla (sister of Valentinian II whose death had been organized by Arbogast), had defeated his army at the river Frigidus (Soc. v. 25. 15-16). Theoph. 71. 2-7, 73. 25-7 has some details; brief notices in *Hyd. s.a.* 392, Marc. Com. *ss.aa.* 391, 394.

¹⁷⁶ Death of Theodosius and succession of his sons: Theodosius died on 17 Jan. 395 at Milan, cf. *Marc. Com. s.a.* (year only). The dying emperor summoned his younger son Honorius from Cpl. and appointed him emperor in the west with Stilicho as guardian, see Theoph. 74. 9-19, with Cameron, *Claudian* 37-40. Arcadius seems to have remained in the east, although Malalas 348. 12-15 states that shortly before Theodosius' death he travelled to Rome to visit Honorius, who was sick.

395 Indiction 8, year 1, consulship of Olybrius and Probinus.

In the time of these consuls Arcadius Augustus celebrated his marriage in the month Xanthicus, on day 5 before Kalends of May [27 April].¹⁷⁷ And in the [p.566] same year the corpse of the great Theodosius arrived in Constantinople in the month Dios, on day five before Ides of November [9 Nov.], and was laid to rest on day 5 before Ides of November [9 Nov.].¹⁷⁸

And Rufinus, praetorian prefect, was killed at the Hebdomon by the army.¹⁷⁹

Olympiad 294

396 Indiction 9, year 2, the 4th consulship of Arcadius Augustus and the 3rd of Honorius Augustus.

This Arcadius, son of Theodosius the Great, had as wife Eudoxia, by whom Theodosius the younger was borne. He also had daughters, Pulcheria and Arcadia and Marina. And two of these, namely Arcadia and Marina respectively founded the Arcadianae bath and the mansion of Marina. And Pulcheria finally married Marcian. But Arcadia founded as well the church of St. Andrew called that of Arcadia.¹⁸⁰

The 'Constantinopolitan' section of *Hyd.* terminates in this year; see Bagnall, *Consuls* 54-5.

177 395 Arcadius' marriage: to Aelia Eudoxia (*PLRE* ii. 410, s.v. Eudoxia 2; cf. s.a. 396). This marked the first step in the triumph of the eunuch Eutropius, imperial chamberlain, against the praetorian prefect Rufinus who had hoped to wed his own daughter to the emperor, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 108-9.

178 Theodosius' corpse: cf. *Marc. Com.* (year only); Soc. vi. 1. 3 (about 8 Nov.). *CP* is probably wrong to date the corpse's arrival and burial to the same day; it was buried in the Holy Apostles (Theoph. 74. 19).

179 Death of Rufinus: 27 Nov. 395 (Soc. vi. 1. 4-5) at the hands of the Gothic troops led by Gainas (Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 112-13); cf. *Marc. Com.* For his career, see *PLRE* i. 778-81, s.v. Rufinus 18. A hostile view is presented in Claudian's two poems against Rufinus, and his death is described at *in Ruf.* ii. 366-439; see further Cameron, *Claudian* ch. 4.

180 396 Children of Arcadius and Eudoxia: the eldest, Flaccilla (cf. s.a. 397), is omitted, probably because she died before Arcadius (cf. Soz. ix. 1); the individual births are also recorded under the relevant years.

Arcadianae baths: cf. *Marc. Com.* s.a. 394; on the east side of the ancient acropolis, see Janin, *Const.* 217, 311-12. Mansion of Marina: in the 1st region, *ibid.* 136 for a later reference. Marriage of Pulcheria: cf. s.a. 450. Church of St. Andrew: Janin, *Églises* 27.

The family of Theodosius built up substantial holdings of property at Cpl. (cf. s.a. 385 with n. 167), both in the centre and in the newly fashionable suburbs outside the Constantinian walls; many of these became imperial possessions, and continued to exist as individual units for administrative purposes. *CP* has detailed information on the house of Theodosius, often with specific dates and

There are from the time when the holy apostles Peter and Paul died until the aforementioned consuls, that is from the 28th inclusive of the month June, 335 complete years.¹⁸¹

397 Indiction 10, year 3, consulship of Caesarius and Atticus.

[p.567] In the time of these consuls Flaccilla *nobilissima* the younger was born, in the month Daisius, on day 15 before Kalends of July [17 June].¹⁸²

398 Indiction 11, year 4, the 4th consulship of Honorius Augustus and that of Eutychianus.

399 Indiction 12, year 5, sole consulship of Theodorus.¹⁸³

In the time of these consuls Pulcheria *nobilissima* was born in the month Audynaesus, on day 14 before Kalends of February [19 Jan.].¹⁸⁴

Olympiad 295

400 Indiction 13, year 6, consulship of Stilicho and Aurelian.

In the time of these consuls the *nobilissima* Eudoxia was elevated as Augusta in the month Audynaesus, on day 5 before Ides of January [9 Jan.], and Arcadia *nobilissima* was born in the month Xanthicus, on day 3 before Nones of April [3 Apr.].¹⁸⁵

And in the same year many Goths were slain in the Lemomacellium.¹⁸⁶ And the church of the Goths was burnt with

even days of the week (though these are not always correct); individual items are shared with other sources, especially Marc. Com., but no other source has the full range of information.

181 Commemoration of Peter and Paul: their joint Feast was in fact on 29 June; the point of this chronological cross-check is unclear.

182 397 Birth of Flaccilla: cf. Marc. Com. (no date); called 'the younger' (*PLRE* ii. 472, s.v. Flaccilla 1) to distinguish her from her paternal grandmother, Flaccilla wife of Theodosius I.

183 399 *cos. prior*: Eutropius, who fell from favour during the year and had his name struck from the *fasti*. The deletion is indicated by the plural opening formula, 'In the time of these consuls...' For historical narrative, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 126-7.

184 Birth of Pulcheria: cf. Marc. Com. (no date); *PLRE* ii. 929-30.

185 400 Imperial family: no parallels for *CP*'s dates. Arcadia: *PLRE* ii. 129, s.v. Arcadia 1.

186 Goth revolt: cf. Marc. Com. *ss.aa.* 399, 400; brief account, Theoph. 76. 10-18. For extensive narrative of these events, see Soz. viii. 4, Soc. vi. 6, Zos. v. 18-21 with Paschoud's notes; see further Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 129-35, also P. J. Heather, 'The Anti-Scythian Tirade of Synesius' *De Regno*', *Phoenix* xlii, 1988, 152-72. The massacre was the result of the attempt by the Goth military leader Gainas (*PLRE* i. 379-80) to take control of *Cpl*.

Lemomacellium: (MS reading; Laemo- in Bonn text); mentioned only by *CP*, this might be identical with the Leomacellium, a meat market, attested in

a great multitude of Christians, in the month Panemus, on day 4 before Ides of July [12 July].¹⁸⁷ And Goths were engulfed in the sea at the Chersonese straits in the month Apellaeus, on day 10 before Kalends of January [23 Dec.].¹⁸⁸

401 Indiction 14, year 7, consulship of Vincentius and Flavitus.¹⁸⁹

In the time of these consuls the head of Gainas the Goth was paraded, in the month Audynaesus, on day 3 before Nones of January [3 Jan.].¹⁹⁰

And in the same year Theodosius *nobilissimus* son of Arcadius Augustus was born in the month Xanthicus, on day 4 before Ides of April [10 Apr.].¹⁹¹

[p.568] And in the same year the sea was frozen for 20 days like ice.¹⁹²

402 Indiction 15, year 8, the 5th consulship of Arcadius Augustus and the 5th of Honorius Augustus.

In the time of these consuls Theodosius II was elevated as Augustus in Constantinople on the tribunal at the Hebdomon by (e.g.) Cedr. ii. 613. 1. The name was perhaps corrupted from Lemo- to Leo- under the influence of the nickname of Leo I, who was known as the Butcher (Macelles/Macellarius) after he had murdered Aspar (Cedr. i. 607. 14-15). On the uncertain location of the Leomacellium, see Janin, *Const.* 379-80, suggesting the area to the east of the harbour of Theodosius, on the basis of late evidence about churches of St. Acacius.

187 Goths' church: during the suppression of Gainas' revolt, more than 7,000 Goths who had sought refuge in a church adjoining the imperial Palace were killed (Zos. v. 19. 4-5); this was known as the church of the Goths (Soz. viii. 4. 17), probably because it was here that John Chrysostom had permitted the celebration in the Gothic language of orthodox worship (Theod. *HE* v. 30). The majority of Goths, converts to Christianity during the 4th c., were still Arian, but Theodosius' ratification of Nicene doctrine had turned them into heretics: as such they were not allowed to have their own church within Cpl. (Soz. viii. 4. 7), and their desire for freedom of worship had contributed to the discontent exploited by Gainas. In the 570s there was an Arian church at Cpl. for German soldiers, J. Eph. *HE* iii. 13, 26.

188 Goth defeat: after the failure of the revolt, Gainas fled the capital but was defeated by the loyal Goth Fravitta at the Hellespont (Chersonese straits) while trying to cross from Europe to Asia.

189 401 *cos. post.*: Flavius Fravitta (a reward for the victory over Gainas); see *PLRE* i. 372-3.

190 Gainas' head: cf. Marc. Com. (no date). After his defeat, Gainas fled beyond the Danube where he was killed by the Hun king Uldis (Zos. v. 22).

191 Birth of Theodosius II: cf. *Marc. Com.* (with Roman date); Theoph. 76. 1-7 (two notices).

192 Frozen sea: cf. Marc. Com., who records that for 30 days mountainous icebergs were carried through the Sea of Marmara.

Arcadius his father, in the month Audynaues, on day 4 before Ides of January [10 Jan.].¹⁹³

403 Indiction 1, year 9, consulship of Theodosius II Augustus and Rumoridus.

In the time of these consuls Marina *nobilissima* was born, in the month Peritius, on day 4 before Ides of February [10 Feb.].¹⁹⁴

Olympiad 296

404 Indiction 2, year 10, the 6th consulship of Honorius Augustus and that of Aristaenetus.

In the time of these consuls John bishop of Constantinople was expelled,¹⁹⁵ and the Great Church together with the Senate-house was suddenly burnt by those in possession of it, the so-called Xylocircites, on a Monday at the 6th hour.¹⁹⁶ And Arsacius was created bishop at the Apostles in the month Daisius, on day 6 before Kalends of July [26 June], a Monday.¹⁹⁷

[p.569] In this year God showered hail like stones the size of nuts on Constantinople, in the month Hyperberetaeus [Oct.], on a Friday at the eighth hour.¹⁹⁸ And Eudoxia Augusta died in the

¹⁹³ 402 Elevation of Theodosius: cf. Marc. Com. (no date).

¹⁹⁴ 403 Birth of Marina: cf. Marc. Com. (day 3 before Ides of Feb., i.e. 11 Feb.); *PLRE* ii. 723, s.v. Marina 1.

¹⁹⁵ 404 Exile of John Chrysostom: cf. Marc. Com. *s.a.* 403 (incorrect). For the dispute, or clash of personalities, between the empress Eudoxia and the austere and tactless John, which led to his exile, see Soc. vi. 18, Soz. viii, esp. 22, Zos. v. 23-4, Theoph. 79. 4-21; Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 138-59. J. H. W. G. Liebeschuetz ('Friends and Enemies of John Chrysostom', in *Maistor*, ed. A. Moffat, Canberra 1984, 85-111) argues for a more widespread opposition to John among the eastern senatorial aristocracy.

¹⁹⁶ Fire: cf. Marc. Com. (church and adjacent part of city). St. Sophia and nearby buildings were burnt down on 20 June (Soc. vi. 18. 17-18), the day on which John was banished; responsibility for the fire was variously attributed to John's supporters within St. Sophia or to his opponents outside (Soz. viii. 22. 5). Zosimus (v. 24. 5-8), while lamenting the destruction of the Senate-house which contained several pagan statues, celebrates the miraculous preservation of images of Zeus and Athena.

Xylocircites: the name was derived from the meeting-place of John's supporters during his first exile in 403 or house arrest in 404; this was a wooden circus located outside the Constantinian walls, see Janin, *Const.* 440-1 (accepting the identification of the Xylocircus gate in the Theodosian walls with the Belgrade gate).

¹⁹⁷ Arsacius: he was already aged over 80 (Soc. vi. 19. 1), and only survived until Nov. 405; 26 June 404 fell on a Sunday.

¹⁹⁸ Hail: on 30 Sept., a Friday (Soc. vi. 19. 5).

same month Hyperberetaeus, day 1 before Nones of October [6 Oct.], a Thursday, and was laid in the Holy Apostles in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 4 before Ides of October [12 Oct.], a Wednesday.¹⁹⁹

405 Indiction 3, year 11, the 2nd consulship of Stilicho and that of Anthemius.

406 Indiction 4, year 12, the 6th consulship of Arcadius Augustus and that of Probus.

In the time of these consuls the gates of the Hippodrome were burnt, together with the Prandiara and the adjacent porticoes, in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 8 before Kalends of November [25 Oct.], at the third hour of the night.²⁰⁰

And in the same year the remains of St. Samuel were conveyed to Constantinople by way of the Chalcedonian jetty, in the month Artemisius, on day 14 before Kalends of June [19 May], with Arcadius Augustus leading the way, and Anthemius, praetorian prefect and former consul, Aemilianus, city prefect, and all the senate; these remains were laid to rest for a certain time in the most holy Great Church.²⁰¹

407 Indiction 5, year 13, the 7th consulship of Honorius Augustus and the 2nd of Theodosius II Augustus.

In the time of these consuls the *quinquennialia* of Theodosius [p.570] II Augustus was celebrated in Constantinople in the month Audynaesus, on day 3 before Ides of January [11 Jan.].²⁰²

And in this year there was great rain with thunder and

¹⁹⁹ Death of Eudoxia: cf. *Marc. Com.* (no date), *Theoph.* 79. 29; Soc. vi. 19. 6, Soz. viii. 27. 1 (both dating to 4th day after hail-storm).

²⁰⁰ 406 Fire at Hippodrome: no parallels. The Hippodrome gates were the *carceres* (starting-gates for the chariots) at the north end near the Mese.

Prandiara: the identity is uncertain (the name was probably connected with the sale of cloth, *πρῶνδριον*); R. Guiland's attempt (*REB* xix, 1961, 407-12 = *Études* i. 45-8) to identify it with the Chalce of the Hippodrome (see further n. 316) is without evidence, see Mango, *Brazen House* 28 n. 27. The only other reference is to its use as the place of Pope Martin's imprisonment in 654 (Janin, *Const.* 170).

Porticoes: i.e. those lining the Mese, just north of the Hippodrome gates.

²⁰¹ Remains of Samuel: Jerome mentions the enthusiastic welcome given to the relics on their journey from Palestine to Chalcedon (*contra Vigilantium* 5, *PL* xxiii, col. 343); cf. also *PG* lxxvi (1). col. 213B. The ceremonial, and the presence of secular dignitaries (*PLRE* ii. 93-5, s.v. Anthemius 1, and ii. 15, s.v. Aemilianus 1), illustrates the importance of the growing collection of relics at Cpl.: see Dagron, *Naissance* 408-9, and further *s.a.* 411.

Chalcedonian jetty: the landing-stage at Cpl. for traffic from Chalcedon, located by Janin (*Const.* 235) at the Prosphorion harbour.

²⁰² 407 *Quinquennialia*: cf. *Marc. Com. s.a.* 406 (the start of Theodosius' 5th year).

lightning and earthquake in the month Xanthicus, on Kalends of April [1 April] in the first watch of the night; as a result the bronze tiles of the Forum of Theodosius were swept away to Kainoupolis, and Christ's emblem on the Capitol fell down, and many ships foundered and a considerable number of corpses were cast ashore at the Hebdomon.²⁰³

In the same year were built the Hippodrome steps to the stoa.²⁰⁴

Olympiad 297

408 Indiction 6, year 14, consulship of Bassus and Philippus.

In the time of these consuls Arcadius Augustus died in the Palace of Constantinople, in the month Artemisius, on Kalends of May [1 May], and he was laid to rest in the Apostles.²⁰⁵

And in the same year there was great rain with thunder and lightning and earthquake in the month Panemus, on day 3 before Nones of July [5 July], a Monday, at hour 1.²⁰⁶

Theodosius II was 41st Roman emperor for 42 years.

In total, 5,959.

409 Indiction 7, year 1, the 8th consulship of Honorius Augustus and the 3rd of Theodosius II Augustus.

410 Indiction 8, year 2, sole consulship of Varanes.

²⁰³ Damage: no parallels. On the Theodosian Forum, cf. nn. 173-4. The bronze tiles will have come from a major public building, perhaps the large basilica in the northern part of the Forum (described by Cedr. i. 610. 15-22).

Kainoupolis: the 'New City', the area of land reclaimed from the Sea of Marmara immediately south-west of the Theodosian Forum, east of the Theodosian harbour, see Mango, *Const.* 18, 45.

Capitol: Mango, *Const.* 30; it is uncertain whether the Christian symbol on this originally pagan temple was a Chi-Rho emblem or a Cross.

²⁰⁴ Hippodrome steps: the precise reference is uncertain. This construction may have been required after the fire of 406, which damaged the north end (the verb could equally mean 'rebuilt'). There were probably colonnades (i.e. stoaes) or vaulted passages behind most of the two long sides of the Hippodrome (cf. the Blues' stoa at Proc. *Wars* i. 24. 49), and the steps could have been part of the internal arrangements for access. Alternatively *ὑπαβάθρα*, 'steps', might refer to the tiers of seating (cf. Mal. 474. 22).

²⁰⁵ 408 Death of Arcadius: cf. Marc. Com. (no date or details), Soc. vii. 1. 1, Soz. ix. 1. 1; Theoph. 80. 7 has an incorrect Roman date (22 Aug.).

²⁰⁶ Earthquake: no parallels for this notice (which recalls the earthquake recorded *s.a.* 407). 5 July was a Sunday in 408: the date would be correct for 409. Theophanes 80. 5 records an earthquake at Rome immediately before the deaths of Stilicho and Arcadius (both died in 408).

411 Indiction 9, year 3, the 9th consulship of Honorius Augustus and the 4th of Theodosius II Augustus.²⁰⁷

In the time of these consuls Alaric entered and made Attalus the city prefect revolt.²⁰⁸

And in the same year the remains of the holy prophet Samuel were laid to rest in his sanctuary [p.571] near St. John Jucundianae in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 3 before Nones of October [5 Oct.].²⁰⁹

Olympiad 298

412 Indiction 10, year 4, the 5th and sole consulship of Theodosius II Augustus.

In the present consulship the *praetorium* of Monaxius, city prefect, was burnt by the people of Constantinople because of the shortage of bread, and his carriage was dragged from the First Region as far as the porticoes of Domninus; the two *magistri militum* went to meet them, Varanes the consul and Arsacius, and Synesius the *comes largitionum*, and exhorted them saying, ‘Turn back and we will ordain what you wish.’²¹⁰

²⁰⁷ 411 Consuls: for the disagreements between eastern and western lists for 411 and 412, see Bagnall, *Consuls* 356-9; *CP* agrees with the eastern record.

²⁰⁸ Alaric: in 409 the Visigothic leader, in conflict with the emperor Honorius at Ravenna, established Attalus (*PLRE* ii. 180-1, s.v. Attalus 2) as puppet emperor in Rome, but in mid-410 demoted him again after a brief reconciliation with Honorius. Alaric did not ‘enter’ Rome until 24 Aug. 410, and died before the end of the year: see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 174-85, esp. 180ff. *CP*’s entry is vague, and does not specify that these events occurred in Italy.

²⁰⁹ Church of Samuel: at the Hebdomon, near the church of John the Baptist whose foundation is recorded s.a. 391 (Janin, *Églises* 449-50); the Jucundianae was the site of an imperial palace (*Proc. Bld.* i. 11. 16, rebuilt by Justinian). Cf. s.a. 406 for the arrival of Samuel’s relics.

²¹⁰ 412 Bread riot: cf. Marc. Com. s.a. 409 (correct date). Between the death of Arcadius (408) and 414, *CP* was short of information (only this entry is shared with Marc. Com.), which may have contributed to the insertion of this notice in 412 rather than 409. This is the first reference in *CP* to rioting in Cpl., a theme which subsequently attracted the author’s attention, and is also present in Marc. Com., *CP*’s parallel source from c.380.

Monaxius, city prefect in 408-9 (*PLRE* ii. 764-5), was the recipient of *Cod. Theod.* xiv. 16. 1 (26 April 409), which concerns 500 pounds of gold devoted to famine relief. Varanes (consul in 410, as already recorded by *CP*) and Arsacius were probably *magistri militum praesentales* (*PLRE* ii. 1149-50, s.v. Varanes 1; *ibid.* 152, s.v. Arsacius 3). Synesius: *comes sacrarum largitionum* in 409 (*ibid.* 1050, s.v. Synesius 2).

Praetorium of city prefect: the location is a problem. The evidence is inadequate, but suggests that the city prefect had more than one *praetorium*

413 Indiction 11, year 5, sole consulship of Lucius.²¹¹

414 Indiction 12, year 6, consulship of Constantius and Constans.

In the time of these consuls Pulcheria, sister of Theodosius II Augustus, was proclaimed *nobilissima* in the month Panemus, on day 4 before Nones of July [4 July].²¹²

And in the same year 3 portrait busts of Honorius and Theodosius Augusti, and of Pulcheria Augusta were dedicated in the senate by Aurelian, twice prefect of the sacred praetorians and patrician, in the month Apellaeus, on day 3 before Kalends of January [30 Dec.].²¹³

415 [p.572] Indiction 13, year 7, the 10th consulship of Honorius and the 6th of Theodosius II Augustus.

In the time of these consuls Theodosius II Augustus celebrated his *quinquennalia* in Constantinople in the month Audynaues, on day 3 before Ides of January [11 Jan.],²¹⁴ and the death of Thermuntia, wife of the lord Honorius the Augustus was announced in the month Panemus, on the 3rd day before Kalends of August [30 July], a Friday.²¹⁵

(quite apart from buildings associated with the praetorian prefect), one located towards the eastern end of the Mese, another somewhere to the north of St. Irene; for detailed discussion, see nn. 353, 407, and Janin, *Const.* 165-6. First Region: see Janin, *Const.* 49; this included the Great Palace, the area east of SS Sophia and Irene, and the south-east slope of the former acropolis. It was on the acropolis that the coach-house of the praetorian prefect had been established in the former temple of Aphrodite by Theodosius I (Mal. 345. 19-20), and it is possible that Monaxius' carriage was kept nearby (CP p. 588. 7-9 may imply that the coaches of the two prefects were kept together). The porticoes of Dominus flanked a major north-south road that intersected the Mese between the Fora of Constantine and Theodosius (Mango, *Const.* 31 and Plan II).

211 413 Consuls: Heraclianus, the western consul, had his name struck from all records in Aug. 413, following his attempted usurpation, see Bagnall, *Consuls* 360-1.

212 414 Elevation of Pulcheria: she was proclaimed Augusta, not *nobilissima*; cf. *Marc. Com.* (no date); she acted as regent for the young Theodosius II (Soz. ix. 1).

213 Portrait busts: nothing is known about these, though Aurelian (PLRE i. 128-9, s.v. Aurelianus 3) was himself granted a gilded statue by the Cpl. senate in return for his services (*Anth. Graec.* xvi. 73). For the two Senate buildings at Cpl., cf. n. 54: Janin (*Const.* 155) identifies this one with the Senate at the Augustaeum.

214 415 *Quinquennalia*: a doublet for the notice s.a. 407; a celebration to mark his 15th anniversary was due in 416/7.

215 Thermantia (not Thermuntia): PLRE ii. 1111-12; second wife of Honorius for about 6 months, she had been repudiated by him in 408 at the disgrace and death of her father Stilicho.

And in the same year in the month Gorpiaeus on the 8th day before Kalends of October [24 Sept.], a Friday, it was announced that the barbarian Ataulph had been killed in the northern regions by the lord Honorius. And lamps were lit, and on the following day chariot racing was held, so that a processional entry was also made.²¹⁶

In the same year the inauguration of the Great Church of Constantinople was celebrated in the month Gorpiaeus, on day 6 before Ides of October [10 Oct.], the Lord's Day.²¹⁷ And the remains of Joseph the son of Jacob, and of Zacharias, the father of St. John the Baptist, were conveyed to Constantinople by way of the Chalcedonian jetty, in the month Gorpiaeus, on day 6 before Nones of September [31 Aug.], a Saturday; the same remains were borne in two caskets by Atticus, patriarch of Constantinople, and Moses, bishop of Antiaradus in Phoenicia, the two men sitting in carriages; these were laid to rest in the Great [p.573] Church, with Ursus, city prefect, in attendance, and all the senate.²¹⁸

And a golden statue of the lord Theodosius II Augustus was dedicated in the senate by Aurelian, twice praetorian prefect and patrician.²¹⁹

²¹⁶ Death of Ataulph: Visigoth king, 410–15 in succession to Alaric. After being defeated in south Gaul by Boniface, he had withdrawn to Spain where he was murdered by a servant (Olympiodorus 26; see also *PLRE* ii. 176–8, s.v. Athaulfus; narrative in Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 194–200).

This notice, and some nearby (e.g. Thermantia; damage to Constantine's column, and victory over Attalus *s.a.* 416) have a slightly different dating formula (the definite article is inserted before the Roman date) when compared with adjacent material that has parallels in Marc. Com.; this might indicate a change of source, though the evidence is too tentative to be pressed.

²¹⁷ Great Church: cf. Marc. Com. (no date); a rededication after its destruction in the fire of 404 (cf. *CP s.a.*).

²¹⁸ Relics: for similar transfers, cf. *ss.aa.* 356, 357, 406 (with n. 201 on Chalcedonian jetty). Atticus was patriarch 406–25, Ursus city prefect in 415–16 (*PLRE* ii. 1192, s.v. Ursus 3). The involvement of Moses suggests that the relics had been discovered at Antiaradus (modern Tartus in Syria): although Joseph had died in Egypt, he had ordered that his remains be returned to the promised land (Exodus 13. 19).

CP's Roman date is impossible, since in Sept. there are only 4 days before the Nones. The date would be correct for Oct., i.e. 2 Oct., which fell on a Saturday in 415 (whereas 31 Aug. was a Tuesday).

²¹⁹ Statue: a partial doublet for the notice under 414, probably originating in a different source since a different noun is used to describe the monument (*ἀνθράκας* instead of *στηθόπιον*).

Olympiad 299

416 Indiction 14, year 8, the 7th consulship of Theodosius II Augustus and that of Palladius.

In the time of these consuls a great stone tore away during the night from the lower stonework of the porphyry column on which stood Constantine the great emperor, in the month Dystrus, on the 5th day before Kalends of April [28 Mar.], as Tuesday was dawning. And in the same year all the drums of the same column were bound.²²⁰

And a theatrical spectacle was performed in the presence of Ursus, city prefect, to celebrate the victory against Attalus the usurper, in the month Daisius, on the 4th day before Kalends of July [28 June], a Wednesday. And chariot racing was also held for the same victory in the month Panemus, on Nones of July [7 July].²²¹

[p.574] And in the same year the lord Theodosius II entered Constantinople from Heracleia, in the month Gorpiaeus, 1 day before Kalends of October [30 Sept.], a Saturday, after receiving the golden crown in accordance with custom in the Theodosian Forum from Ursus, city prefect, and the senate.²²²

417 Indiction 15, year 9, the 11th consulship of Honorius and the 2nd of Constantius.

In the time of these consuls there occurred a great earthquake in the evening, on a Friday in the month Xanthicus, on day 12 before Kalends of May [20 April]. It was on the same day as the Passion of our Lord Jesus Christ.²²³

²²⁰ **416** Porphyry column: cf. *s.a.* 328 with n. 54 for its erection in Constantine's Forum.

²²¹ Attalus: proclaimed emperor (again, cf. n. 208) by the Visigoths in 414, he had been abandoned by them in 415 and handed over to Honorius, who had him mutilated and exiled (Olympiodorus fr. 14, Philost. xii. 4-5, Marc. Com. *s.a.* 412); see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 198-9.

²²² Theodosius' entry into Cpl.: the reason for his absence in Heracleia is unknown, but his presence there is attested by *Cod. Theod.* xi. 28. 11 (9 Sept.). Bury (*HLRE* [2] i. 220) suggested that Theodosius, now aged 15, took over formal control of affairs from Pulcheria: the ceremonial entry may be associated with this change. Const. Porph. *de caer.* App. (496. 17-497. 3 Reiske) records that this ceremony was performed when the emperor returned to Cpl. by land; he was offered one crown of gold and others of laurel, the emperor repaying the cost of the golden one.

²²³ **417** Earthquake: Marc. Com. records destruction at Cibra. CP's cross-reference to Good Friday is correct.

418 Indiction 1, year 10, the 12th consulship of Honorius and the 8th of Theodosius II Augustus.

In the time of these consuls there was an eclipse of the sun, in the month Panemus, on day 14 before Kalends of August [19 July], on a Friday, at hour 8.²²⁴

419 Indiction 2, year 11, consulship of Monaxius and Plintha.

In the time of these consuls on the Lord's Day, after Aetius, city prefect, had entered the Great Church in his robes in the month Peritius, on day 7 before Kalends of March [23 Feb.], when he had prayed and was departing, since he had been summoned to the Palace, a certain Cyriacus, an old man, who had thrust a great knife inside a papyrus roll, as if he were presenting a petition to him, struck him in the right side of the chest so that his mantle and toga were cut through.²²⁵

[p.575]

Olympiad 300

420 Indiction 3, year 12, the 9th consulship of Theodosius II Augustus and the 3rd of Constantius.

When Theodosius II Augustus had progressed in boyhood, he studied in the Palace in his father's lifetime; and after his father's death there studied with him a certain youth named Paulinus, son of a *comes domesticorum*. And Theodosius was fond of him. And when the same Theodosius II Augustus reached manhood, he sought to take a lady in marriage. And he pestered his sister, the lady Pulcheria, who was a virgin: she too, in turn, because she loved her own brother, chose not to be married to anyone. And she, who wished to live together with her brother in the Palace, investigated many unmarried girls, daughters of patricians and of imperial blood. Theodosius said to her, 'I wish to find a young woman who is exceedingly comely, so that no other woman in Constantinople may have such beauty, even one of imperial blood. But if she is not of outstanding beauty, I have no use either for distinguished or imperial blood or wealth, but whosoever's daughter she is, provided that she is exceedingly lovely, [p.576] I will take her.' And when she heard this, the lady Pulcheria sent everywhere in her investigations. And Paulinus too, Theodosius' companion and friend hurried around, wishing to please him on this issue.

²²⁴ 418 Eclipse: cf. *Marc. Com.* (no date), Philost. xii. 8.

²²⁵ 419 Attack on Aetius: as city prefect Aetius (*PLRE* ii. 19-20, s.v. Aetius 1) had responsibility for administering a law that cut down the numbers of staff at the Great Church (*Cod. Iust.* i. 2. 4), and this may have occasioned the attempted assassination.

In the meantime it happened that there came to Constantinople with her relatives a most lovely and eloquent girl, a Hellene named Athenais, who was daughter of Heraclitus the philosopher; this Athenais was compelled to come to the blessed city to her own aunt, for the following reason. The philosopher Heraclitus her father also had two sons, and when he was about to die, he made a will and as heirs of all the property left by him he named in his will his two sons Valerian and Gesius; in the same will he said, 'To Athenais my most beloved daughter I wish to be given a hundred *nomismata* and no more; for sufficient for her is her destiny which surpasses all womanly destiny.' And her father Heraclitus the wise Athenian died.

After his demise and the revelation of his dispositions, the same Athenais entreated her brothers, since they were senior in age, falling down before them and begging them not to abide by the same will, but that she should receive a one-third share of the paternal property, saying that she had committed no sin, but: 'You yourselves know how I was disposed to our mutual [p.577] father, and I do not know for what reason he left me impoverished when he was about to die, and bestowed on me a destiny of riches after his decease.' But her brothers remained intransigent and, enraged, even expelled her from their paternal home. And finally the sister of the woman who had been her mother took her in and protected her, not simply because she was an orphan, but also as a virgin and her sister's child. She took the girl with her and brought her to Constantinople to her other aunt, the sister of her father Heraclitus. And they took her and made a petition against her brothers, and they approached the most pious lady Pulcheria, the sister of Theodosius the emperor; speaking eloquently she told how she had been violently treated by her own brothers. And the same lady Pulcheria, seeing that she was lovely and eloquent, asked her aunts whether she was a virgin. She was told that she had been protected as a virgin by her father, and that she had been guided through many philosophical discourses. Pulcheria bid her to wait together with her aunts, protected by *cubicularii*, while she took, she said, the entreaty from the girl and went to her brother Theodosius the emperor; and she said to him, 'I have found a young girl, pure, well-dressed, with fine features, a good nose, most white as snow, large-eyed, attractive, with fair curly hair and stately step, eloquent, a Hellene, [p.578] and a virgin.' And when he heard, he was inflamed since he was young; and after sending for his companion and friend Paulinus, he asked his

sister to bring the same Athenaïs to her chamber, as if for some other purpose, in order that he might look at her through the curtain with Paulinus. And she was brought in, and when he saw her he fell in love with her, Paulinus having admired her; he took her and made her a Christian (for she was pagan), and renamed her Eudocia.²²⁶

421 Indiction 4, year 13, consulship of Eustathius and Agricola.

In the time of these consuls water was let into the cistern of the lady Pulcheria Augusta, in the month Peritius, 1 day before Ides of February [12 Feb.], in the presence of lord Theodosius Augustus.²²⁷

In this year Theodosius Augustus celebrated nuptials, taking as his wife Athenaïs who was also called Eudocia, in the month Daisius, on day 7 before Ides of June [7 June], and chariot racing was performed in celebration of the same nuptials in the same month Daisius, on day 4 before Ides of June [10 June], and likewise also a theatrical spectacle in the same Hippodrome.²²⁸ And he had by this Athenaïs who was also called Eudocia a daughter, named Eudoxia.²²⁹

The brothers of the Augusta, when they heard that their sister was empress, fled to Greece in terror; and she sent [p.579] and brought them back under guarantee, and made them dignitaries,

²²⁶ 420 Theodosius' wife: cf. *Mal.* 352. 8-355. 8, for the same story with only a few differences, of which the most significant are that Malalas (also Soc. vii. 21. 8) correctly gives the father's name as Leontius (John of Nikiu 84. 29 has Heraclitus); also Theoph. 83. 19-22, very briefly. At p. 577. 12 we have followed Malalas and translated *ἐδιδάξαν*, 'she told', for *ἐδιδάξαν*, 'they told'. The string of epithets in Pulcheria's description of Athenaïs' beauty (CP pp. 577. 20-578. 1) is typical of chronicle descriptions of emperors: see C. Head, 'Physical Descriptions of the Emperors in Byzantine Historical Writing', *Byz.* 1, 1980, 226-40.

Paulinus: *PLRE* ii. 846-7, s.v. Paulinus 8; nothing more is known about his family background. *Comes domesticorum*: commander of imperial household guards, cf. n. 127.

Eudocia: on her origins and the career of Leontius as teacher of rhetoric (not a philosopher), see Cameron, 'Empress' 270-9, who plays down her pagan background but also identifies some true elements in this romantic tale (e.g. the existence of a maternal uncle Asclepiodotus, *PLRE* ii. 160, s.v. Asclepiodotus 1).

Cubicularii: cf. n. 126.

From this point in Theodosius II's reign, Malalas provided CP with a few long stories (Eudocia, Paulinus, Attila, Cyrus), that are integrated with much briefer 'annalistic' notices frequently shared with Marc. Com.

²²⁷ 421 Cistern: Pulcheria's cistern, otherwise unknown, has probably been confused with that of Aetius, which was constructed in this year (Marc. Com.).

²²⁸ Wedding: cf. Marc. Com. (no date); also *Mal.* 355. 8-9.

²²⁹ Birth of Eudoxia: in 422 (Marc. Com.); cf. *Mal.* 355. 9-10.

the emperor Theodosius having promoted them. And the one called Gesius he made praetorian prefect of the Illyrian race, while Valerian he made *magister*; their sister Eudocia said to them, 'If you had not misused me, I would not have been compelled to come to Constantinople, and become empress. So you bestowed the realm which was mine from birth. For it was my good destiny which made you intransigent towards me, and not your attitude towards me.'

The emperor Theodosius made Paulinus advance through every dignity, because he was his friend and had been an intermediary in his marriage and ate with them; and after this he promoted him *magister*. And he was exalted because he had free access to the emperor Theodosius and the Augusta, because he had indeed been their bridal attendant.²³⁰

In this year a statue of Arcadius was dedicated, which stood on top of the Spiral Column in the Forum of Arcadius at the place called Xerolophus, in the month Panemus, on day 6 before Ides of July [10 July], a Saturday.²³¹

In the same year a victory over the Persians was announced, in the month Gorpiaeus, on day 8 before Ides of September [6 Sept.], a Tuesday.²³²

422 Indiction 5, year 14, the 13th consulship of Honorius and the 10th of Theodosius Augustus.

[p.580] In the time of these consuls there appeared in the sky a star which emitted a ray exceedingly long and white, in the month Dystrus [March] for about 10 nights after the cock-crow; and in the same year there was an earthquake.²³³

423 Indiction 6, year 15, consulship of Asclepiodotus and Marinianus.

²³⁰ Continuation of Athenais story: cf. *Mal.* 355. 11-356. 5 for the same account, with minor differences; *CP* has deliberately divided the story between two years, presumably to indicate an interval of time.

Magister: i.e. *magister officiorum*, see Jones, *LRE* 368-9.

²³¹ Statue of Arcadius: cf. *Marc. Com.* (no date); the column itself (spiral because of the sequence of bas reliefs with which it was decorated) had been erected in 402/3 (*Theoph.* 77. 24). For sketches of the reliefs, see E. H. Freshfield, 'Notes on a Vellum Album containing some original sketches of public buildings and monuments, drawn by a German artist who visited Constantinople in 1574', *Archaeologia* lxxii, 1921-2, 87-104; Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 250-3.

Xerolophus: the seventh hill of Cpl., and the site of the Forum of Arcadius (*Mango*, *Const.* 28, 43; *Janin*, *Const.* 71-2; also Guillard, *Études* ii. 59-62).

²³² Victory over Persians: *Marc. Com.* refers to a battle; *Soc.* vii. 18 describes Ardabur's success against the Persians in Arzanene; see also Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 4.

²³³ 422 Comet: no parallels.

In the time of these consuls Eudocia was proclaimed Augusta, in the month Audynaues, on day 4 before Nones of January [2 Jan.],²³⁴ and there were many earthquakes on Monday during the tenth hour in the month Xanthicus, on day 7 before Ides of April [7 Apr.].²³⁵

Olympiad 301

424 Indiction 7, year 16, consulship of Victor and Castinus.²³⁶

425 Indiction 8, year 17, the 11th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and that of Valentinian Caesar.

In the time of these consuls Valentinian the younger was elevated as Augustus by Theodosius II Augustus, in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 10 before Kalends of November [23 Oct.].²³⁷

426 Indiction 9, year 18, the 12th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and the 2nd of the younger Valentinian Augustus.

427 Indiction 10, year 19, consulship of Hierius and Ardabur.

In the time of these consuls the bath was inaugurated which was formerly [p.581] the Constantinianae, but now the Theodosianae, after Hierius, twice prefect and consul, had completed it in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 5 before Nones of October [3 Oct.].²³⁸

Olympiad 302

428 Indiction 11, year 20, consulship of Felix and Taurus.

In the time of these consuls the Vandals entered Africa.²³⁹

²³⁴ **423** Eudocia Augusta: she had been described (prematurely) as Augusta in the Athenaïs story, which *CP* shares with Malalas as opposed to the Marc. Com. tradition with which this notice is probably to be linked.

²³⁵ Earthquake: cf. Marc. Com. (no date).

²³⁶ **424** Castinus: probably the nominee of the western usurper John, who had seized power following Honorius' death in 423, see Bagnall, *Consuls* 383; it seems that he was never officially accepted in the east.

²³⁷ **425** Elevation of Valentinian: cf. Marc. Com. (no date, located at Ravenna). Valentinian III (*PLRE* ii. 1138-9, s.v. Valentinian 4), infant son of Constantius and Galla Placidia, was installed as nominal western emperor when an eastern army under the Alan Aspar had captured and executed the usurper John at Ravenna; see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 222-4.

²³⁸ **427** Baths: cf. Marc. Com. (no date or details). Work on these baths had begun in 345, *CP* s.a. with n. 82. Hierius: *PLRE* ii. 557, s.v. Hierius 2; his second praetorian prefecture was not until 432.

²³⁹ **428** Vandals in Africa: Geiseric crossed from Spain in 429; see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 244-9.

429 Indiction 12, year 21, consulship of Florentius and Dionysius.

430 Indiction 13, year 22, the 13th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and the 3rd of the younger Valentinian Augustus.

431 Indiction 14, year 23, consulship of Antiochus and Bassus.

In year 401 from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord, and in year 23 of the reign of Theodosius II Augustus, and of the aforementioned consuls, there took place in Ephesus the third Synod of the 200 holy and blessed Fathers against the impious Nestorius; this was the one which, adhering to the symbol of the correct and blameless faith, renounced the same Nestorius in the name of Christ our true God. For this demented man dared to teach that he who is beyond mortal understanding and who transcends all nature, the Lord Jesus Christ, born of the Virgin, is of mortal substance only, and not at the same time also of divine.²⁴⁰

[p.582]

Olympiad 303

432 Indiction 15, year 24, consulship of Valerius and Aetius.

433 Indiction 1, year 25, the 14th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and that of Maximus.

In the time of these consuls there was a great conflagration from the Neorion; and the granaries and the bath called Achilles burnt, in the month Lous, on day 12 before Kalends of September [21 Aug.].²⁴¹

434 Indiction 2, year 26, consulship of Areobindus and Aspar.

435 Indiction 3, year 27, the 15th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and the 4th of the younger Valentinian Augustus.

²⁴⁰ 431 Nestorian controversy and First Synod of Ephesus: see Soc. vii. 29, 31-5, Theoph. 88. 11-92. 2; cf. Marc. Com. *s.a.* 430. Nestorius, patriarch of Cpl., had explained the unity of God and man in Christ with a formula that referred to the existence of two distinct natures (human and divine) and attacked the belief that Mary was Mother of God rather than Mother of Christ. This resulted in conflict with Cyril of Alexandria, who also wished to reassert the superiority of the see of Alexandria over the upstart Cpl. (cf. n. 160). For discussion of the complex Christological issues and ecclesiastical politics which resulted in the Council of Ephesus, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 350-4; Stein, *BE* i. 300-5; Chadwick, *Church* 194-200; Young, *Nicaea* 213-65.

Ascension dating: cf. *ss.aa.* 325, 381, 452, for other church councils.

²⁴¹ 433 Fire: cf. Marc. Com. (large area of northern part of city destroyed in a 3-day fire in Aug.). The harbour of Neorion and its associated granaries were located on the Golden Horn, with the ancient baths of Achilles nearby (Janin, *Const.* 235-6, 216; cf. Mango, *Const.* 18 n. 30, 40).

Olympiad 304

436 Indiction 4, year 28, consulship of Isidore and Senator.

437 Indiction 5, year 29, the 2nd consulship of Aetius and that of Sigisvuldus.

In the time of these consuls the younger Valentinian Augustus entered Constantinople, in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 12 before Kalends of November [21 Oct.]. And he celebrated his nuptials, taking Eudoxia, the daughter of Theodosius and Eudocia Augusti, in the month Hyperberetaeus, on day 4 before Kalends of November [29 Oct.], and by her he had two daughters, Eudocia and Placidia.²⁴²

438 [p.583] Indiction 6, year 30, the 16th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and that of Faustus.

439 Indiction 7, year 31, the 17th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and that of Festus.

In this year Theodosius Augustus ordered that the walls be made in a circuit on the whole seaward side of Constantinople.²⁴³

And in the same year Zinzirich the king of the Vandals entered Carthage, in the month Hyperberetaeus [Oct.]; and in the same year he attempted to devastate Sicily.²⁴⁴

Olympiad 305

440 Indiction 8, year 32, the 5th consulship of Valentinian Augustus and that of Anatolius.

²⁴² 437 Marriage of Valentinian III: cf. Theoph. 92. 16-19, Soc. vii. 44; Marc. Com. records that the wedding was celebrated in Thessalonica (the original plan, changed when Valentinian agreed to travel to Cpl.: Soc. loc. cit.), and that the two had long been betrothed (since 424, Marc. Com. *s.a.*).

Daughters: cf. Mal. 356. 14-16.

²⁴³ 439 Sea walls: the intention was perhaps to safeguard against Vandal sea raiding, and the prefect Cyrus (see below, *s.a.* 450 with n. 261) is often credited with the construction (e.g. Cameron, 'Empress' 240-1: but the poem of John Geometres discussed by Cameron has no reference to the *building* of walls). Mango (*Const.* 25 n. 12) is inclined to doubt that the order was ever executed, since in the 626 siege of Cpl. there do not appear to have been sea walls (at least on the Golden Horn side). Cyrus is wrongly associated with the construction of Cpl.'s land walls (Theoph. 96. 34-97. 1; Cedr. i. 598. 23-599. 1), an error which is more likely to have occurred if Cyrus had built some walls, perhaps those along the Sea of Marmara.

²⁴⁴ Capture of Carthage: on 19 Oct.; cf. Marc. Com., dating Geiseric's entry to 23 Oct. See further Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 254-5; Stein, *BE* i. 324-5; *PLRE* ii. 497. The Vandals attacked Sicily in the next year.

441 Indiction 9, year 33, sole consulship of Cyrus.

In the time of this man John the Vandal was slain in Thrace.²⁴⁵

442 Indiction 10, year 34, consulship of Eudoxius and Dioscorus.

In the time of these consuls the Huns crossed over, and Attila and Bleda devastated Illyricum.²⁴⁶

443 Indiction 11, year 35, the 2nd consulship of Maximus and that of Paternus.²⁴⁷

In the time of these consuls the public bath called Achilles was inaugurated, in the month Audynaesus, on day 3 before Ides of January [11 Jan.].²⁴⁸

And [p.584] Theodosius the Augustus entered Constantinople from the expedition to Asia, in the month Lous, on day 6 before Kalends of September [27 Aug.].²⁴⁹

Olympiad 306

444 Indiction 12, year 36, the 18th consulship of Theodosius Augustus and that of Albinus.

In this year, when the emperor Theodosius went in procession to church on the Feast of the Holy Epiphany, it happened that the *magister* Paulinus had an affliction of the foot and stayed away from the procession and made his excuses. And a certain poor man presented to the emperor Theodosius a Phrygian apple, quite exceptionally enormous. And the emperor was

²⁴⁵ 441 Death of John: cf. Marc. Com. John, *magister militum per Thraciam* (PLRE ii. 597, s.v. Ioannes 13), was treacherously slain by Arnegisclus, a subordinate Roman officer, perhaps in connection with preparations for a naval expedition to oust the Vandal Geiseric from Carthage.

²⁴⁶ 442 Huns: they invaded across the Danube from Pannonia; cf. Marc. Com. See further Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 273-4; Stein, *BE* i. 291-2.

²⁴⁷ 443 *cos. post.*: Paterius; Marc. Com. records Paterius correctly, but overlooks Maximus' second consulship.

²⁴⁸ Baths of Achilles: cf. Marc. Com. (no date); now restored after the fire of 433, cf. n. 241.

²⁴⁹ *Adventus* of Theodosius: cf. Marc. Com. (no date). Theodosius' visit to Asia (probably the diocese of Asiana) is confirmed by Theodosius, *Novel* 23, issued from Aphrodisias on 22 May and concerned with the restoration of the city of Heraclea (C. Roueché, 'Theodosius II, the Cities, and the Date of the "Church History" of Sozomen', *JThS* xxvii, 1986, 130-2). The preface to this *Novel* explains the visit as the fulfilment of a vow, a reason that might conceal other factors: Theodosius may have retreated from Cpl. in fear of Attila's extensive ravages in Thrace, or the journey might have been connected with the rifts in the imperial household associated with the empress Eudocia's withdrawal to Jerusalem (see n. 250).

astounded and all the senate, and immediately the emperor gave the poor man 150 *nomismata* and sent the apple to the Augusta Eudocia, and the Augusta sent it to Paulinus, the *magister* and friend of the emperor. But the same *magister*, not knowing that the emperor sent it to the Augusta, himself again sent it to the emperor Theodosius, as he departed from the church. And the emperor received it in the absence of the Augusta, and he hid it away, summoned the Augusta and asked her, 'Where is the apple which I sent to you?'. And she said, 'I ate it.' And he made her swear by his own salvation whether she had eaten it or sent it to someone. And she swore that she had sent it to no-one, but had eaten it herself. And he gave a command and the apple was brought, and he showed [p.585] her it. And between them there came about a separation and a breach.

And thereafter Theodosius the emperor suspected the same Paulinus and ordered him to be put to death. And the same lady Eudocia was distressed, because she had been insulted (for it was known everywhere that it was on her account that Paulinus was slain, because he was young and handsome); the Augusta Eudocia asked the emperor Theodosius permission to depart to the Holy Places in order to pray, and he granted it to her.²⁵⁰ And on her journey from Constantinople to Jerusalem to pray, she arrived at Antioch the Great and spoke in the council chamber a speech of encomium for the same Antioch, seated in the imperial throne which was of solid gold set with gems, and the people of the city chanted for her, and a golden effigy of her was raised up

²⁵⁰ 444 Eudocia and Paulinus: cf. *Mal.* 356. 17-357. 19 (undated); also Theoph. 99. 18-28 (under 447/8). Suspicions against Eudocia had probably been aroused by the chamberlain Chrysaphius, who was starting to consolidate his influence over Theodosius. The chronicle version of the apple story presents Eudocia's relations with Paulinus in an innocent light, but there was presumably some more compromising evidence that Chrysaphius could exploit: according to *Patria* iii. 146 (pp. 261-3) Eudocia presented the apple as an explicit love token to Paulinus, who then survived an attempt by Theodosius to have him killed, and founded the church of SS Cosmas and Damian in gratitude for the escape. E. L. Bowie has pointed out that the Phrygian apple is a literary allusion to the apple of discord whose award to Aphrodite in the Judgement of Paris brought about the Trojan War (Phrygian was a synonym for Trojan).

Date: Paulinus was exiled to Cappadocia and killed there, probably in 440 (*Marc. Com. s.a.*), and Eudocia is likely to have withdrawn from the court at about the same time for an extended visit to the Holy Land (Cameron, 'Empress' 258-63, with references to alternative views). *Marc. Com.* does not mention the Eudocia-Paulinus story, but *s.a.* 444 he records a dispute between Eudocia and Saturninus, *comes domesticorum*, who killed two of her attendants at Jerusalem, but was himself then put to death at her command (cf. *Priscus* fr. 14. 37-8); Cameron ('Empress' 260-1) suggests this may have influenced the dating in CP.

inside the council chamber, and at the so-called Museum they set up to her a bronze monument, and these are standing up to the present day. And after lavishing upon the city of the Antiochenes in Syria money for the grain fund,²⁵¹ she set out for the Holy Places, and founded many buildings in Jerusalem, and restored the entire wall of Jerusalem, saying, 'It was on my account that David the prophet said, "And at your good favour let the walls of Jerusalem be built."' And she remained in the same Jerusalem, and after building an imperial tomb for herself there she died, and was laid to rest there in Jerusalem. When she was about to die, she swore that she did not admit the accusation which was brought against her because of Paulinus.²⁵²

445 [p.586] Indiction 13, year 37, the 6th consulship of Valentinian Augustus and that of Nomus.

446 Indiction 14, year 38, the 3rd consulship of Leontius and that of Symmachus.²⁵³

447 Indiction 15, year 39, consulship of Ardabur and Alypius.²⁵⁴

251 Eudocia at Antioch: the extant Malalas does not preserve any mention of this visit, but it is recorded in the *Tusculan fragment* (II, pp. 14-16), which indicates that the Antiochene author originally described the occasion; Evagrius (who used Malalas as a source) also mentions Eudocia's impressive speech and the bronze monument. The empress made two journeys to the Holy Land (Evag. i. 21), in 438 and then after the Paulinus affair, and on each occasion she probably passed through Antioch (which lay on the route). Eudocia's visit and benefactions are usually connected with the earlier pilgrimage (e.g. Downey, *Antioch* 450-1) and CP's version dismissed as a conflation of the two visits, but the narrative sequence in the Tusculan fragment (apple story, then Antioch) indicates that the Antiochene Malalas was responsible for the later context in CP. Although the second pilgrimage resulted from a quarrel in the imperial family, Eudocia's rift with Theodosius was not final until the dispute with Saturninus (cf. n. 250, and see Hunt, *Pilgrimage* 237): she might still have welcomed the chance to behave as a patron at Antioch and obtain benefactions from Theodosius (Evag. i. 20: enlargement of circuit of walls and funds for restoring baths of Valens).

Grain fund: Eudocia's donation is not otherwise attested, but many eastern cities had such funds for subsidizing the purchase of grain (cf. Jones, *LRE* 735), and Eudocia might well have offered a contribution, since her arrival with a large retinue would have increased prices locally.

Museum: originally a shrine to the Muses, it had been converted by Constantine into the *praetorium* of the *comes Orientis* (Mal. 318. 23-319. 4).

252 Eudocia in Jerusalem: cf. *Mal.* 357. 19-358. 4, and see Hunt, *Pilgrimage* ch. 10. The Biblical reference is Psalms 51. 18, 'And at your good favour will our head be raised up', which provides a 'prophecy' for Eudocia's name (*εὐδοκία* = 'good favour'). Eudocia died on 20 Oct. 460.

253 446 *cos. prior*: 3rd of Aetius.

254 447 *cos. post.*: Calepius.

In the time of these consuls Marcianopolis was captured and Anargiscus, *magister militum per Thraciam*, was slain.²⁵⁵

And in the same year there occurred great earthquakes, so that the walls collapsed; for they persisted for some time, so that no-one dared to remain at home, but all fled outside the city, chanting litanies day and night; for there was great peril, such as there had not been from the beginning of time. And some said that fire too had been seen in the heaven. Hence also the commemoration of the litany is celebrated annually up to the present day in the Triconch, because of the forbearance of the beneficent God, on day 8 before Ides of November [6 Nov.]. For amidst such great peril he did not kill any.²⁵⁶

Olympiad 307

448 Indiction 1, year 40, consulship of Zeno and Postumianus.

449 Indiction 2, year 41, consulship of Protogenes and Asterius.

In the time of these consuls Marina Augusta, wife of Valentinian Augustus, died in the month Lous, on day 3 before Nones of August [3 Aug.].²⁵⁷

450 [p.587] Indiction 3, year 42, the 7th consulship of Valentinian Augustus and that of Avienus.

Under these consuls the second Synod in Ephesus took place, and Domnus, bishop of Antioch, and Flavian, bishop of

²⁵⁵ Anargiscus: *PLRE* ii. 151, s.v. Arnegisclus. He was killed during an invasion by Attila's Huns, who overran the Balkans as far as Thermopylae: cf. Marc. Com., and see also Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 275; Stein, *BE* i. 292-3.

²⁵⁶ Earthquakes: cf. Marc. Com., who notes the collapse of much of the walls along with 57 towers, a monumental stone structure in the Theodosian Forum, numerous statues, and (contrary to *CP*) the death of many from hunger and the noxious stench. Marcellinus does not mention the popular response, but for this, cf. Theoph. 93. 5-20 (flight to the Campus at the Hebdomon after an earthquake in 437/8). Expiatory supplication was required since earthquakes were regarded as manifestations of divine wrath.

The same earthquake is also recorded in greater detail by *CP s.a.* 450, with the correct date, 26 Jan., and liturgical commemoration at the Campus (cf. n. 262). The annual commemoration at the Triconch does not relate to this earthquake, but to the dust-cloud that gathered over Cpl. on 6 Nov. 472 following the eruption of Vesuvius. See Croke, 'Earthquakes', esp. 140-4.

Triconch: Janin (*Const.* 436-7) identified this among the buildings near to the Capitol (cf. Theoph. 159. 9 for a supplication there).

²⁵⁷ 449 Death of Marina: cf. Marc. Com. (no date), correctly describing her as Theodosius' sister. Marina was unmarried, whereas Valentinian married Theodosius' daughter Eudoxia, *CP s.a.* 437.

Constantinople, Ibas and Theodoret, and many others were demoted by Dioscorus, bishop of Alexandria.²⁵⁸

In the reign of Theodosius and Valentinian Augusti, Attila, who was from the race of the Gepid Huns, marched against Rome and Constantinople with a horde of many tens of thousands. And by means of a certain Goth ambassador he declared to Valentinian emperor of Rome, 'My master and your master Attila commands you through me to make ready a palace for him.' And likewise also to Theodosius the emperor he made the same declaration in Constantinople by means of a certain Goth ambassador. And when Aetius, the first senator at Rome, heard of the exceeding audacity of Attila's senseless dispatch, he departed for Gaul to Alaric, who was hostile to Rome on account of Honorius, and persuaded him to go with him against Attila since he had destroyed many of Rome's cities. And they suddenly rushed upon him when he was encamped near the river Danube, and cut down many thousands of his men. In the engagement Alaric received a wound from [p.588] an arrow and died. Similarly Attila too died, carried off by a downrush of blood through the nostrils during the night while he was sleeping with a Hunnish concubine; the girl was indeed suspected of having killed him herself.²⁵⁹ The most learned

²⁵⁸ 450 Second Synod of Ephesus: cf. Marc. Com. *sa.* 449 (correct). The council was dominated by Dioscorus, his supporters among the monks of Syria and Egypt, and the eunuch Chrysaphius; the result was approval for a Monophysite Christological formula that emphasized the one incarnate nature of Christ and rejected the alternative doctrine of Christ as a unity of two hypostatic natures. See Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 355-6; Chadwick, *Church* 200-2.

This council was often known as the Robber Synod (a name applied by Pope Leo), on account of the excess violence that was used to reach decisions which were soon overturned at Chalcedon (451): neither violence nor repudiation is mentioned in *CP*, which also omits the Ascension date usually given for synods (cf. n. 42). For *CP*'s attitude to Chalcedon, cf. n. 268.

²⁵⁹ Campaign and death of Attila: cf. *Mal.* 358. 6-359. 3 for the same account; both wrongly name as the Roman ally Alaric (the Visigothic leader who had sacked Rome in 410 during his dispute with the emperor Honorius, *PLRE* ii. 43-8, s.v. Alaricus 1), rather than Theodoric (king of the Visigoths, who were now based in Aquitania in south-west France, *PLRE* ii. 1070-1, s.v. Theodericus 2), and locate the decisive battle near the Danube rather than at the Catalaunian plain near Troyes in eastern France. Malalas adds an alternative version of Attila's death, that he was murdered after Aetius had suborned one of his guards.

The invasion was precipitated by a request in 450 from Honoria, the ambitious sister of Valentinian III, that Attila should come to rescue her from a marriage that Valentinian was arranging for her; Attila interpreted the request as an offer of marriage, and hence demanded a portion of the empire for himself

Priscus the Thracian has written the history of this war.²⁶⁰

He says that in Constantinople Cyrus was appointed praetorian prefect and city prefect. And he used to go in procession as praetorian prefect in the carriage of the prefects, but return seated in the carriage of the city prefect. For he held the two offices for four years, because he was perfectly blameless. And he himself had the idea of lighting the evening lamps in the workshops, and likewise also the night-time ones. And for the whole day the factions chanted for him in the Hippodrome, 'Constantine founded, Cyrus restored.' And the emperor was angry with him because they chanted this, and he confiscated his property, replaced him, made him a cleric, and sent him as bishop to Smyrna in Asia: for the people of that city had already murdered four bishops, and the intention was that they should also kill the same Cyrus. After he had arrived at the city, on the Holy Nativity of our Saviour Jesus Christ, the people of the city of Smyrna, since they suspected that the emperor had made him bishop there because he was a Hellene, asked him to address them. As he was compelled by them, he went up to preach, and after granting [p.589] them peace, he began to speak as follows, 'Brothers, let the birth of our God and Saviour Jesus Christ be honoured in silence, because by hearing alone was he conceived in the Holy Virgin; for he was Word. Glory to Him through the ages. Amen.' And after being acclaimed he stepped down, and he remained there until his death.²⁶¹

(Priscus fr. 20. 1, 3), a demand which he tried to enforce by invading Gaul in 451; see F. M. Clover, 'Geiseric and Attila', *Historia* xxii, 1973, 104-17. After his defeat by the patrician Aetius, the leading Roman general in the western empire (for his career, see *PLRE* ii. 21-9, s.v. Aetius 7), and Theodoric the Visigoth (who was killed), Attila attacked Italy in 452, but was persuaded to withdraw. He died in 453 of a haemorrhage (Jordanes, *Getica* 254-5; *PLRE* ii. 182-3; Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 288-96).

²⁶⁰ Priscus: cf. *Mal.* 359. 3-4: it is unlikely that either Malalas or *CP* is derived directly from Priscus (on whom, see Blockley, *Historians* i. 48-70). Nicephorus Callistus (xiv. 57, *PG* cxlvi. 1270B-1272C) has a similar muddled story (e.g. Alaric for Theodoric), which he attributes to Eustathius of Epiphania, an early 6th-c. historian (on whom, see Allen, 'Eustathius'). Eustathius appears to have read and garbled Priscus, and transmitted this version to Malalas whose account was then used by *CP*. Blockley prints this passage of *CP* (not the equivalent text of Malalas) as Priscus fr. 21, but this seems an optimistic assessment of an account probably derived at third hand; cf. Brunt, 'Epitomes', for the general problem of recovering lost texts from later citations.

²⁶¹ Cyrus the prefect: cf. *Mal.* 361. 14-362. 18, *Theoph.* 96. 33-97. 15, J. Nik. 84. 48-58. Malalas' version is fuller and diverges more from *CP* than in other shared stories from Theodosius' reign: e.g. Malalas states that Cyrus was stripped of

In this year in the month Audynaëus [Jan.] during the night of the 26th, Constantinople suffered from an earthquake from the so-called Troadesian porticoes to the bronze Tetrapylon for some time, so that no-one dared to remain at home but all fled outside the city, chanting litanies day and night. And the emperor chanted litanies together with the senate and the multitude and the clergy, barefoot for many days. For there was great peril, such as there had not been from the beginning of time, and some said that fire too had been seen in the heaven. Hence also the remembrance of the litany is celebrated annually even to the present day in the Campus, because of the forbearance of the

office on a concocted charge of paganism, and correctly adds that he was made bishop of Cotyaeum in Phrygia (cf. *Life of Daniel the Stylite* 31). Cameron ('Empress' 223) blames CP for the erroneous reference to Smyrna, but the suggestion is implausible since Theophanes, the Slavonic Malalas, and John of Nikiu, which are not derived from CP, share the error. In the Malalas tradition the Cyrus story is undated, but placed after the death of Attila: this arrangement has determined the incorrect placing of the story in CP. In CP the story opens with 'he says' (not in Mal.): although this appears to refer to Priscus, the account cannot safely be treated as a fragment of Priscus (cf. n. 260).

Cyrus' career: see PLRE ii. 336-9, s.v. Cyrus 7, and Cameron, 'Empress' 221-5, 256-70; also D. J. Constantelos, 'Kyros Panopolites, Rebuilder of Constantinople', GRBS xii, 1971, 451-64. His dual prefecture probably only lasted for two years (439-41; though his urban prefecture, in fact his second, may have started before 439), but was still a most unusual conjunction of offices. He fell from favour towards the end of his sole consulship in 441, a victim, like the empress Eudocia, of the eunuch Chrysaphius. After Theodosius' death (perhaps that referred to here at the end of the story), Cyrus resigned his bishopric and returned to Cpl., where he died c.470.

Lighting: not mentioned in other sources. At Antioch street lighting was provided at private expense, shopkeepers being responsible for maintaining lamps outside their premises (Jones, *LRE* 735); Cyrus presumably undertook to subsidize this expense at Cpl. (see B. Baldwin, *Byz.* 1, 1980, 45 = *Studies* 282).

Buildings: perhaps needed after recent fires and earthquakes; the chanting suggests that these were the chief source of Cyrus' popularity. Little evidence remains: a church to the Virgin can be ascribed to him (Theophylact viii. 8. 11; cf. Janin, *Églises* 193-5, Cameron, 'Empress' 240), an area in the west of the city bore his name (Janin, *Const.* 378-9), he was involved in the restoration of the baths of Achilles (cf. *ss.aa.* 433, 443), and may have built the walls along the Sea of Marmara (cf. n. 243).

Christmas sermon: see Cameron, 'Empress' 243-5; T. E. Gregory, 'The Remarkable Christmas Homily of Kyros Panopolites', GRBS xvi, 1975, 317-24; B. Baldwin, 'Cyrus of Panopolis: a Remarkable Sermon and an Unremarkable Poem', *Vigiliae Christianae* xxxvi, 1982, 169-72 = *Studies* 339-42. It alluded to the contemporary theory, expounded by Proclus (patriarch of Cpl. 434-46) that the Virgin Mary conceived Christ through hearing the divine Word at the Annunciation.

beneficent God. For amidst such great wrath no-one was killed.²⁶²

In this year Theodosius Augustus went out to ride, and while he was riding he fell from his horse; and having injured his spine, he entered by litter from the river Leucus, and when he had summoned his sister the lady Pulcheria, [p.590] he spoke to her concerning Marcian the former tribune. And then again the same emperor Theodosius said to Marcian in the presence of Aspar and all the rest of the senators, 'It has been manifest to me that you must become emperor after me.' And after some days the same Theodosius died, aged 51 years.²⁶³

And in the same year Chrysaphius the *spatharius* was slain at the gate of Melantias.²⁶⁴

²⁶² Earthquake: cf. *Mal.* 363. 20-364. 2 (giving the date, 26 Jan., but not the year); the *Syn. Eccl. Const.* (p. 425. 6) specifies that it was a Sunday. CP has repeated the reference to the day and night litany (which is not in Mal.) from the account of the 447 earthquake. Croke, 'Earthquakes', has shown that there was no earthquake in 450 (when 26 Jan. did not fall on a Sunday), and that the entry is a doublet for the 447 earthquake (when 26 Jan. *was* a Sunday). This illustrates the author's method: most of the information recorded in CP s.a. 450 was derived from the Malalas tradition (Attila, Cyrus, earthquake, death of Theodosius), which preserved these notices (with others interspersed) in the same order but with no indication of year; in CP the first notice (Attila) was placed s.a. 450, probably because this was the date of Attila's embassy to Theodosius, and then followed by a sequence of Malalas information: Cyrus (correct date c.441/2), earthquake (447), and Theodosius' death (450).

Troadesian porticoes: probably so named because their marble came from the Troad, they flanked part of the western extension of the Mese between the Forum of Arcadius on the Xerolophus and the Constantinian walls. Bronze Tetrapiylon: located at an important crossroads on the Mese between the Fora of Constantine and Theodosius (Mango, *Const.* 28, 30-1 with n. 44). Campus at the Hebdomon: modelled on the Campus Martius at Rome, see Janin, *Const.* 446-9.

²⁶³ Death of Theodosius and succession of Marcian: cf. *Mal.* 366. 19-367. 5 (without the reference to the river Leucus, i.e. the Lycus which flowed through the western part of Cpl.); also Marc. Com.; Theoph. 103. 7-16 (giving Pulcheria credit for the appointment of Marcian). Theodosius died on 28 July.

Marcian: *PLRE* ii. 714-15, s.v. Marcianus 8; he had been *domesticus* to Ardabur and his son Aspar the *magister militum* (*PLRE* ii. 164-9), whose increasing influence at court determined the choice of successor, in conjunction with the wishes of Pulcheria who had benefited from the withdrawal of Eudocia (see Cameron, 'Empress' 263-6).

²⁶⁴ Death of Chrysaphius: cf. Marc. Com. (no reference to place; Pulcheria responsible for the execution), *Mal.* 368. 5-9 (beheaded by Marcian because of the testimonies of those injured by him, and as protector and patron of the Greens). The eunuch Chrysaphius (*PLRE* ii. 295-7) had dominated the last decade of Theodosius II's reign; as *spatharius* (the first attested holder of the position) he was captain of the bodyguard in the imperial *cubiculum* (Jones, *LRE* 567-8).

And in the same year Marcian was elevated as Augustus by the Circus at the Hebdomon, in the month Lous, on day 8 before Kalends of September [25 Aug.], a Thursday.²⁶⁵ As soon as he became emperor, he married the sister of Emperor Theodosius the younger, the lady Pulcheria, who was a virgin aged 54 years.

Marcian was 42nd Roman emperor for 7 years.²⁶⁶

In total, 5,966 years.

451 Indiction 4, year 1, consulship of Marcian Augustus and Adelphius.

Pulcheria, the wife of Marcian the emperor, in accordance with a vision, found the remains of the Forty Saints martyred at Sebastea, hidden in the church of St. Thyrsus behind the *ambo*. And Caesarius, consul and prefect, built a church for them outside the Troadesian walls.²⁶⁷

Olympiad 308

452 Indiction 5, year 2, consulship of Sphoracius and Herculanius.

[p.591] In year 422 from the Ascension to heaven of the Lord, there took place in Chalcedon the fourth Synod of the 630 holy

Melantias Gate: location uncertain, see Mango, *Const.* 32; Melantias itself was a village on the Via Egnatia about 18 miles from Cpl. (cf. n. 459).

265 Elevation of Marcian: cf. Marc. Com. (no date or details). Mal. 367. 6-9 states that he was crowned by the senate, and provides a brief physical description. CP's expression 'by the Circus' (*ἀπὸ τοῦ Κερκῆσιου*) is obscure, and Dindorf (n. ad loc.) plausibly suggested it was a corruption of *ἐξερκέτου*, 'army' (cf. Leo's proclamation s.a. 457 for elevation by the army, *ὑπὸ τοῦ ἐξερκέτου*).

266 Marriage to Pulcheria and regnal length: cf. Mal. 367. 9-11 (reign of 6 years and 5 months).

267 451 Relics of 40 Martyrs: Sozomen (ix. 2) records that during the patriarchate of Proclus (434-46), Caesarius built a church to St. Thyrsus to serve as his wife's burial place; the relics were discovered near the *ambo* after Pulcheria had a vision, and were placed next to the relics of St. Thyrsus in the same church. Sozomen's account is preferable to CP's: (i) Caesarius, consul in 397 (*PLRE* ii. 249, s.v. Caesarius 1 = Caesarius 6, *PLRE* i. 171), is unlikely still to have been alive in 451; (ii) there is no other evidence for this church of the 40 Martyrs, in spite of Janin's attempt to distinguish it from St. Thyrsus (*Églises* 482-3, 247-8). The Troadesian walls (i.e. the Constantinian walls near the Mese, cf. n. 262), were near the Helenianae, the location attested for St. Thyrsus, which supports the identification of the two churches, cf. Tiftixoglu, 'Helenianai' n. 78 at 97-8.

fathers against the abominable Eutyches and Dioscorus, bishop of Alexandria, who were indeed demoted.²⁶⁸

453 Indiction 6, year 3, consulship of Vincomalus and Opilio.

In the time of these consuls the lady Pulcheria died.²⁶⁹

In the time of the aforementioned consuls Vincomalus and Opilio, while Valentinian and Marcian Augusti were emperors, in the month Peritius, on day 12 before Kalends of March [18 Feb.], in the middle week of Lent, in Syromacedonian year 763, Antiochene year 501, and year 425 after the holy Precursor, Prophet, and Baptist John was beheaded, his precious head was found in the Emesene city.²⁷⁰

454 Indiction 7, year 4, consulship of Aetius and Studius.

In this year, when Anthemus was emperor in Rome, the

²⁶⁸ 452 Synod of Chalcedon: cf. Marc. Com. *s.a.* 451 (correct); the council took place in Oct., indiction 5, in the 14th month after the proclamation of Marcian (Theoph. 105. 21-3). In *CP* the indiction and regnal years are correct, the consular year one year late. The author overlooked the fact that Marcian's 2nd regnal year and indiction 5 both began in 451, consulship of Marcian and Adelphius. The Ascension date is calculated from AD 31, the usual date for the Crucifixion in *CP* (cf. *ss.aa.* 325, 381, with nn. 42, 160, for the Synods of Nicaea and Constantinople), but relates to the incorrect consular year, and so is one year out; this suggests that the chronicler himself made this calculation (cf. Introduction n. 44).

The council partially reversed the decisions of Second Ephesus in 449 (cf. *CP s.a.* 450 with n. 258), where Dioscorus and Eutyches had triumphed. Chalcedon condemned both Nestorian and Monophysite doctrine, endorsed a doctrinal document drawn up by Pope Leo, and reasserted the superior position in the east of Cpl., but many eastern Christians saw its decisions as an unfortunate compromise with Nestorian dual Christology. The result was to increase divisions within the church; see further Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 356-9; Stein, *BE* i. 312-15; Chadwick, *Church* 203-5; Young, *Nicaea* 229-40.

In view of the contentious nature of the council, the very brief notice in *CP* may reflect a lack of commitment by the author to Chalcedonian doctrine before the revisions of the 6th c.; note also the lack of criticism of the 'Robber Synod', 2nd Ephesus, *s.a.* 450, and see Introduction p. xxvi.

²⁶⁹ 453 Death of Pulcheria: cf. Marc. Com., mentioning her foundation of St. Laurence; also Mal. 368. 18-19, Theoph. 106. 25-9.

²⁷⁰ Head of John the Baptist: Marcellinus (*s.a.* 453) preserves a long account of the invention of the relic at Emesa, dated to 24 Feb. in the middle week of Lent. However, Easter 453 fell on 12 April, so that the middle week of Lent began on 15 March, whereas in 452, when Easter fell on 23 March, the middle week started on 24 Feb. The date of 452 is further supported by a discrepancy in the dating formula: Easter 452 fell in the Syromacedonian (i.e. Seleucid) year 763, although Easter 453 fell in year 501 of the Antiochene Era (see Grumel, *Chronologie* 215, 243, 320). *CP s.a.* 391 records an alternative version of the invention, when the head was deposited in the church of the Baptist at the Hebdomon on 18 Feb., which explains *CP*'s incorrect date in this passage.

church of St. Thomas, which is named the Apostoleum, was founded by him near the Boraïdion.²⁷¹

455 Indiction 8, year 5, the 8th consulship of Valentinian Augustus and that of Anthemius.

In this year Valentinian Augustus was slain in Rome [p.592] between two laurels, and Maximus was elevated as emperor, and was himself also slain in the same year.²⁷² And Zinzerich king of the Africans entered Rome and captured Eudoxia, the wife of Valentinian, and her two daughters, Placidia and Honoria; after a short time Leo the emperor ransomed them from captivity. But Zinzerich retained Honoria as bride for Honarich his son.²⁷³

²⁷¹ 454 Anthemius: Augustus of the west 467–72, *PLRE* ii. 96–8, s.v. Anthemius 3. In 453/4 Anthemius married Marcian's daughter Euphemia, and this may have occasioned a summary of other events in Anthemius' life (cf. *Mal.* 368. 9–12); in 455 he was consul. The exact date of the construction of Anthemius' church is unknown, but it was during Marcian's reign (the notice is wrongly repeated *s.a.* 468, *CP* p. 598. 1–2, where the church is also described as 'that of Anthemius').

Church of St. Thomas: *CP* incorrectly implies that this was at Rome, whereas it was at or near Cpl. The church is most naturally identified with the church of St. Thomas 'in the quarter of Anthemius' near the cistern of Mocius (Janin, *Églises* 251). However, the area of the Boraïdion has been located near the harbour of Julian on the basis of a reference in John of Antioch's account (fr. 218f. 5) of the overthrow of Phocas in 610 (Janin, *Const.* 325–6); in this vicinity there was a church of St. Thomas 'in the quarter of Amantius' (Janin, *Églises* 248–50). But the reference in John of Antioch, though indecisive, might indicate that the Boraïdion was further west, perhaps overlooking the harbour of Caesarius/Theodosius; this would support the natural identification with the church in the quarter of Anthemius (cf. Tiftixoglu, 'Helenianai' 86–7). Janin, however, located the church of St. Thomas recorded by *CP* at the suburb of Boradion on the eastern shore of the Bosphorus near Kanlica (*Monastères* 17, *Const.* 484). The name Boraïdion is traditionally connected with Justinian's nephew Boraïdes; if this connection is correct, the reference is anachronistic in this 5th-c. context.

²⁷² 455 Death of Valentinian: he was killed (on 16 March) in the Campus Martius by Optila and Thraustila, two dependents of Aetius who had been murdered by Valentinian in 454, cf. *Marc. Com.*; also *Mal.* 360. 20–3. The 'two laurels' was apparently a landmark in the Campus Martius (mentioned also in this context by one version of Prosper's *Chronicle*, *CM* i. 483–4, with app. crit.).

Maximus: *PLRE* ii. 749–51, s.v. Maximus 22; he had instigated the murder of Valentinian, but was killed on 31 May in his third month of rule (*Marc. Com.*) during the Vandal attack on Rome. John of Antioch fr. 201 describes these events at length, probably following Priscus; see also Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 298–300.

²⁷³ Vandal attack: cf. *Marc. Com.*, alleging that Geiseric (Zinzerich) was invited by Eudoxia herself, who objected to Maximus forcing himself on her as husband; Theophanes (108. 3–109. 9) also preserves a detailed account. See further Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 323–6.

Placidia: although Placidia's ransom is also mentioned *s.a.* 464 (p. 594. 6–7),

Olympiad 309

456 Indiction 9, year 6, consulship of Varanes and John.

The emperor Marcian favoured the Blue faction, not only in Constantinople, but also everywhere. And when there was a disturbance from the members of the Green faction, he promulgated his sacred constitution that Greens should not hold public or administrative posts for 3 years.²⁷⁴

457 Indiction 10, year 7, consulship of Constantine and Rufus.

In the time of these consuls Marcian Augustus died, aged 65 years.²⁷⁵ And Leo the Great was elevated as emperor by the army, in the month Peritius, on day 7 before Ides of February [7 Feb.], and he was emperor for 16 years.²⁷⁶ In total, 5,982.

Leo was 43rd Roman emperor for 16 years.

In total, 5,982.

458 [p.593] Indiction 11, year 1, consulship of Leo Augustus and Majorian.

459 Indiction 12, year 2, consulship of Ricimer and Patricius.

In the time of these consuls Theodosius was promoted city

Geiseric probably handed her back voluntarily in connection with his diplomatic offensive in 461/2. (Theophanes 110. 5-8 dates her return to 456/7, Malalas 368. 1-4 simply to the reign of Marcian.)

Honorio: in fact Eudocia, elder daughter of Valentinian III and Eudoxia (PLRE ii. 410-12, s.v. Eudoxia 2; 407-8, s.v. Eudocia 1), who was already betrothed to Geiseric's son Hunneric.

²⁷⁴ 456 Factions: cf. Mal. 368. 13-16. Circus factions, and factional allegiance, became more important at Cpl. during the reign of Theodosius II, who had lavished favours on the Green faction (Mal. 351. 5-352. 7), which had his favourite the eunuch Chrysaphius as its patron (Mal. 368. 8). For discussion, see Cameron, *Factions* 288-9, who perhaps unduly minimizes the practical consequences of such patronage of a particular faction (which might have included preference for public and administrative office), and hence underestimates the importance of the ban.

²⁷⁵ 457 Death of Marcian: on 27 Jan.; cf. Mal. 368. 16-18, Marc. Com., Theoph. 109. 23-4 (with incorrect Roman date). According to Malalas his death was caused by gangrene, five months after his feet had become inflamed because of his anger at the Green riot (which is recorded in the same notice as the imperial succession). Theodore Lector 367 states that he died after participating in the procession to the Hebdomon on 26 Jan. (commemorating the 447 earthquake): for discussion, see B. Croke, 'The Date and Circumstances of Marcian's Decease, A.D. 457', *Byz.* xlviii, 1978, 5-9.

²⁷⁶ Accession of Leo: cf. Mal. 369. 1-2 (no date); as with Marcian's accession in 450, Malalas records that the emperor was crowned by the senate. Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 91 preserves a detailed account of the sequence of events and ceremonial in which senate, army, and patriarch were involved.

prefect; and he built the Augustaeum at the side of the Great Church.²⁷⁷

And in this year Aspar the *magister militum* began to build the very large cistern near the old wall.²⁷⁸

Olympiad 310

460 Indiction 13, year 3, consulship of Apollonius and Magnus.

461 Indiction 14, year 4, consulship of Dagalaiphus and Severianus.

462 Indiction 15, year 5, the 2nd consulship of Leo Augustus and that of Serpentius.²⁷⁹

463 Indiction 1, year 6, consulship of Vivianus and Basilius.²⁸⁰

In the time of these consuls there was a shortage of bread, so that one loaf was sold for three *folles*.²⁸¹

Olympiad 311

464 Indiction 2, year 7, consulship of Rusticius and Olybrius.

In this year St. Symeon the Stylite died, when Ardabur the patrician, the son of Aspar the *magister militum*, was *comes*

²⁷⁷ 459 Augustaeum: the open space between the imperial Palace and St. Sophia, it had received its name under Constantine (Mal. 321. 10-12; CP p. 529. 1-4); Theodosius (PLRE ii. 1101, s.v. Theodosius 12: nothing else is known) probably built or restored the surrounding porticoes (Mango, *Brazen House* 46, Janin, *Const.* 59-62).

²⁷⁸ Cistern of Aspar: in the north-west of the city on the fifth hill, Janin, *Const.* 204-5, Mango, *Const.* 49; the old wall is that of Constantine. Aspar: cf. n. 263.

²⁷⁹ 462 Consuls: eastern lists record this as a sole consulship of Leo, western lists as Leo and Libius Severus (a usurper proclaimed in the west by Ricimer), see Bagnall, *Consuls* 458-9.

²⁸⁰ 463 *cos. post.*: Basilius is not recognized in other eastern lists. Marc. Com. has Felix, his 'one inexplicable error', Bagnall, *Consuls* 461.

²⁸¹ Bread shortage: no parallels for this notice.

Follis: its early history is disputed, see Hendy, *Economy* 339-41. The *follis* originated in the late 3rd c. as a sealed bag containing a specified quantity of base metal coin, but by the early 5th c. at least, the term was being used for a coin. In 498 Anastasius reformed the base metal currency of the eastern empire, probably on the basis of numismatic developments in Vandal Africa or Ostrogothic Italy (ibid. 476-92), and established the relationship of the *follis* to the gold *solidus*. The value of a *follis* in 463 is unknown and it is not impossible that the term has been introduced here anachronistically. CP s.a. 618 mentions the introduction of a charge of '3 coins' to beneficiaries of the state ration, while s.a. 626 it records that bread cost 3 *folles* before an attempted price rise: see nn. 449, 456.

Orientis. And when the Antiochenes chanted and demanded the body of the righteous man, the same Ardabur sent [p.594] a Gothic guard and brought the body of St. Symeon to Antioch the Great, and a great church was founded there as his martyrrium.²⁸²

Olybrius was sent to Rome by the emperor Leo and, under compulsion from the Romans there, he was there elected emperor and had as his wife Placidia, the one who had been ransomed, that is to say rescued, from captivity. They founded St. Euphemia's, in the quarter of Olybrius. And by her Olybrius begat Juliana, who became wife of Areobindus the great who fought in single combat in Persia; from them Olybrius the younger was begotten.²⁸³

465 Indiction 3, year 8, consulship of Basiliscus and Armenarichus.

²⁸² 464 Symeon Stylites: cf. *Mal.* 369. 10-16 (undated). Symeon died on his column at Qalat Seman (near modern Aleppo in Syria) in 459 (H. Leitzmann, *Das Leben des Heiligen Symeon Stylites*, Texte und Untersuchungen xxxii/4, 231-3); *CP*'s dating error was probably caused by reliance on the undated notice in Malalas. For accounts of Symeon's career, see Leitzmann, op. cit.

Ardabur: *magister militum per Orientem* 453-66, see *PLRE* ii. 135-7, s.v. Ardabur 1; he is alleged to have tried once to shoot Symeon as an imposter. Popular enthusiasm for the saint dictated that special measures be taken to prevent disturbances arising from a scramble for relics. The Antiochenes wanted the body as a protection after an earthquake had damaged their walls (cf. Evag. i. 13, and see further Downey, *Antioch* 480-2).

²⁸³ Olybrius: *PLRE* ii. 796-8, s.v. Olybrius 6. He was dispatched to Rome in 472; shortly afterwards the emperor Anthemius was murdered by Ricimer, the Germanic *magister militum*: *Mal.* 373. 9-375. 11 has a detailed account; cf. also Theoph. 118. 8-10, and see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 339-40. Olybrius had been betrothed, or possibly even married, to Placidia in 454/5, only shortly before Geiseric attacked Rome and captured her, with her sister Eudocia and her mother Eudoxia; the date of her release is unknown, but 461/2 is a plausible guess, cf. n. 273.

Church of St. Euphemia: located in Cpl. (though the context in *CP* might suggest Rome); Janin, *Églises* 124-6. The quarter of Olybrius, situated between the Capitol and the Holy Apostles, was the location of Anicia Juliana's subsequent foundation, St. Polyeuctus: Janin, *Const.* 398-9.

Anicia Juliana: born post 461/2, married to Areobindus in 478/9, see *PLRE* ii. 635-6, s.v. Iuliana 3 (ibid. 1309, stemma 3 for the family tree). This Areobindus (*PLRE* ii. 143-4, s.v. Areobindus 1) was in fact the grandson of the Areobindus who fought in single combat against the Persians in 422 (*Mal.* 364. 3-21).

Olybrius the younger: *PLRE* ii. 795, s.v. Olybrius 3.

This notice, of which nothing can be proved to relate to this year, may have been inserted simply because Olybrius was currently consul (cf. *CP s.a.* 468 for repetition of the notice about Anthemius in his consular year); alternatively it might have been the date of Anicia Juliana's birth, to which a reference (now lost) could have attracted the other family information.

In the time of these consuls Menas, who was prefect of the Watch, being accused of evil deeds, was questioned in the Hippodrome by the senate, and at the command of the emperor a boy tripped him up and threw him on his face in the deep part at the Hippodrome turning-post, and the people took hold of him and began to drag him. And the officials, when they saw what had happened, withdrew in fear. And they dragged the man as far as the estate of Studius; and a certain Goth took a stone and struck him on the ear and killed him. And his corpse was dragged by the people as far as the sea.²⁸⁴

[p.595] After thirty days, 8 regions of the city were burnt as a result of God's wrath in the month Gorpiaeus, on 2nd September, a Wednesday in indiction 3, on the Feast-day of St. Mamas.²⁸⁵

466 Indiction 4, year 9, the 3rd and sole consulship of Leo Augustus.²⁸⁶

467 Indiction 5, year 10, consulship of Pusaëus and John.

In the time of these consuls Isocasius the philosopher and *quaestor* was accused of being a Hellene; he was descended by ancestry from Aegae in Cilicia, but was a landowner and inhabitant of Antioch the Great, who had discharged many offices with glory and was a man of great intellect. And he was arrested at the command of the emperor because of the rioting then current in Constantinople, stripped of his rank and

²⁸⁴ 465 Menas: nothing else is known about this incident which, however, illustrates the importance of the Hippodrome as the setting for political theatre. prefect of the Watch: *praefectus vigilum*, a subordinate of the city prefect and responsible for the maintenance of order; see Jones, *LRE* 691-2.

Estate of Studius: site of the famous church and monastery dedicated to John the Baptist, see Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 147-52, C. Mango, 'The Date of the Studius Basilica at Istanbul', *BMGS* iv, 1978, 115-22. It was situated at the western end of the city, close to the Golden Gate and the Sea of Marmara (id., *Const.* 47).

²⁸⁵ Fire: cf. Marc. Com. The correct date was 464 (Sept. of indiction 3: 2 Sept. fell on a Wednesday in 464). Theophanes 112. 19-24, recording the same fire (wrongly dated to indiction 15, i.e. 461), notes that it extended from the Neorion (dockyard on the Golden Horn) to the church of St. Thomas in the quarter of Amantius, located near the harbour of Julian on the Sea of Marmara (Janin, *Églises* 248-50). Evagrius ii. 13 describes the genesis and extent of this fire, one of the most destructive in the history of Cpl.: it spread westwards as far as the church of Homonoia (located in the ninth region, Janin, *Églises* 382) and the Forum Tauri (i.e. Forum of Theodosius, cf. n. 310), so that the eight eastern regions of the city were affected. See further n. 296.

²⁸⁶ Consuls: three western lists name Tatianus as Leo's colleague, see Bagnall, *Consuls* 466-7.

conducted to Chalcedon on the far side from Constantinople, to Theophilus governor of Bithynia, who was the one who took his testimony. But Jacob the Cilician, who was the chief physician in the city and was called 'the Cooler', appealed to the emperor. For this Leo loved the same Cooler, as did all the senate and the city, because he was an excellent doctor and philosopher, for whom the senators had even set up his effigies in the Zeuxippon. This man entreated the emperor, asking him that Isocasius be examined in Constantinople by the senate and the praetorian prefect and not by a provincial governor, since he had held the rank of *quaestor*. And the emperor Leo was persuaded and ordered [p.596] that Isocasius be brought from Chalcedon; he was brought forward in the Zeuxippon and examined by the praetorian prefect and consul Pusaеus. And the same Pusaеus spoke as follows against Isocasius, who came out naked before the curtain, his arms bound behind his back: 'Do you see yourself, Isocasius, in what condition you stand?'. And Isocasius said in reply, 'I see, and I am not dismayed. For being mortal, I have fallen upon mortal misfortunes. But pass judgement upon me with justice, as you used to pass judgement in my company.' And when they heard Isocasius, the people of Byzantium who were standing and watching acclaimed the emperor Leo many times. And they carried off the same Isocasius and brought him from the Zeuxippon to the Great Church, and he was catechized and baptized and sent to his own land.²⁸⁷

And the same most sacred emperor ordered that Lord's Days

²⁸⁷ 467 Isocasius: cf. *Mal.* 369. 17-371. 4 for the same story with minor differences, omitting the reference to disorder in Cpl.; also *Theoph.* 115. 9-18 (abbreviated version). For his career, see *PLRE* ii. 633-4. The *quaestor* was the imperial adviser most closely connected with the formulation of imperial law, see Jill Harries, 'The Roman Imperial *Quaestor* from Constantine to Theodosius II', *JRS* lxxviii, 1988, 148-72. In 468 Leo banned pagans from the legal profession (*Cod. Iust.* i. 4. 15): the attack on Isocasius may have been a prelude to this.

Jacob: *PLRE* ii. 582-3, s.v. Iacobus 3. He was not a Cilician: this error is not in Malalas, who instead calls him *comes* at this point; Jacob's mother was Greek, his father Damascene, and he was born in Alexandria. His nickname 'the Cooler' derived from his prescription of cold baths, and his provision of free medical advice to the poor earned him great popularity. Like Isocasius, he was a pagan.

Theophilus: otherwise unknown, *PLRE* ii. 1109, s.v. Theophilus 6.

Pusaеus: (*ibid.* 930), praetorian prefect in 465 and 467 (perhaps continuously), when he would have presided jointly with the *quaestor* Isocasius over the special court of appeal established by Theodosius in 440 (Jones, *LRE* 505-6).

Zeuxippon: the large bath complex between the Great Palace and St. Sophia may have been chosen as the venue for the trial because other grand public buildings had been damaged in the fire of 464.

should be days of rest, on this matter promulgating his sacred law that neither flute nor lyre nor any other musical instrument should sound on the Lord's Day, but all lie idle. And every man complied.²⁸⁸

In this year he murdered inside the Palace Aspar the patrician and leader of the senate, who had plotted usurpation, and in a senatorial session his sons Ardabur and Patricius, who were themselves also senators, and he mutilated their bodies. And there was unrest in Constantinople; for they had a multitude of Goths as well as *comites* and other servants [p.597] loyal to them. And so a certain Goth from Aspar's own retinue, a *comes* named Ostrys, entered the Palace with other Goths firing arrows, and when an engagement ensued between the excubitors and Ostrys the *comes*, many were cut down. And being surrounded, he saw that he was defeated and fled taking Aspar's concubine, who was a very lovely and rich Goth. She left with him for Thrace on horseback, and they ravaged the estates. The Byzantines chanted concerning him, 'A dead man has no friend, save only Ostrys.'²⁸⁹

The same emperor Leo carried out a great persecution against the followers of the doctrine of the Arian Exokionites. And he sent edicts everywhere that they should not have churches or meet together at all.²⁹⁰

In the same year there appeared in the heaven a very great

²⁸⁸ Observance of Sunday: cf. *Mal.* 371. 5-8, Theod. Lect. 377; the law is preserved in *Cod. Iust.* iii. 12. 9, dated 9 Dec. 469.

²⁸⁹ Overthrow of Aspar: cf. *Mal.* 371. 9-372. 2 (undated); also *Theoph.* 117. 11-32. The Alan Aspar, a key figure in the choice of Marcian and Leo as emperors, found his dominance under threat in 466, when the Isaurian Zeno had exposed the treachery of his son Ardabur with the Persians and received Leo's daughter Ariadne in marriage. However, he was not overthrown until 471 (Marc. Com. *sa.*); see *PLRE* ii. 167-8; Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 316-20. The incorrect date in *CP* was again caused by its use of an undated sequence of information from Malalas.

Ostrys: *PLRE* ii. 814-15, a commander in Aspar's army in Thrace in 467 (Priscus fr. 49), who should probably be identified with Triarius, father of Theodoric Strabo (we owe this point to Peter Heather).

Excubitors: created by Leo as a small (300 strong) but effective new element in the Palace guard, and probably dominated at this time by Zeno's fellow Isaurians; see Jones, *LRE* 658-9; Haldon, *Praetorians* 136-9; Mary Whitby, 'Ceremony' 483-8.

²⁹⁰ Persecution of Arians: cf. *Mal.* 372. 3-5 (occasioned by the Arianism of Aspar and Ardabur). Other anti-heretical legislation of Leo is preserved at *Cod. Iust.* i. 5. 9-11.

Exokionites: see n. 157.

sign, called by some a trumpet, by some a spear, and by some a beam; and it appeared for some days.²⁹¹

In the same year Anthemius became emperor and left for Rome; and his laureate image entered Constantinople under Diapherentius, city prefect.²⁹²

Olympiad 312

468 Indiction 6, year 11, the 2nd and sole consulship of Anthemius Augustus.

[p.598] This Anthemius built the church of St. Thomas the Apostle, called that of Anthemius, near the quarter of Borraidon.²⁹³

In the time of the aforementioned consul, Dinzerich son of Attila was slain by Anagastes the *magister militum per Thraciam*, and his head entered Constantinople while chariot races were being held; it was paraded along the Mese, and carried away to the Xylocircus and fixed on a pole. And all the city went out to view it for a number of days.²⁹⁴

469 Indiction 7, year 12, consulship of Zeno and Marcian.

In this year it rained ash instead of rain in Constantinople; the ash lay on the tiles to a palm's depth. And all trembled, chanting litanies and saying, 'There was fire and it was quenched, and ash

²⁹¹ Sign in heaven: cf. Theoph. 115. 1-2 (under Leo's 9th year = 465/6). Presumably a comet.

²⁹² Appointment of Anthemius: cf. Marc. Com.; also Theoph. 114. 21-4 (Leo's 8th year, 464/5). Anthemius, son-in-law of Marcian, had proved a competent commander in the Balkans, cf. n. 271; at this time Geiseric was urging the appointment of Olybrius (married to Placidia, the sister of Eudocia who was Geiseric's daughter-in-law, cf. nn. 273, 283), and Anthemius was clearly intended to forestall the Vandal king's imperial plans: see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 334-5.

Laureate image: this was brought from Rome by Heliocrates, and welcomed at Cpl. by Diapherentius, city prefect, and Dioscorus, an ex-prefect; the ceremony is described in Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 87 (i. 395. 9-396. 7); cf. S. MacCormack, 'Change and Continuity in Late Antiquity: the Ceremony of *Adventus*', *Historia* xxi, 1972, 721-52, at 746-9, and for the analogous reception in Egypt of an image of Justin II, L. S. B. MacCoull, 'The Panegyric on Justin II by Dioscorus of Aphroditos', *Byz.* liv, 1984, 575-85.

²⁹³ 468 Church of St. Thomas: a doublet for the notice *s.a.* 454, inserted here because of Anthemius' consulship.

²⁹⁴ Death of Dengizich: leader of the Huns *c.* 460-9, *PLRE* ii. 354-5. For his attacks on the empire in 466/7, see Priscus *fr.* 46, 48. Anagastes: *PLRE* ii. 75-6. Xylocircus: see n. 196.

Marc. Com. *s.a.* 469 records the arrival of Dengizich's head: this marks the end of the section in which parallels can be traced between CP and Marc. Com.

was found since God was beneficent', in the month Dios, November 11th.²⁹⁵

In this year there was a great conflagration in Constantinople, such as never before; for it was burnt from sea to sea. And Leo the emperor departed in terror to the far side to St. Mamas, and stayed in retirement there for six months, and he built there a little harbour and a portico, and the place is named thus, New Portico.²⁹⁶

470 Indiction 8, year 13, consulship of Gordian and Severus.²⁹⁷

471 [p.599] Indiction 9, year 14, the 4th consulship of Leo and that of Probianus.

Olympiad 313

472 Indiction 10, year 15, consulship of Marcian and Festus.²⁹⁸

473 Indiction 11, year 16, the 5th and sole consulship of Leo.

After the death of Leo the Great, Leo II Augustus was 43rd Roman emperor for 1 year.²⁹⁹ In total, 5,983.

474 Indiction 12, year 1, sole consulship of Leo II.

This Leo was induced by his own mother the *nobilissima* Ariadne so that when Zeno the *magister militum* and patrician, his father, did obeisance to him as emperor, he placed an imperial crown on the head of the same Zeno; and Zeno Codisseus the Isaurian was emperor together with his offspring Leo for a short time. And in the 11th month of his consulship

²⁹⁵ 469 Rain of ash: cf. *Mal.* 372. 6-10 (undated); *Theoph.* 119. 29-33 (Leo's 17th year, 473/4). The dust was caused by the eruption of Vesuvius in 472, and the event was commemorated on 6 Nov. (Marc. Com. *s.a.*; cf. also Croke, 'Earthquakes'). The litany alludes to the unquenchable fire of hell (e.g. Isaiah 66. 24, Mark 9. 42-8), which God's mercy has extinguished.

²⁹⁶ Fire: cf. *Mal.* 372. 11-16 (undated). This resembles the fire of 2 Sept. 464 (already recorded *s.a.* 465), which burnt from the Golden Horn to the Sea of Marmara (cf. Evag. ii. 13). The identification of the two fires is supported by the report in the *Life* of Daniel the Stylite (45-6) that after a fire (datable to 464) Leo resided for a time at the palace of St. Michael, which can be equated with the palace of St. Mamas at Beşiktaş on the Bosphorus (Janin, *Const.* 141, 473-4), not far from the oratory to the Archangel Michael at Anapulus.

For both notices in this year CP has again been chronologically misled by reliance on the undated sequence of notices in Malalas.

²⁹⁷ 470 *cos. prior*: Iordanes.

²⁹⁸ 472 *cos. prior*: Bagnall (*Consuls* 479) distinguishes this Marcian from the consul of 469; identified PLRE ii. 717-18, s.v. Marcianus 17.

²⁹⁹ 473 Accession of Leo II: Leo I died on 18 Jan., and was succeeded by his grandson, the son of Zeno, cf. *Mal.* 375. 19-376. 7 (wrong date for Leo's death), *Theoph.* 119. 11-13, and see PLRE ii. 664-5, s.v. Leo 7.

CP had correctly recorded that Leo I was 43rd Roman emperor (*s.a.* 457).

Leo II fell sick in the month Dios, which is also November, and died aged 17 years, as Nestorianus the most learned chronicler wrote in his history up to Leo II.³⁰⁰

Zeno Augustus was 44th Roman emperor for 17 years.

In total, 6,000.

475 Indiction 13, year 1, the 2nd and sole consulship of Zeno Augustus.

[p.600]

Olympiad 314

476 Indiction 14, year 2, consulship of Basiliscus and Armatus.³⁰¹

477 Indiction 15, year 3, post-consulship of Basiliscus and Armatus.³⁰²

Zeno the emperor had some matter requested of him by his mother-in-law Verina, and since he did not grant it was the object of intrigue by her; and fearing lest he be slain by one of those in the Palace (for his mother-in-law Verina also lived with him in the Palace), he stayed in retirement at Chalcedon; from there he fled by post-horse and departed to Isauria, even though he was emperor. The empress Ariadne caught up with him, after secretly fleeing to Isauria from her own mother, and lived there together with her husband. And after the flight of Zeno the emperor and Ariadne the Augusta, the lady Verina immediately appointed an emperor by crowning Basiliscus her own brother. And the same Basiliscus was emperor for two years, which are reckoned together with the first and subsequent years of Zeno.

³⁰⁰ 474 Reign of Leo II: cf. *Mal.* 376. 8-20, with the addition of 9 Peritius, i.e. Feb., as the date of Zeno's coronation, and Antiochene dates for Leo II's consulship and death, correctly said to be at the age of 7. Theophanes 120. 1-7 adds that Leo, held by Verina and Ariadne, crowned Zeno in the imperial box in the Hippodrome. Zeno's original name had been Tarasicodissa (*vel. sim.*).

Nestorianus: also mentioned in Malalas, but otherwise unknown, apart from an earlier reference in Malalas (324. 11-13) in the context of the death of Constantine.

³⁰¹ 476 *cos. prior*: eastern lists fail to record that this was Basiliscus' second consulship (first in 465), see Bagnall, *Consuls* 486-7. The MS reading, which is consistent with CP's usual formula, is incorrectly reported in the Bonn text (cf. n. 302).

³⁰² 477 Consuls: CP accurately records this as *post cons.* of Basiliscus and Armatus (the MS reading is misreported in the Bonn text); CP is in general less confused by the post-consular formula than is suggested by Bagnall, *Consuls* 56.

And Basiliscus, as soon as he became emperor, crowned his son Marcus as emperor, and the two were emperors together.³⁰³

478 Indiction 1, year 4, sole consulship of Illus.

In this year Zeno the emperor returned with a great throng from Isauria. And when Basiliscus learnt of the [p.601] return of the emperor Zeno, he sent Armatus the *magister militum praesentalis*, together with all the forces of the army which he had in Thrace and in Constantinople and the Palace, after making him swear by the Holy Baptism that he would not turn traitor. And Armatus crossed over, taking the countless multitude of the army. And, learning of this in advance, Zeno the emperor sent to the same Armatus, promising him many things, including the post of *magister militum praesentalis* for his lifetime, and to make his son Caesar. And Armatus was won over by Zeno the emperor, turned traitor, and was discovered to be on the side of Zeno the emperor: he did not go to meet Zeno as he approached, but decided to depart by another road. But the emperor Zeno, together with his forces, after setting out by the road from Isauria, crossed from Pylae as it is called, and came to the Palace in Constantinople together with his own men, and was welcomed by the armies and the senate. Basiliscus the emperor suddenly heard that Zeno the emperor had set out and come to the Palace and that everyone had welcomed him, including the lady Verina, Zeno's mother-in-law; Basiliscus took his wife and children and fled to the Great Church of Constantinople to the great baptistery. But the most sacred Zeno displayed the Hippodrome banner, immediately went up, watched the games and was welcomed by the people of the city. And immediately the [p.602] emperor sent to the Great Church and removed from Basiliscus and his wife and their son all the imperial insignia, and expelled him with his children and wife, after they had received a guarantee that they would not be beheaded. And the same emperor Zeno sent him and those with

³⁰³ Flight of Zeno and usurpation of Basiliscus: cf. *Mal.* 377. 5-378. 11 for the same account, adding that Verina appointed her brother Basiliscus and his nephew Armatus as consuls. Zeno withdrew to Chalcedon and thence to Isauria on 9 Jan. 475 (John of Antioch fr. 210), and returned to Cpl. in Aug. 476. *CP* was perhaps misled by the incorrect date in Malalas, where the notice is prefaced, 'After 2 years and 10 months of his reign...' The revolt was inspired by the ambitions of Leo's widow Verina and by general dislike of Zeno as an Isaurian: see Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 389-91, Stein, *BE* i. 363-4.

Basiliscus: *PLRE* ii. 212-14, s.v. Basiliscus 2. Theophanes 121. 1-3 records his proclamation (in the Campus) and imperial appointments; his son Marcus was proclaimed Caesar. For the inclusion of Basiliscus' regnal years with Zeno's, cf. *Mal.* 380. 19-20, Theoph. 120. 8-9.

him to the fort of Limnae in Cappadocia. And they were thrown into one tower of the fort and the door was walled up, and the tower and fort itself were guarded by soldiers and a great multitude of Isaurians. And the same Basiliscus and his wife and their children surrendered their souls by starving, and were entombed there in the same tower of Limnae.³⁰⁴

479 Indiction 2, year 5, the 3rd and sole consulship of Zeno Augustus.

Olympiad 315

480 Indiction 3, year 6, sole consulship of Basilius.

481 Indiction 4, year 7, sole consulship of Placita.³⁰⁵

482 Indiction 5, year 8, consulship of Trocondes and Severianus.

483 Indiction 6, year 9, sole consulship of Faustus.³⁰⁶

Olympiad 316

484 Indiction 7, year 10, consulship of Theodoric and Venantius.

In this year the emperor Zeno made Caesar the son of Armatus the *magister militum praesentalis*, whose name was Basiliscus, and [p.603] sat with him to watch the games; and the emperor

304 478 Return of Zeno: cf. *Mal.* 378. 17-380. 17 for the same account, with some minor additions including the correct date (indiction 14: AD 476), and Zeno's reliance on the support of the Green faction. Theophanes (121. 3-122. 30, 124. 10-125. 2) supplies details about the reign and fate of Basiliscus (emperor 475-6): he had made himself unpopular by giving strong support to the Monophysites and downgrading the importance of the patriarch of Cpl. He also antagonized Illus, an Isaurian commander and supporter of his coup who had been sent to oppose Zeno in the east; Verina, who had hoped to place her lover Patricius on the throne, was also disenchanted, while Armatus (*PLRE* ii. 148-9) may have been concerned at the publicity given to his affair with Basiliscus' wife Zenonis. Zeno exploited the Hippodrome (where a flag was used to signify the holding of games) to demonstrate the strength, vocal and numerical, of his support: the popular chanting would easily have been heard by Basiliscus in St. Sophia. See Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 391-3; also Croke, 'Basiliscus'.

Pylae: on the Gulf of Nicomedia just east of Yalova, it served as the major port linking Cpl. with the main eastern highways. Limnae: situated to the east of Sasina, near modern Gölcük (30 miles south of Nevşehir); Theophanes 124. 32 calls the place Cucusus.

305 481 Consul: Placidus.

306 483 Faustus: sole consul, proclaimed in the west; no consul was proclaimed in the east (though Marc. Com. also records Faustus). In 483-90 and 493-4 *CP* (often Marc. Com. as well) records western consuls who were not widely recognized in the east: see Bagnall, *Consuls ss.aa.* for details.

and the Caesar saw the charioteers. But the emperor Zeno reckoned that Armatus the *magister militum praesentalis*, the father of the Caesar, had perjured himself since he had sworn to Basiliscus by the Holy Baptism that he would not betray him: 'He was won over by me and betrayed him, and Basiliscus died. How will he preserve loyalty to my sovereignty? For shortly, if his son the Caesar grows to manhood, assuredly he will do me wrong too. I did not do him wrong, but I at once caused him to remain as *magister militum* and made his son Caesar.' And the emperor Zeno ordered that Armatus the former consul and *magister militum* be slain as a perjurer. And the same Armatus was slain on the Kochlias of the Palace, at the Decimum, when he was going up to the Hippodrome to watch the games. And after Armatus had been murdered, he then also appointed his son Basiliscus the Caesar, who was still a boy, as bishop of Cyzicus, the metropolis of Hellespont, because he had worn the imperial purple as Caesar, and he confiscated all the property of the same Armatus.³⁰⁷

In these times the people of the Samaritan race in Palestine seized a pretext and rebelled, and crowned a Samaritan brigand chief named Justasas. And he came to Caesarea and watched chariot races and murdered many people [p.604] while he was ruler in Palestine. And the same Justasas also burnt the church of St. Probus, in the time of Timothy bishop of Caesarea. And immediately Asclepiades, the *dux* of Palestine and dignitary of Caesarea, came with his forces to hunt the brigand, together with the *Arcadianae*; and he set out against the same Justasas and engaged with him, and the same Justasas was beheaded and his head was sent along with his diadem to the emperor Zeno. The

³⁰⁷ 484 Death of Armatus: cf. *Mal.* 381. 14-382. 9 (undated) for the same story; Malalas says Zeno and Basiliscus 'honoured the charioteers', but lacks the references to the Kochlias and the confiscation of Armatus' property. For the phrase *ἐν τῷ τόπῳ*, 'at once' (p. 603. 9), cf. *ἐν τῷ τόπῳ*, *Mal.* 382. 4; an alternative translation would be 'to remain in his position as...' (cf. *CP* p. 621.1 for *τόπος* in this sense). Theophanes (125. 2-13) in a shorter version records that Basiliscus, saved by his relative the empress Ariadne, was first appointed a reader (*ἀναγνώστης*); cf. Candidus (in Photius, *Bibliotheca* cod. 79, p. 469 Blockley), who specifies that he was reader at Blachernae.

Date: Armatus was not allowed to survive for long after Zeno's restoration in 476; *CP* has again been misled by the undated Malalas notice, whereas Theophanes plausibly places Armatus' death in the same *annus mundi* as Zeno's return. The date of Basiliscus' appointment to the see of Cyzicus is unknown. In general for events and chronology, see Croke, 'Basiliscus'.

Kochlias and Decimum: cf. n. 159. The location is identical with the false story told of Gratian's death *s.a.* 380, 'at the door of the Decimum'.

emperor Zeno immediately made their synagogue, which was in the place called Gargarides, into a great house of prayer for Our Lady the Mother of God and ever-Virgin Mary, and he also restored the church of St. Procopius; he issued an edict that a Samaritan should not hold an administrative post, and he confiscated the property of their wealthy men. And there was fear and peace.³⁰⁸

485 Indiction 8, year 11, sole consulship of Symmachus.

In this year a certain Roman woman of senatorial rank, Juvenalia by name, came to Theodoric, who had become *rex* at Rome, telling him, 'For three years I have been in litigation with the patrician Formus: discharge me.' And after bringing the advocates of both parties, he said to them, 'Unless you give them a decision in the course of tomorrow and the following day and release them, [p.605] I will behead you.' And after sitting through the two days, they declared their opinion on the law, gave them a decision and released them. And Juvenalia lit candles and came to him giving thanks because their case had been discharged. And the same *rex* was angry with the advocates, and he brought them and said to them, 'Why did you not do in three years what you have done in two days, and released them?'. And he beheaded the two advocates from both the parties, and there was fear. And he issued an edict about every law. And he departed from Rome and lived in Ravenna, a city by the sea, until his death. And after his death Atallarich,

308 Samaritan revolt: cf. *Mal.* 382. 10-383. 4 (undated), who records more accurately that Justas murdered Christians in Caesarea while Porphyrius was governor of Palestina Prima, that the Samaritans burnt the church of St. Procopius, and that the *dux* Asclepiades was helped by a dignitary of Caesarea called Reges the brigand-catcher. However, the version in *CP* clearly derived from Malalas, so that no reliance can be placed on its date of 484; Asclepiades and Timothy cannot be dated independently. For discussion, see Avi-Yonah, *Jews* 241-3; Montgomery, *Samaritans* 109-13; Rabello, *Samaritani* 148-50, 375-9 (accepting *CP*'s date, and unnecessarily suggesting that the chroniclers' account has been contaminated by the events of 529).

Arcadianae: a body of troops first recruited under Arcadius, possibly identical with the *Arcadiaci*, a detachment with special garrison duties at Cpl. (Bury, *IA* 61).

Gargarides (Gargazi in *Mal.*): i. e. Mount Garizim, the Samaritans' holy sanctuary; Justinian surrounded the church with a new outer wall after damage in a further Samaritan uprising (*Proc. Bld.* v. 7. 8-17).

Anti-Samaritan legislation: Samaritans (and Jews) had been debarred from public service by Theodosius II in 438 (*Theod. Novel* iii. 2); Zeno was probably now repeating or extending this law; no Samaritan legislation of Zeno is cited in *Cod. Iust.* i. 5, but Procopius (*Bld.* v. 7. 7) mentions punishment by Zeno; cf. Jones, *LRE* 948 with n. 26.

who was from his family, became *rex* at Rome. He was Arian in creed, that is Exokionite.³⁰⁹

486 Indiction 9, year 12, consulship of Longinus and Decius.

487 Indiction 10, year 13, sole consulship of Boethius.

In this year from divine wrath, an earthquake, Constantinople suffered its second affliction in the month Gorpiaeus, September 26th, over a short distance as far as the Taurus.³¹⁰

Olympiad 317

488 Indiction 11, year 14, consulship of Dynamius and Siphidius.

489 [p.606] Indiction 12, year 15, consulship of Eusebius and Probinus.

490 Indiction 13, year 16, the 2nd consulship of Longinus and the 2nd of Faustus.³¹¹

He says that Zeno the emperor asked Maurianus, the most wise *comes*, who had in fact prophesied many things to him (for the same Maurianus knew certain mysteries), who would be emperor after Zeno himself; and he learnt from him that a certain

³⁰⁹ 485 Theodoric and Juvenalia: cf. *Mal.* 384. 5-385. 2 for the same account, except that Juvenalia had been litigating for 30 years; John of Nikiu 88. 52-5 has 3 years. Theodoric the Ostrogoth set out for Italy in 488, arriving in August 489, defeated Odoacer in northern Italy in 489 and 490, and then blockaded him in Ravenna until 493, see *PLRE* ii. 1077-84, s.v. Theodericus 7 (esp. 1081ff); Bury, *HLRE* [2] i. 422-8. If there is any truth in this story, it should be located at Ravenna, the centre of western imperial and Ostrogothic administration, not at Rome which Theodoric rarely visited. Theodoric reigned at Ravenna until 526, being succeeded by his grandson Athalaric (526-34), *PLRE* ii. 175-6.

Date: unknown, but hardly earlier than 493; Malalas does not date the incident, but places it after a notice of Theodoric's march to Italy and defeat of Odoacer.

Exokionite: see n. 157.

There are similar stories about the severity of royal justice (Valentinian I) in *CP s.a.* 369.

³¹⁰ 487 Earthquake: cf. *Mal.* 385. 3-5 (undated), adding (5-8) that Nicomedia and Helenopolis in Bithynia also suffered. The 'first affliction' was probably the earthquake of 447 (*CP ss.aa.* 447, 450). This notice is likely to be misplaced and should be identified with the earthquake of 478 (Theoph. 125. 29-126. 5; Stein, *BE* ii. 787 for the date), when there was extensive damage; cf. Marc. Com. *s.a.* 480, *Anec.* Cramer 112. 11-18, GC 1 (Appendix 2 below).

The Taurus: i.e. Forum Tauri, a synonym for the Forum of Theodosius, on which see n. 173. The name, current by the mid-5th c., probably refers to a distinguished citizen, rather than a statue of a bull (*taurus*), Janin, *Const.* 64.

³¹¹ 490 *cos. post.*: Faustus, a western aristocrat (*PLRE* ii. 454-6, s.v. Faustus 9), confused by *CP* with the consul of 483 (*ibid.* 451-2, s.v. Faustus 4).

former silentiary would inherit his rule and his wife. And when he heard this the emperor Zeno detained the patrician Pelagius, the former silentiary who had completed his term and reached the rank of patrician, a wise man. And he confiscated his property and ordered that he be put under guard. The excubitors who were guarding him throttled him with a noose at the command of the emperor Zeno. And when the praetorian prefect Arcadius heard, he castigated the emperor Zeno on account of the patrician Pelagius, because he had been murdered. And it came to the ears of Zeno the emperor, and he ordered that Arcadius himself be slain as he entered the Palace. But the praetorian prefect Arcadius learnt of this, and that he had been summoned by the emperor; when he was passing by the Great Church he made out that he wished to pray; and dismounting from his carriage, he entered the Great Church of Constantinople, remained inside there, and was delivered from a harsh death.³¹²

491 [p.607] Indiction 14, year 17, sole consulship of Olybrius.

Olympiad 318³¹³

In this year in the month Xanthicus, which is also April, Zeno was attacked by dysentery and died, aged 65 years and nine days.³¹⁴

Anastasius Dicorus, who was from the province of New Epirus, a former silentiary, became 45th Roman emperor in the consulship of Olybrius, son of Areobindus; he was crowned in the month Xanthicus, which is also April, on the 5th day of Holy Week in indiction 14, in year 537 reckoning in accordance with Antioch the Great. And he married the lady Ariadne who had

³¹² Maurianus: cf. *Mal.* 390. 4-391. 1 for the same story, adding that Pelagius' body was thrown into the sea, and Arcadius' property was confiscated while he took sanctuary, but lacking the introductory 'he says'; also *Theoph.* 134. 25-135. 6. Maurianus is otherwise unknown, *PLRE* ii. 737, s.v. Maurianus 2.

Pelagius: *PLRE* ii. 857-8, s.v. Pelagius 2; Arcadius: praetorian prefect in 490 (*ibid.* 131, s.v. Arcadius 5).

Silentiary: senior officials within the Palace with formal duties as ushers, see Jones, *LRE* 571-2. Excubitors, see n. 289.

³¹³ 491 Olympiad: this normally precedes the year heading, but is misplaced in the Vatican MS.

³¹⁴ Death of Zeno: cf. *Mal.* 391. 1-4, giving Zeno's age as 60 years and 9 months, and adding the exact date of 9 Xanthicus (April), and the Antiochene year 539.

been wife of Zeno the previous emperor. The same Anastasius was emperor for 27 years.³¹⁵ In total, 6,027.

492 Indiction 15, year 1, consulship of Anastasius Augustus and Rufus.

493 Indiction 1, year 2, the 2nd consulship of Eusebius and that of Albinus.

494 Indiction 2, year 3, consulship of Asterius and Praesidius.

495 Indiction 3, year 4, sole consulship of Viator.

Olympiad 319

496 Indiction 4, year 5, sole consulship of Paul.

497 Indiction 5, year 6, the 2nd and sole consulship of Anastasius Augustus.

498 Indiction 6, year 7, consulship of John Scythopolites and Paulinus.

[p.608] In the time of these consuls, while chariot races were being held, those of the faction of the Greens appealed to the emperor Anastasius that certain men be released who had been detained by the city prefect for stone-throwing. And the same Anastasius refused the appeal from the people, but in anger he ordered an armed force to go out against them, and there ensued a great riot, and the people came down against the excubitors. And they came to the imperial box and hurled stones against the emperor Anastasius, and among them a certain Moor hurled one right at the emperor Anastasius. And the emperor avoided the stone, since he would have been murdered. And the excubitors, when they saw the audacity of the same Moor, rushed upon him and cut him limb from limb, and so he surrendered his soul. But the people, being confined, threw fire into the Chalce, as it is called, of the Hippodrome; and the whole enclosure was burnt as far as the imperial box. And the public portico as far as the Hexaippion and as far as the Forum of Constantine was completely burnt and collapsed, and breaches appeared everywhere; and after many people had been detained and

315 Accession of Anastasius: cf. *Mal.* 392. 1-7, lacking the Antiochene date (in fact 539), but adding (7-10) a physical description; *Theoph.* 136. 20-2. Anastasius came from Epidamnus (Dyrrachium) in Epirus; for his early career, see *PLRE* ii. 78-9, s.v. Anastasius 4. His nickname Dicorus was derived from the fact that his eyes were two different colours (one blue-grey, the other black). The accession ceremony is described at length by *Const. Porph. de caer.* i. 92. Olybrius (the younger): cf. n. 283.

punished, quiet followed, when Plato was promoted city prefect.³¹⁶

He says that the emperor Anastasius, after his success in the Persian war, fortified Doras, a place [p.609] in Mesopotamia which is very large and strong, situated between the Roman and Persian boundaries. And he made in it 2 public baths and churches and porticoes and granaries for storage of grain and cisterns for water. The same place is said to have been called Doras for this reason by Alexander of Macedon, because the

³¹⁶ 498 Faction riot: cf. *Mal.* 394. 11-395. 5 (undated) for the same story, adding that Plato was patron of the Greens; also *Const. Porph. Exc. de insid.* *Mal.* fr. 38.

Excubitors: cf. n. 289; their prominent role here reflects their importance within the imperial guard at this time.

Date: a problem, since this, like the following notice on Dara, may have been displaced. The sequence of Malalas fragments in the *Constantinian Excerpta* suggests a date between 497 and 501: fr. 37 deals with the Isaurian war of 492-7, and fr. 39 with the riot at the Brytae festival in 501. Cpl. suffered serious rioting at this time, much of it centred in the theatre, perhaps because the Hippodrome had been seriously damaged (either in this riot or in that of 491 which destroyed much the same area: John of Antioch fr. 214b); see further Cameron, *Factions* 226-7. However, the juxtaposition of this notice with that of Dara, which is misplaced from 507, might indicate that this riot also belongs in 507, when Marc. Com. records a Hippodrome riot quelled by armed force: see *PLRE* ii. 891-2, s.v. Plato 3. Plato was still (or again) city prefect in 512 at the time of religious rioting (cf. *CP s.a.* 517), and Victor Tonnensis (*CM* ii. 195), in a composite notice about Plato, places in 513 the destruction associated with the riot recorded here.

Chalce of the Hippodrome: to be distinguished from the bronze entrance gate (Chalce) to the imperial Palace, it should probably be located at the north end of the Hippodrome. The only other reference (*CP s.a.* 610, p. 701. 3), does not help to identify or locate it precisely. It may have been a bronze gate (see Mango, *Brazen House* 27-9), or possibly (on the analogy of the imperial Chalce) a term applied to a more substantial bronze-roofed structure, perhaps that which housed the *carceres* or entry gates for chariots. From the Chalce the fire spread south along the Hippodrome as far as the imperial box (on the east side, towards the curved end), and north into the public porticoes flanking the Mese, as far west as the Forum of Constantine.

Hexaippion: otherwise unattested. Janin (*Const.* 356) suggested a location near the Constantinian Forum, but the phraseology in *CP* perhaps indicates that it marked the eastern limit of this destruction. Etymology suggests that the Hexaippion was the site of a six-horse monument, which might appropriately be located near the northern end of the Hippodrome. The patriographers record at least one multi-horse statue group in this area: *Parast.* 84, four horses above the Hippodrome, perhaps identical with *Parast.* 38, four-horse chariot at the Milion; *Patr.* ii. 35, two horses at the Diippion (perhaps a false etymology, see Mango, 'Diippion' 153-4).

same Alexander struck Darius the Persian king there with a spear, and hence indeed it keeps the name until now.³¹⁷

499 Indiction 7, year 8, sole consulship of John Kurtos.³¹⁸

Olympiad 320

500 Indiction 8, year 9, consulship of Patricius and Hypatius.

501 Indiction 9, year 10, consulship of Pompeius and Avienus.

502 Indiction 10, year 11, consulship of Probus and the 2nd of Avienus.³¹⁹

503 Indiction 11, year 12, consulship of Dexicrates and Volusianus.

Olympiad 321

504 Indiction 12, year 13, sole consulship of Cethegus.

505 Indiction 13, year 14, consulship of Sabinianus and Theodorus.

506 Indiction 14, year 15, consulship of Areobindus and Messala.

507 Indiction 15, year 16, the 3rd consulship of Anastasius Augustus and that of Venantius.

.³²⁰

317 Dara: cf. *Mal.* 399. 13-20 (undated, and without the introductory 'he says'); *Theoph.* 150. 24-9. In Malalas the origin of Dara's name is simply explained as the place where Alexander captured an unnamed Persian king; in CP the obvious derivation from the name of the king, Darius, is not made explicit, and an alternative link with the 'spear' (*δῆρυ*) is suggested. Malalas adds that the place is 'now' called Anastasiopolis. On Anastasius' Persian war, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 10-15.

For construction (first phase 505-7) and physical remains, see J. Crow, 'Dara, a Late Roman Fortress in Mesopotamia', *Yayla* iv, 1981, 12-20, L. M. Whitby, 'Procopius' Description of Dara (*Buildings* II. 1-3)', in P. Freeman and D. Kennedy (edd.), *The Defence of the Roman and Byzantine East* (BAR Int. Series 297, 1986) 737-83.

318 Consul: John Gibbus (*κῆρυς*), the Hunchback.

319 502 *cos. post.*: Rufus Avienus (*PLRE* ii. 192-3, s.v. Avienus 2), confused by CP with the consul of 501, Flavius Avienus (*PLRE* ii. 193, s.v. Avienus 3).

320 507 Lacuna: after 507, 10 consular years have been omitted. Ericsson ('Date' 18) suggested that an orthodox copyist removed this section because Anastasius now revealed his Monophysite sympathies, but this is unlikely: the text restarts *before* the accession of the orthodox Justin I (not *with* the accession, as stated by Ericsson), an orthodox reader might have approved of the popular rioting caused by Anastasius' religious innovations in 512 (most of which is lost in the lacuna), and Anastasius, in spite of his Monophysite leanings, was regarded as a good emperor by an orthodox church historian like Evagrius (e.g. iii. 30). After the

[p.610] [517...After going to the property] of the *nobilissima* patrician Juliana, they chanted concerning her husband, 'Areobindus as emperor for Romania.' And Areobindus fled to the far side. And finally the emperor Anastasius went up to the imperial box in the Hippodrome without a diadem. And when they learnt this the entire people went up to the Hippodrome, and by means of an address he controlled the populace of the city.³²¹

In this year was built the Long Wall which is called Anastasian.³²²

518 Indiction 1, year 17, sole consulship of Magnus.³²³

In the time of this consul the emperor Anastasius saw in a vision that there was before him a man, full-grown and of graceful stature, who was carrying an inscribed volume; after turning over five leaves of the volume and reading out the name of the emperor, he said to him, 'Behold, on account of your greed, I erase 14.' And with his finger he erased them. And the same emperor Anastasius awoke in agitation, summoned *Amantis* the *cubicularius* and *praepositus* and told him the

lacuna the text restarts in mid-sentence, which suggests that, as with the lacuna in AD 530-1, a page (or more) had been damaged in, or lost from, the archetype, although here the scribe of the Vatican MS failed to observe the lacuna (the first word of the Greek, 'Juliana', is written with an elaborate capital, as if for the opening of a new notice).

³²¹ Rioting in Cpl.: the correct date is 512, cf. Marc. Com.; *Malalas* 406. 22-408. 11 (undated) has the fullest account of this riot, and his placing of this notice immediately before the story of Anastasius' deathbed vision in 518 has determined the incorrect location of the story in *CP* s.a. 517. We have supplemented *CP*'s text, which resumes in mid-sentence, from *Malalas*. The disturbance was caused by Timothy, the Monophysite patriarch of Cpl., who attempted with support from Anastasius and several secular officials to insert a Monophysite formula, 'who was crucified for us', into the Trisagion chant: *Frend, Monophysite* 220.

Anicia Juliana: daughter of Placidia and Olybrius, grand-daughter of Valentinian III, she was known to be a defender of Chalcedonian doctrine (*Theoph.* 157. 34-158. 4); her imperial connections and religious stance led to the unsuccessful approach to her husband Areobindus (cf. n. 283).

³²² Long Walls: these extended from Selymbria on the Sea of Marmara, 40 miles west of Cpl., to the Black Sea. The verb used by *CP* for the 'building' of the walls (*κτίω*) is applicable both to a new construction and to a restoration: Croke, 'Long Wall', argues for the former, Whitby, 'Long Walls', for the latter.

³²³ 518 Date: Indiction 11, year 27. The change to the incorrect indiction and regnal years must have been made by a medieval scribe *after* the 10-year gap had appeared in the MS tradition. The scribe of the Paris apograph noted the intervening 10 indictions, and a reference to indiction 11 is preserved in the notice of Justin I's accession below.

purport of the vision. This Amantis said to him, 'May you live for ever, emperor; for I too saw a spectacle [p.611] this night: as I stood before your Might, a great pig came behind me, seized in its mouth the corner of my cloak, shook it, and brought me down to the ground, and destroyed me by devouring and trampling me.' And the emperor summoned Proclus the Asian, the philosopher and interpreter of dreams, and related to him the vision, and likewise too Amantius. And he made clear to them their purport, that after a short time they would reach their end. And after a short time the emperor Anastasius fell sick and lay in bed; and there was lightning and great thunder, and in great consternation he gave up the spirit, aged 90 years and five months.³²⁴

After the reign of Anastasius Dicorus, the most sacred Justin the Bendarite and Thracian became emperor in the consulship of Magnus, in the month Panemus, which is also July, on the 9th, indiction 11, in year 566 reckoning in accordance with the Antiochenes of Syria. The army of the excubitors who guard the Palace, together with the people, crowned him and made him emperor; for he was *comes excubitorum*. And he was emperor for 9 years.³²⁵ In total, 6,036.

519 Indiction 2, year 18, consulship of Justin Augustus and Euthericus.³²⁶

This Justin, as soon as he became emperor, put to death Amantius his *praepositus*, and Andrew the *cubicularius*, the

³²⁴ Anastasius' vision: cf. *Mal.* 408. 12-409. 10, 409. 17-20; also *Theoph.* 163. 31-164. 8. The significance of the 5 turned pages and the 14 [years] erased is unclear. For other imperial visions before death, cf. *CP* pp. 550. 20-551. 3 (Julian), *Theophylact* i. 2. 1-2 (Tiberius).

Amantius: *PLRE* ii. 67-8, s.v. Amantius 4, and below s.a. 519 for his fate. *Cubicularius* and *praepositus*: cf. nn. 126, 145.

Proclus 'the Asian philosopher': otherwise unknown, *PLRE* ii. 919, s.v. Proclus 9; he should perhaps be identified with the Athenian philosopher Proclus who helped Anastasius defeat Vitalian in 515 (*ibid.*, s.v. Proclus 8), although the latter is said to have died immediately afterwards (*Mal.* 406. 4).

³²⁵ Accession of Justin I: cf. *Mal.* 410. 1-6, adding (6-8) a physical description. Justin was born in Bederiana in Dacia Mediterranea; for his early career, see *PLRE* ii. 648-51, s.v. Iustinus 4 (accepting 10 July as his date of accession).

Comes excubitorum: commander of the key element of the palace guard (cf. n. 289); Justin's use of the post to secure for himself the throne demonstrated its importance, and for the next century the *comes* was one of the most prominent imperial officers, often a relative of the emperor. See Jones, *LRE* 658; Haldon, *Praetorians* 136 with n. 226 at 408-9; Mary Whitby, 'Ceremony' 484-5.

³²⁶ 519 Date: indiction 12, year 1 (of Justin I); as in 518 it appears that a scribe has incorrectly altered indiction and regnal years (both correct from 520).

Lausiacus, [p.612] and Theocritus comes, the *domesticus* of the said Amantius, whom the same Amantius wished to make emperor: he had given money to the same Justin to distribute so that Theocritus might become emperor, and he distributed it. But the army and people, after taking it, did not choose to make Theocritus emperor, but they made the same Justin emperor. And so after he became emperor, he slaughtered them as usurpers who had wished to plot against his rule. And they were slain inside the Palace.³²⁷

The same emperor recalled the patrician Apion and Diogenianus, a former *magister militum*, and Philoxenus, himself too a former *magister militum*, who had been sent into exile by the previous emperor. And he made Apion praetorian prefect, and Diogenianus former *magister militum per Orientem*, and after a time he made Philoxenus consul.³²⁸

In the same year there rose on the far side in the east a fear-

³²⁷ Death of Amantius: cf. *Mal.* 410. 8-411. 5, commenting that Justin was made emperor through the will of God. The Malalas notice continues without intermission from Justin's accession, but *CP* has deliberately divided it, so as to place the execution of Amantius under Justin's 1st year rather than Anastasius' 27th (in *CP*'s original dating); cf. *CP ss.aa.* 328/30 and 420/1 for analogous division of a Malalas story.

Justin's proclamation was preceded by a competition in the Hippodrome which is described at *Const. Porph. de caer.* i. 93; see also Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 16-18. As comes excubitorum and an illiterate peasant, Justin was powerful yet apparently incapable of personal advancement, so that the eunuch Amantius decided to use him in an attempt to control the succession struggle at Anastasius' death, and have his own *domesticus* Theocritus proclaimed (*PLRE* ii. 1065). Amantius was alleged to have continued these attempts even after Justin's proclamation, and was executed along with his fellow conspirators within 10 days (*Proc. SH* 6. 26; *Zach.* viii. 1; *Marc. Com. sa.* 519; *Theoph.* 165. 24-166. 2; *Evag.* iv. 2). Amantius and Andrew (*PLRE* ii. 88, s.v. Andreas 10) were both Monophysites, and their opposition to Justin was partly religious.

Lausiacus: the appellation is unexplained, but may indicate that Andrew was involved in the administration of the palace of Lausus (on the south side of the Mese near the Hippodrome) which was an imperial property. A building within the Great Palace known as the Lausiakos is attributed (*Patria* iii. 130, p. 257) to Justinian II (AD 685-95, 705-11).

³²⁸ Recall of exiles: cf. *Mal.* 411. 6-10; also *Theoph.* 166. 2-5. *CP* has probably confused some of the titles: Malalas describes Diogenianus and Philoxenus as senators, not former generals, and says that Justin made Diogenianus *magister militum per Orientem*. Apion had been exiled in 510 and made a priest at Nicaea (*PLRE* ii. 111-12, s.v. Apion 2); the date and occasion of the other exiles are unknown (*PLRE* ii. 362, s.v. Diogenianus 4; 879-80, s.v. Philoxenus 8, in the latter case accepting *CP*'s evidence for a position as *magister militum* before 518). Philoxenus was consul in 525.

some star, called a comet, which had a beam issuing downwards; some called it bearded; and men were afraid.³²⁹

Olympiad 325

520 Indiction 13, year 2, consulship of Vitalianus and Rusticius.

521 [p.613] Indiction 14, year 3, consulship of Justinian and Valerius.

522 Indiction 15, year 4, consulship of Symmachus and Boethius.

At this time Tzath, the son of Zamnaxes the Laz king, as soon as his father Zamnaxes died, immediately came up to Constantinople to the most sacred emperor Justin. And after handing himself over, he entreated that he might be proclaimed Laz king by the Roman emperor and become a Christian, and not be appointed by the Persian king in accordance with custom, and be compelled, because he was his subject and appointed king by him, to perform sacrifices and all the Persian rituals. Koades was at this time Persian king, and it was the rule among the Persians that when a Laz king died, another king who, however, belonged to the race of the Laz, be crowned for them by the Persian king of the time. And Tzath was received by the same emperor Justin and baptized; and he became a Christian and married a Roman wife, Valeriana, grand-daughter of Oninus the patrician and former *curopalatus*. He took her and led her away to his own country, when he had been appointed and crowned Laz king by the same emperor Justin: he wore a Roman crown and a white mantle of pure silk, which had instead of [p.614] purple a royal gold stripe, in the centre of which was a small true purple medallion of the imperial image of Justin; he also wore a white tunic with a purple border, itself also having royal golden embroidery and likewise bearing the image of the same emperor Justin. For his boots were those of his country, red with pearls, in the Persian manner; and his belt too was likewise adorned with pearls. And he received from the emperor Justin many gifts both himself and his wife Valeriana, because she had once for all been compelled or rather won over to be his wife in foreign realms.

And when Koades the Persian king learnt this, he declared to

³²⁹ Comet: cf. *Mal.* 411. 11-13; *Theoph.* 166. 6-8; perhaps identical with the comet seen universally at the start of Justin I's reign, according to Syriac chroniclers (e.g. Michael the Syrian ix. 12, vol. ii. 170).

the emperor Justin by means of an ambassador the following words, 'While friendship and peace between us is being discussed and established, you commit hostile acts. For behold, you yourself have appointed the Laz king who is subject to me and is not under the Roman administration, but is under the disposition of the Persians from eternity.' And to this the same emperor Justin made the following declaration to him in return, 'We neither appointed nor won over any of those subject to your sovereignty, but a certain man named Tzath came to our realms and begged, falling down before us, to be delivered from an abominable pagan doctrine and from unholy sacrifices and from the deceit of unjust spirits, and to become a Christian, being deemed worthy of the power of the eternal and heavenly God and creator of all things; and it was not possible to impede one who wished [p.615] to come to the better and recognize a true God. And so when he had become a Christian and been deemed worthy of the heavenly mysteries, we dismissed him to his own country.' And thereafter enmity arose between Romans and Persians.³³⁰

And at the same time Koades king of the Persians won over a *rex* of the Huns named Zilgbis. When the same emperor Justin heard about this, he was extremely aggrieved, since he had himself recently won him over to aid the Romans and sent him many gifts and received from him a compact on oath. But the same Hun, having been won over by the Persians, went off to Koades the Persian king in opposition to the Romans with 20,000 men, intending to make war on the Romans. And the most sacred Justin declared to Koades the Persian king by means of an

³³⁰ 522 Conversion of Laz: cf. *Mal.* 412. 15-414. 16 for the same account with minor divergences (recording the sequence up to Justin's reception of Tzath in a different order); also *Theoph.* 168. 14-169. 12. 'With a purple border' is Lampe's suggestion (*Lexicon* s.v.) for an otherwise unknown term (*παπαγαδδν*), but it may simply denote a particular type of tunic (Du Cange, *Glossarium* s.v.).

Malalas (415. 22) indirectly synchronizes the conversion of Lazica with the death in 521 of Paul (patriarch of Antioch), which is probably correct. At this time Roman-Persian relations were governed by the 7-year truce agreed in 505 (even though it had not been renewed on its expiry in 512). The story well illustrates the importance of religion and ceremonial in Roman diplomacy. For Roman relations with Lazica and other sub-Caucasian principalities, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 79-80, Stein, *BE* ii. 267-71, Whitby, *Maurice* 216-18. Cf. *Mal.* 427. 17-428. 4, 431. 16-21 for similar religious diplomacy early in Justinian's reign.

Tzath: *PLRE* ii. 1207, s.v. Ztathius. Oninus: otherwise unknown; Malalas and Theophanes record his name as Nomus, see *PLRE* ii. 787, s.v. Nomus 3.

Curopalatus: a Palace official with ceremonial duties, see Jones, *LRE* 372-3, Mary Whitby, 'Ceremony' 469-76.

ambassador, along with a friendly dispatch as if he had written for some other reason, the treachery and perjury of the same Zilgbis *rex* of the Huns, and that he had obtained money from the Romans against the Persians, intending to betray them and to ally with the Roman side at the time of the engagement; he added, 'As brothers we ought to speak in friendship and not be mocked by these dogs.' And when Koades the Persian king discovered this, he questioned Zilgbis, saying to him, 'Did you take gifts from the Romans, having been won over to oppose the Persians?'. And Zilgbis said, 'Yes.' [p.616] And Koades was enraged and slaughtered him. And he killed many of his horde during the night, having sent a great throng against them, the Huns being ignorant that the throng had been sent against them by the king of the Persians, but, he says, it was as though men from another country had attacked the Huns and their *rex*. And the remainder of the Huns who were left fled. And thereafter Koades decided, he says, to speak about terms of peace or rather friendship, declaring it to Justin the Roman emperor by means of an ambassador, Broeus.³³¹

523 Indiction 1, year 5, sole consulship of Maximus.

Olympiad 326

524 Indiction 2, year 6, the 2nd consulship of Justinian and that of Opilio.³³²

525 Indiction 3, year 7, consulship of Philoxenus and Probus.

526 Indiction 4, year 8, sole consulship of Olybrius.

527 Indiction 5, year 9, sole consulship of Mavortius Romanus.

After the completion of the eighth year and 9 months and 5 days of the reign of the most sacred Justin, the most pious Justinian his kinsman, a man of exceptional magnanimity,

³³¹ Huns: cf. Mal. 414. 17-415. 21 for the same account, inserting 'he says' at different points (the ultimate source is unknown), and calling the Persian envoy Labroeus; also Theoph. 167. 4-20. Zilgbis: *PLRE* ii. 1203-4, s.v. Zilgibis.

For the tradition of diplomatic co-operation between Romans and Persians, particularly against the threat of northern tribes (though tension had begun to increase from the start of the 6th c.), see Whitby, *Maurice* 204-8; also Z. Rubin, 'The Mediterranean and the Dilemma of the Roman Empire in Late Antiquity', *Mediterranean Historical Review* i, 1986, 13-62 at 32-47. However, at the same time, the Huns north of the Caucasus were natural auxiliaries for both Romans and Persians in their conflicts in Iberia, Lazica, and Armenia: thus in 527 Justin unsuccessfully attempted to recruit Huns living near the Crimea to help the Laz and Iberians against the Persians (*Proc. Wars* i. 12. 8).

³³² 524 *cos. prior*: 2nd of Justin.

became joint emperor with him, and was proclaimed together with his wife Theodora, and crowned by the most sacred Justin his uncle, in the month Xanthicus, on first April according to the Romans, in indiction 5, in year 575 of Antioch in Syria, in the consulship of the aforementioned [p.617] Mavortius Romanus. This emperor Justinian created great composure in Constantinople and in every city, sending sacred rescripts that those causing riots or murders be punished, that no-one should throw stones or commit murders, but that men should watch the games in good order; and he produced much fear and peace in all the provinces.³³³

And in the meantime it happened that the emperor Justin fell sick from the ulcer which he had on his foot from the time when he was struck by an arrow in the battle, and he died in the month Lous, on first August according to the Romans, a Lord's Day, at the 3rd hour, in the present tax period 5. He died aged 77 years.³³⁴

And thereafter Justinian Augustus was sole-ruling Roman emperor for 38 years, 11 months. In total, 6,075.

The time of his reign is calculated from when he was proclaimed emperor, that is from the month Xanthicus, April 1st according to the Romans, indiction 5.³³⁵

³³³ 527 Coronation of Justinian: cf. *Mal.* 422. 9-19, lacking the precise dating formula, but recording Justinian's generosity to Antioch, and that trouble-makers were to be punished regardless of their factional loyalty; also Theoph. 170. 24-8 (garbled, mentioning Justin rather than Justinian), 173. 13-17.

Justin's reign had witnessed extensive factional disturbances in many cities, some of the responsibility for which rested with Justinian, who seems to have used the Blue faction as a group of aggressive supporters to consolidate his position as Justin's heir and undermine potential rivals (e.g. the various nephews of Anastasius): see Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 21-3. With ambition satisfied, Justinian now attempted to restore order.

Date: Marc. Com. supports CP's date of 1 April; Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 95 in a brief description of the ceremony gives 4 April, as does the Slavonic Malalas. In CP Justin's coronation is dated to 9 July 518, so that the precise regnal length is slightly wrong.

³³⁴ Death of Justin: cf. *Mal.* 424. 14-20; also Theoph. 173. 17-19. For the evidence on Justin's age (75 in *Mal.*, but 77 in Slavonic Malalas), see A. Vasiliev, *Justin the First* (Cambridge, Mass. 1950), 63 n. 43, 414 (without stating a preference). John of Nikiu 90. 47 refers to an old arrow wound in Justin's head which reopened; the occasion of the wound is unknown.

³³⁵ Regnal length: Malalas 425. 1-5 gives the correct figure, 38 years, 7 months, 13 days, adding a physical description (5-9).

Olympiad 327

528 Indiction 6, year 1, the 3rd and sole consulship of Justinian Augustus.

The emperor Justinian in the first year of his reign in the month Audynaesus, on first January according to the Romans, in the sixth tax period, scattered and provided for all such a quantity of money as no other emperor did when consul.³³⁶

[p.618] In the same year the Persians made war on Tzath the Laz king, because he had united with the Romans. And thereafter the same Tzath sent and begged the emperor Justinian for Roman aid. And the emperor Justinian sent to him a multitude of soldiers and three *magistri militum*, Belisarius and Cerycus and Irenaeus the son of Pentadia. And they joined battle and many of the Roman army fell. And the emperor Justinian was angered against the *magistri militum* because from mutual jealousy they had betrayed each other and informed against each other to the emperor, and he replaced them. When the *magister militum* Peter, the former notary of the emperor, had gone out and removed them and taken the expeditionary force from them, together with the Laz he engaged the Persians and they cut down many Persians.³³⁷

³³⁶ **528** Justinian's consulship: his second, since Justin was consul in 524; cf. *Theoph.* 174. 16-18, *Mal.* 426. 21-2. Justinian's first consulship in 521 had also been renowned for its lavish celebrations (Marc. Com. s.a.).

³³⁷ Campaign in Lazica: cf. *Mal.* 427. 1-13, naming Gilderic as the first general, saying that many from both sides fell in the initial battle, and concluding with Peter's withdrawal of the army from Lazica rather than a successful battle; *Theoph.* 174. 19-26, naming Belisarius and concluding with battle. The Slavonic Malalas also has Belisarius.

According to Procopius, Kavadh had attempted to coerce the Iberian king Gurgenes back to his traditional allegiance to Persia and Zoroastrian customs, and Gurgenes had sought refuge in Lazica, which led to the outbreak of war in 527, shortly before Justin's death; Peter was sent to support the Iberians, but was recalled after failing to keep out the Persians; Belisarius and Sittas then made incursions into Armenia, which ended in defeat (*Wars* i. 12).

Irenaeus: *PLRE* ii. 625-6, s.v. Irenaeus 7. Peter: *ibid.* 870-1, s.v. Petrus 27; he was originally secretary to Justin (*Proc. Wars* ii. 15. 8), hence the title 'former notary'. For Cerycus and Belisarius, see *PLRE* iii (forthcoming).

For the course of the Persian war of 527-32, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 80-9, Stein, *BE* ii. 283-96. The two main Greek accounts, which cannot be entirely reconciled, are Procopius, *Wars* i. 12ff, and a series of notices in Malalas between pp. 427 and 478. In spite of the length of Malalas' account, this notice of the war's outbreak is the only information derived from it in *CP*, reflecting the author's lack of interest in details of fighting: he perhaps selected this notice about Tzath because he had already described his marriage (s.a. 522).

At this time the emperor Justinian restored the suburb that was formerly called Sycae, which is situated opposite Constantinople, both the theatre of this Sycae and the walls; and after granting it the rights of a city he renamed it Justinianopolis. And he also built the bridge by which people can make the journey from the opposite side to the all-blessed city.³³⁸

The same emperor also completed the public bath, the one in the quarter of Dagistheus, which Anastasius the emperor had formerly begun to build in Constantinople.³³⁹

[p.619] And the same emperor also made the central court of the Basilica of Illus a great cistern, wishing to send into it the water from the Hadrianic aqueduct. The same emperor restored this aqueduct, which had formerly been constructed by emperor Hadrian for the Byzantines to supply water before Byzantium had a water supply.³⁴⁰

529 Indiction 7, year 2, sole consulship of Decius.

In this year the Justinianic Codex was completed and it was ordered that it be authoritative from the 16th day before Kalends of April [17 March] of the current tax period 7.³⁴¹

³³⁸ Sycae: cf. *Mal.* 430. 18-19. The troops of Vitalian, in revolt against Anastasius, had occupied Sycae (the modern Galata) in 515, when some destruction might well have occurred. These Justinianic works are not mentioned in *Proc. Bld.*; the theatre, but not the walls, are included in the *Notitia* of c.425. In the Great Plague of 542 corpses were dumped in the towers (*Proc. Wars* ii. 23. 9).

Bridge: probably the bridge of St. Callinicus across the Barbysses stream at the head of the Golden Horn (mentioned *s.a.* 626, p. 720. 18): there is no other evidence for a late Roman bridge directly connecting Galata and the main city, while Theophanes Continuatus (p. 340. 14-15 Bonn) mentions Justinian's bridge across the Barbysses; cf. *Syn. Eccl. Const.* pp. 143. 13, 854. 18-19, and see Mango, 'Fourteenth Region' 2-5, Janin, *Const.* 240-3.

³³⁹ Baths: cf. *Mal.* 435. 18-20, *Theoph.* 176. 24-6; located near the Tetrastylon, see Mango, *Const.* 52, Janin, *Const.* 331-3. Dagistheus: *PLRE* ii. 341.

³⁴⁰ Water supply: cf. *Mal.* 435. 20-436. 2, *Theoph.* 176. 26-7.

Basilica cistern: the extant Yerebatan Seray, cf. *Proc. Bld.* i. 11. 12-15, and see Janin, *Const.* 208-9, Mango, *Brazen House* 48-51, and G. Downey, 'The Words *stoa* and *basilike* in Classical Literature', *AJA* xli, 1937, 194-211 at 204-5. The Basilica itself is said to have been rebuilt by Illus in 478 (*J. Ant. fr.* 211, cf. *PLRE* ii. 586-90, s.v. Illus 1).

Aqueduct of Hadrian: see Mango, *Const.* 20, identifying it with the partially extant 'aqueduct of Valens'; for the recurrent problem of Cpl.'s water supply, *ibid.* 40-2.

³⁴¹ 529 Codex: *Mal.* 437. 3-9 and *Theoph.* 177. 17-21 record a renewal of old laws followed by brief details of some of the laws; *Mal.* 448. 6-10 refers to a recodification of ancient laws in a single volume, but none of these passages is linguistically close to CP. Only CP gives a date, and this is one month early.

In this year in accordance with God's clemency there occurred the Great Death.³⁴²

530 Indiction 8, year 3, consulship of Lampadius and Orestes.

In this year, when the Samaritans revolted and created for themselves an emperor and Caesar, Irenaeus the son of Pentadia was sent as *magister militum* and put many to death. And certain of them in fear came to Christianity under compulsion, and were received and baptized, and up till the present day they waver between the two: under stringent officials they deceive by appearance and they falsely and wickedly manifest themselves as Christians, but under lax and greedy officials, they conduct themselves as Samaritans and haters of Christians and [p.620] as if ignorant of Christianity, persuading the officials by means of money to favour Samaritans.³⁴³

The revision of the codes of Gregorius, Hermogenes, and Theodosius had been ordered on 13 Feb. 528, to be carried through by a commission of officials and lawyers whose driving force was Tribonian; the new code, completed within 14 months, was promulgated on 7 April 529 by the constitution *summa rei publicae*, and came into force on 16 Kalends of May (i.e. 16 April). See further Stein, *BE* ii. 402-4; Jones, *LRE* 278-9; Honoré, *Tribonian* 212.

³⁴² Great Death: the reference is uncertain. One possibility is the Great Plague that reached Cpl. in 542, in which case the notice is seriously displaced. Malalas 482. 11 describes the Great Plague as 'the compassion of God', similar to CP's reference in this context to God's clemency. An alternative suggestion (less plausible) is that it refers to the loss of life in the earthquake that struck Antioch in 528, which is recorded by Malalas between the two notices concerning laws (442. 18-443. 7; cf. Theoph. 177. 22-178. 5, immediately following notice on law).

³⁴³ 530 Samaritans: the revolt of 529 is described at length by Mal. 445. 19-447. 21; also *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 44; briefly Theoph. 178. 22-7. But CP's account shows little similarity except in the mention of Irenaeus (cf. n. 337) putting many to death; the second sentence, with its reference to 'the present day' in the description of subsequent Samaritan conduct was perhaps composed by the author of CP, under the influence of trouble in Palestine in Heraclius' reign.

The Samaritans crowned the bandit chief Julian as their leader, and he put on chariot races in the hippodrome at Neapolis, a sign of imperial status, cf. Justasas s.a. 484. For details and analysis, see S. Winkler, 'Die Samariter in den Jahren 529/30', *Klio* xliii-xlv, 1965, 435-57; Montgomery, *Samaritans* 113-24. Rabello (*Samaritani* pt. ii) discusses the sources at length, with special reference to CP at pp. 435-7, but there is no evidence to support the suggestion that the second part of the notice in CP might have been derived from John of Ephesus.

Anti-Samaritan legislation and attempted conversions: cf. Proc. *Bld.* v. 7. 16 (optimistic view), *SH* 11. 24-30 (pessimistic), and see Jones, *LRE* 948-50; Avi-Yonah, *Jews* 241-3. Restrictions placed on the Samaritans in 527 (*Cod. Iust.* i. 5. 12) were renewed or extended in 529 and 531 (*Cod. Iust.* i. 5. 13, 17, 18, 21), but these were partially lifted in 551 at the request of Bishop Sergius of Caesarea who thought this would encourage better behaviour (*Novel* 129, CJ iii. 647-50); Justin II reimposed strict disabilities in 572 (*Novel* 144, CJ iii. 709-10).

Note A: Lacuna.

Following the Samaritan notice (fol. 241v), the Vatican MS has a lacuna of uncertain length, which is inaccurately described in the Bonn text (app. crit. p. 620. 2). The main text of *CP* restarts at the top of a page (fol. 243r) in mid-sentence with the words ‘at random’ (ὥς ἐτυχεν, p. 620. 14), and continues with a detailed account of the Nika Riot of Jan. 532. The scribe of the Vatican MS, noticing the deficiency in his exemplar, left blank the bottom of folio 241v and the two following pages (fols. 242r and 242v): presumably he hoped to find a better exemplar of the text or other relevant material to fill the space. However, all that he was able to include was a brief version of the *Akta dia Kalopodion* (‘Acclamations concerning Calopodius’) which he used to preface the narrative of the Nika Riot, by inserting it at the top of the second complete blank page (fol. 242v), leaving the lower half blank: Maas (‘Akklamationen’ 46) provides a diagram of the arrangement of fols. 242v–243r. The remaining blank areas in the MS were subsequently filled, perhaps in the 11th c. (a century after the transcription of the main text), with 14 notices of various natural disasters drawn from the Great Chronographer, and a list of the Muses. For annotated translation of the Great Chronographer extracts, see Appendix 2.

Note B: Nika Riot, sources.

In spite of the opening lacuna, the surviving narrative in *CP* is the fullest, and alone preserves details of the events of the middle days, but there are also important accounts in *Malalas* 473. 5–477. 3 (with *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46) and *Theophanes* 181. 24–186. 2. An extensive account, with greater emphasis on the imperial perspective, but less precise detail, survives in Procopius, *Wars* i. 24. Apart from topographical questions, the best overall study is still Bury, ‘Riot’, which analyses and correlates the different source traditions (chronicles, Procopius, and brief reports in other sources); see also Cameron, *Factions* 278–80.

The accounts in *Malalas*, supplemented by some details in *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46 (translated in Australian *Malalas*, pp. 281–2), *CP* and *Theophanes* reveal very considerable similarities; this indicates that much of the material was based on a common source, most probably the original complete text of *Malalas* (a contemporary, possibly eye-witness, narrative). However, because *Malalas* survives only in abbreviated form, doubts have been raised about the source of some material preserved only in *CP* and *Theophanes*, in particular the *Akta dia Kalopodion* (in both *CP* and *Theophanes*) and the details in *CP* about the middle days of the riot: Bury apparently attributed both to a different source (‘Riot’ 95 sec. 7, 98 sec. 8, 100; but at 115–16 he conceded that *CP* derived from *Malalas* information on events of Jan. 16–17); the Australian *Malalas* team (pp. 275ff) assign the *Akta* to a different source, the central narrative to *Malalas*.

The origin of the central narrative depends on how severely *Malalas* has been abridged in the course of its various redactions, first the 565 extended edition, finally the 11th-c. MS that preserves the abridged chronicle (earlier stages of compression might have occurred). *Malalas* 475. 10–11, ‘The throng was enraged and threw fire in other places and murdered people indiscriminately’, looks like a summary by an epitomator of the events of Thursday 15th/Friday 16th to

Saturday 17th, events which could be omitted to allow the shortened text to concentrate on the start and conclusion of the riot. In this case it would be reasonable to regard the additional material preserved in *CP* as representative of the original Malalas. For the *Akta*, see note C.

Note C: *Akta dia Kalopodion*

Sources: the origin of *CP*'s account, inserted in the MS lacuna by the first hand of the Vatican MS (10th c.), cannot be determined. Cameron argued (*Factions* 322-9, following Maas, 'Akklamationen' 29, 47) that *CP*'s account was summarized directly from Theophanes, but this is unlikely. (i) The scribe of the Vatican MS was looking for material to fill more than two pages of MS, and Theophanes could have provided sufficient material about events between the Samaritan revolt and the Nika Riot to fill the whole of the lacuna. (ii) Theophanes' version of the *Akta* uses the first person singular for the Greens' chants, rather than first plural as in *CP*. Maas suggested ('Akklamationen' 29) that Theophanes derived his version from the 7th-c. chronicler John of Antioch: this is unprovable, since John's account is completely lost, and it would not solve the problem of *CP*'s account, since there is no evidence that *CP* used John at any point. An economical hypothesis is that the damaged exemplar did in fact contain the *Akta*, either *CP*'s summary account or a longer version that was only partly legible (and hence had to be summarized); the Vatican scribe decided to include this after he had failed to supplement his damaged text from a better exemplar or to find relevant material in other available sources. In this case, the ultimate source for the *Akta* would most probably have been the original Malalas, which provided the bulk of both *CP*'s and Theophanes' accounts of the Nika Riot.

Context: the relevance of the *Akta* to the Nika Riot has been questioned by some scholars (e.g. Maas, 'Akklamationen' 49-51; B. Baldwin, 'The Date of a Circus Dialogue', *REB* xxxix, 1981, 301-6 = *Studies* 389-94), who have suggested that it is wrongly dated in Theophanes and belongs at the end of Justinian's reign. This is unlikely if our suggestions are correct that the *CP* version is independent of Theophanes and originated in Malalas: since *CP*'s text of Malalas terminated c.533 (see Introduction p. xix, and n. 373 below), the *Akta* could only belong in the opening years of Justinian's reign. An early date is rightly accepted by Cameron, *Factions* 327; also Bury, 'Riot' 106, Stein, *BE* ii. 450 n. 1. Cameron (*Factions* 326-8, elaborating a suggestion of Bury, 'Riot' 102) claimed that Theophanes transcribed the *Akta* in the context of the Nika Riot under the impression that this represented the factional chanting of 13 Jan. when the Blues and Greens united against Justinian (Mal. 474. 1-14; cf. n. 347). But Theophanes (184. 3-12) described the affair of the prisoners which inspired this chanting *after* the *Akta*, and the confrontational spirit of the *Akta* is quite contrary to the co-operation between the factions that began on 13 Jan.; Cameron (*Factions* 328), states that Theophanes copied out the *Akta* without reading it, but this seems implausible even for Theophanes.

It is not necessary to separate the *Akta* from the Nika Riot: although the *Akta* reveal that the Blues and Greens were at loggerheads shortly before their anti-Justinianic union in the Nika Riot, this sudden shift of opinion, which helped to make the riot unusual, is plausible (cf. *Proc. Wars* i. 24 1-7 on their customary

hostility and unexpected union), and by the last day of the rioting traditional divisions were beginning to re-emerge. The lack of reference to Calopodius (cf. n. 345; a less exalted personage than officials like John the Cappadocian and Tribonian) in chanting during the Nika Riot is not a significant difficulty: the focus of popular attention shifted very rapidly in the course of the riot, as indicated by the sudden emergence of Probus and subsequently Hypatius as candidates for the throne.

An alternative defence of the location of the *Akta* was advanced by P. Karlin-Hayter ('Les *Ἀκτα διὰ Καλαπόδιον*', *Byz.* xliii, 1973, 84-107 = *Studies* II), who argued that the religious references in the acclamations relate to Justinian's negotiations with Monophysites in 532 and reflect the Greens' opposition to orthodoxy. This was rejected by Cameron (*Factions* 323), and there is insufficient evidence to prove the argument, in spite of P. Karlin-Hayter, 'Factions, Riots and Acclamations', in ead. *Studies* III. 1-10, esp. 6-10.

In the fifth year of the reign of Justinian, in the month January, there occurred the insurrection of Nika as it is called, in the following manner.³⁴⁴ After the factions had gone up into the Hippodrome, the members of the Greens chanted acclamations concerning Calopodius the *cubicularius* and *spatharius*: 'Long life Justinian, may you be victorious; we are wronged, o sole good man, we cannot endure, God knows, we are afraid to give a name, lest he prosper more, and we are on the brink of danger. It is Calopodius the *spatharocubicularius* who wrongs us.' And many insults ensued between the factions of Blues and Greens, and after many taunts against the emperor, the Greens went down, leaving the emperor and the Blues watching the chariot racing.³⁴⁵

³⁴⁴ Nika Riot: for CP's introductory sentence, cf. *Theoph.* 181. 24-5 (with *Anec. Cramer* 112. 19-20), *Theoph.* 181. 32.

³⁴⁵ *Akta*: cf. *Theoph.* 181. 32-184. 2 for a very much longer transcript of the *Akta*, translated by Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 71-4; also Cameron, *Factions* Appendix C, pp. 318-33, with detailed discussion (although his analysis at pp. 324-5 of the MS tradition of CP is mistaken), and P. Karlin-Hayter, 'La Forme primitive des *Ἀκτα διὰ Καλαπόδιον*', in ead. *Studies* I. The *Akta* began as an argument between the Green faction and Justinian's herald about the wrongs inflicted on the Greens by Calopodius, but quickly degenerated into a slanging match in which the Blues also intervened.

Calopodius: otherwise unknown, although other eunuchs with this name are attested (*Theoph.* 155. 20, 233. 8); Karlin-Hayter's suggestion (*Studies* I. 10) that he should be identified with Narses (on whom see n. 364) is unconvincing. *Cubicularius* and *spatharius*: cf. n. 126; *spatharocubicularius*: at this period no more than a convenient combined form rather than a distinct position, see Guillaud, *Recherches* i. 283-5.

And the emperor sent to see what they were chanting...³⁴⁶

.³⁴⁷

'...at random. But when great duress arises, then you do as you are advised.' And the emperor said to them, 'Go out then, and learn for what reason they are rioting.'³⁴⁸ And there went

³⁴⁶ The scribe of the Vatican MS may have composed this sentence, which does not suit the context of the *Akta* (where the emperor has witnessed the chanting), but seems intended to replace the opening lines of the main text on the next page (from '...at random' to '...they are rioting'); this is indicated by marginal signs in the MS at the relevant places on fols. 242v and 243r: see Cameron, *Factions* 325, who follows Maas, 'Akklamationen' 47-8.

³⁴⁷ Start of Nika Riot: details of events lost in CP's lacuna are supplied by Mal. 473. 5-475. 1. The riot began on Tuesday 13 Jan., the Ides, a day which was traditionally marked by chariot racing in Cpl. It is likely that discontent against Justinian and his administration had been building up for some time (see n. 349), but the flashpoint was provided by the city prefect's bungled execution of some Blue and Green criminals. At the race meeting on the 13th the factions chanted for pardon to be granted to two survivors of the executions (by chance, one of each colour); this chanting was maintained until the 22nd race (from the probable total of 24) with no response from Justinian, the factions then suddenly combined under the password 'Nika' ('Conquer'), and the riot began with the firing of the *praetorium* of the city prefect. On the 14th, Justinian unsuccessfully attempted to re-establish calm by offering another series of games, but this only led to the firing of the Hippodrome seating, with the result that part of the public portico as far as the Zeuxippon was burnt. Cf. *s.a.* 498 for similar causation of a riot.

Date: CP's account is inserted *s.a.* 531, one year early; although the consular heading for 531 is lost in the lacuna, the next chronological entry, at p. 629. 8, relates to 532.

³⁴⁸ The main text of CP resumes at this point in mid-sentence with the words 'at random' (*ὥς ἔτυχεν*). It is now the second or third day of the riot, Wednesday 14th or Thursday 15th: in Malalas these events are placed on the 14th, but his account is severely abridged at this point, and the next reference in CP to a day is Friday 16th (p. 622. 6-7).

The emperor and his supporters are closeted in the Great Palace after the offer of games had failed to appease the rioters (Mal. 474. 20-475. 1; cf. Proc. *Wars* i. 24. 10), and an unidentified speaker is criticizing Justinian's failure to listen to advice. A plausible speaker is the empress Theodora for whom Procopius composed a defiant harangue that scorned Justinian for contemplating flight by sea (*Wars* i. 24. 33-7: the language of *Wars* i. 24. 34 recalls CP p. 620. 14-15). Procopius artistically inserted this speech to highlight the dramatic climax of his account, namely the proclamation of Hypatius as emperor and his approach to the Hippodrome on the last day of the riot, Sunday 18th, but it is likely that the option of retreat by sea to Heracleia was discussed rather earlier (Theoph. 184. 27-30), some time *before* the loyal troops to whom Justinian had considered fleeing were summoned into the capital (these had arrived on the 17th: CP p. 622. 15-18). If this speculation is correct, Theodora had perhaps

out from the Palace the patrician Basilides who was taking the [p.621] place of the *magister* Hermogenes in Constantinople, and Constantiolus. And after halting the masses mobbing outside the Palace, they silenced them and addressed them in these words, saying, 'What are you seeking to accomplish by your rioting?'. And they chanted against the praetorian prefect John the Cappadocian, and Rufinus the *quaestor*, and the city prefect Eudaemon. And after hearing this they referred it to the emperor. And immediately he replaced John the praetorian prefect, and made praetorian prefect instead of him the patrician Phocas the son of Craterus. He also replaced Rufinus the *quaestor* and made *quaestor* instead of him the patrician Basilides who was deputizing for the *magister*, as said above. And he replaced the city prefect Eudaemon, and made city prefect instead of him Tryphon, the brother of Theodore former city prefect.³⁴⁹

urged Justinian not to encourage the rioters further by a display of panic, but to attempt to quell their wrath by limited measures (such as the dismissal of John the Cappadocian, whom Theodora detested).

³⁴⁹ Dismissal of officials: cf. *Mal.* 475. 1-8 (noting that Mundus also accompanied Basilides and the *magister militum* Constantiolus), *Proc. Wars* i. 24. 11-18.

Basilides: praetorian prefect of the east and subsequently of Illyricum in the 520s, he served as *quaestor* for two years (532-4), and then succeeded Hermogenes as *magister officiorum* (535-9): see Stein, *BE* ii. 433 (also *PLRE* iii, forthcoming, which should be consulted hereafter for prosopography), and C. Mango, 'Anthologia Palatina, 9. 686', *CQ* xxxiv, 1984, 489-91.

Hermogenes: *magister officiorum*; he was currently engaged in the negotiations which produced the Endless Peace with Persia (*Proc. Wars* i. 22. 16-17).

Constantiolus: *magister militum per Moesiam* in 528, and sent by Justinian to investigate the cause of the Roman defeat at Callinicum in 531 (*Mal.* 437. 23-438. 20, 465. 12-14, 466. 13-18).

John: appointed praetorian prefect in 531, he had shown a talent for increasing official revenues (*Proc. Wars* i. 24. 13-14). Zachariah of Mitylene (ix. 14; cf. J. Lyd. *de mag.* iii. 70) provides useful supplementary information: John's administration provoked numerous people to flock to Cpl. to complain; these complainants favoured and supported one of the factions (probably the Greens who were known to oppose Justinian); this resulted in numerous protests against John and the emperor before the two factions merged and the Nika Riot began. John was reinstated as praetorian prefect by Oct. 532. He was at some time patron and ardent supporter of the Greens (J. Lyd. *de mag.* iii. 62), a stance he probably adopted *after* his reinstatement, to avoid a repetition of his downfall.

Rufinus: see *PLRE* ii. 954-7, s.v. Rufinus 13; like Hermogenes, he was currently engaged in peace negotiations with Persia, and Tribonian, not he, was *quaestor* (correctly in *Mal.* 475. 4-5). For Tribonian's avarice, see *Proc. Wars* i. 24. 16, and on the office of *quaestor*, cf. n. 287. Tribonian was reinstated as *quaestor*

But the people remained mobbing outside the Palace. And when this was known, the patrician Belisarius the *magister militum* came out with a multitude of Goths and cut down many until evening.³⁵⁰ And thereafter they set fire to the bronze-roofed entrance of the Palace, and it was burnt, together with the portico of the *scholarii* and the *protectores* and *candidati*, and there was a breach. And similarly both the Senate-house, by the Augustaeum as it is called, was burnt, and the whole of the Great Church [p.622] together with its awesome and marvellous columns was completely demolished on all four sides.³⁵¹ And in 535 (Honoré, *Tribonian* 57).

Eudaemon: as city prefect, he had been responsible for the incident which immediately provoked the riot, the bungled execution of some faction members (Mal. 473. 5-474. 1, Theoph. 184. 4-14; cf. Proc. *Wars* i. 24. 7, and n. 347).

Phocas, son of Craterus: PLRE ii. 881-2, s.v. Phocas 5.

Tryphon: *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46 mentions his appointment only at the end of the Nika Riot. He may be the Tryphon sent to reorganize taxation in Africa in 534 (Proc. *Wars* iv. 8. 25). His brother Theodore had been city prefect four times in the period 500-26 (PLRE ii. 1096, s.v. Theodorus 57).

³⁵⁰ Belisarius: cf. Mal. 475. 8-10. Following his defeat by the Persians at Callinicum in 531, Belisarius had been replaced by Mundus as commander of the eastern armies and recalled to Cpl. (Mal. 466. 13-18; Proc. *Wars* i. 21. 2, with Averil Cameron, *Procopius*, London 1985, 157-8).

Goths: possibly men who had not followed Theodoric from the Balkans to Italy (e.g. PLRE ii. 226, s.v. Bessas); alternatively *bucellarii* of Belisarius, personal retainers of their individual paymaster.

³⁵¹ Fire: cf. Theoph. 184. 19-21, 25-7; the latter section records the destruction of St. Sophia in similar language to CP, but places it after the destruction of the hospice of Samson which CP puts on Friday 16th; Theophanes gives no precise dates. Malalas (474. 17-19) records that the Chalce ('the bronze-roofed entrance of the Palace') as far as the *scholae*, the Great Church and the public portico were burnt on 13 Jan. in the fire which destroyed the *praetorium* of the city prefect (cf. n. 347), before Justinian conceded the demands for the dismissal of officials. CP, which appears to place the destruction on Thursday 15th, is the most detailed account at this point (Mal. 475. 10-11 is a generalized summary, see note B, pp. 112-13 above), and likely to be the most reliable, but confusion about which buildings were destroyed at each stage of the riot may well have developed very soon after the events: for discussion, see Bury, 'Riot' 102-3. The 'breach' was likely to be a gap between buildings caused by the fire, as at CP p. 608. 16.

Chalce and adjacent buildings: see Mango, *Brazen House*, esp. ch. 2, with map at p. 23. The quarters of the guards were near the Chalce, but the precise location is unknown (ibid. 73); see also R. Guillard, 'Autour du Livre des Cérémonies. Le Grand Palais. Les quartiers militaires', *ByzSlav.* xvii, 1956, 58-97 = *Études* i. 3-40.

Scholarii: the largest element in the imperial guard, numbering 5,500 at the start of Justinian's reign and enrolled in eleven regiments or *scholae* (3,500 regulars plus 2,000 supernumeraries), although the majority of regular *scholarii* were based outside Cpl. with perhaps no more than 500 in the Palace itself.

from there the people went down again mobbing towards the harbour of Julian to the house of Probus; and they sought to take arms from him and chanted, 'Probus, emperor for Romania.' And they threw fire into the house of the same patrician Probus; and after a little had been burnt, the fire failed and was quenched.³⁵²

And on the Friday, day 16 of the same month, the people went to the *praetorium* of the prefects, and threw fire there, and the roofs of the two imperial houses were burnt and of the same *praetorium* only where the archives were. For a north wind blew and drove the fire away from the *praetorium*,³⁵³ and the bath of

Procopius (*SH* 24. 15-23) and Agathias (*Hist.* v. 15. 1-6) comment, in similar terms, on their degeneration into ceremonial units, but this criticism may be extreme: in the Nika Riot their support or disloyalty seems to have been a significant factor (*CP* p. 626. 11-14; cf. n. 363). *Protectores*: a separate element within the guard, whose military effectiveness also declined by the 6th c. *Candidati*: a small component of the *scholarii*, but important because the 40 *candidati praesentales* were in constant attendance upon the emperor. See further Jones, *LRE* 613-14, 657-9, and Frank, *Scholae*; also Haldon, *Praetorians* 119-41, Mary Whitby, 'Ceremony' 463-8.

³⁵² Probus: cf. *Theoph.* 184. 21-4 (recording the chant as, 'Another emperor for the city', and that Probus' house was destroyed). Although Probus, nephew of the emperor Anastasius (*PLRE* ii. 912-13, s.v. Probus 8), was not present to respond to the attempted proclamation (cf. the flight of Areobindus in 512: *CP* s.a. 507), he briefly suffered exile and confiscation of property after the suppression of the riot (cf. n. 369). His house, near the harbour of Julian on the Sea of Marmara, was located downhill and only a short distance south-west of the Palace and Hippodrome. Bury observed ('Riot' 119) that it was only at this point that the overthrow of Justinian became the object of the rioters.

³⁵³ Friday 16th, *praetorium*: the *praetorium* of the city prefect had been burnt on Tuesday 13th (*Mal.* 474. 14-17, cf. *Theoph.* 184. 14-15 and see n. 347) when the rioters were asking the prefect to pardon the convicted faction members who had escaped execution. This *praetorium* was located towards the eastern end of the Mese (cf. n. 407). Although enough of the building might have survived to attract attention again, a north wind could not have blown the fire directly from it to St. Irene, which was located to the north-east. Furthermore, *CP* states that the *praetorium* of the prefects was burnt, which may suggest some joint building used by both city and praetorian prefects, to be distinguished from their individual *praetoria*. Another *praetorium* (perhaps that of the praetorian prefect), located much further west on the south side of the Mese between the Fora of Constantine and Theodosius (*Mango, Const.* 31 n. 52), was too distant to be relevant to these events. Janin (*Const.* 166-9) failed to distinguish the two *praetoria* on the Mese; the discussion of Guillard (*Études* ii. 36-9) does not clarify this complex issue. Bury ('Riot' 116) repunctuated with a full stop after 'away from the *praetorium*', so as to understand that there were two separate fires, thus allowing the *praetorium* to be located away from the other buildings, but this is not the natural interpretation of the text. The alternative is to assume that the

Alexander was burnt and the hospice of Eubulus in part and St. Irene [...] which had been built by Illus the Isaurian, who rebelled against Zeno the emperor. And the great hospice of Samson was burnt, and those who lay sick in it perished.³⁵⁴

And on the Saturday, that is the 17th of the same month Audynaues [Jan.], the soldiers who had come from the Hebdomon and Regium and Athyras and from Calabria joined combat with the people, since the people were murdering men at random, and dragging them away and throwing them into the sea like dung; and similarly too they were murdering women. And many faction members fell.³⁵⁵ And when the crowds saw

prefects had a joint building to the north of St. Irene somewhere on the old acropolis of Byzantium (cf. Janin, *Const.* 165-6). The coach-house of the praetorian prefect was located in this region (Mal. 345. 19-20; cf. n. 210).

'The two imperial houses': identity uncertain, but they were perhaps separate buildings associated with this joint *praetorium* for the city and praetorian prefects. The text might be rendered, 'only the roofs of the two imperial houses of the *praetorium* were burnt, where the archives were' (see Australian Malalas, p. 277), but we have preferred Bury's interpretation ('Riot' 116).

Archives: the rioters were naturally interested in destroying the prefects' records: the city prefect kept records of disciplinary matters, the praetorian prefect taxation and other financial records, matters which had all contributed to the build-up of tension before the explosion of the riot. Cf. Theoph. 297. 2-3 for the destruction of the city prefect's records in a riot in 608, and J. Lyd. *de mag.* iii. 13 for the location of *scrinia* (archives) in the *praetorium* of the praetorian prefect; also *de mag.* iii. 19 for judicial archives stored under the Hippodrome.

³⁵⁴ Spread of fire: cf. *Theoph.* 184. 24-5 (bath of Alexander and hospice of Samson burnt after house of Probus, before St. Sophia).

Bath of Alexander: otherwise unknown (Janin, *Const.* 216). Hospice of Eubulus: attested under Justin I (Mal. 411. 19-20; cf. *Patria* iii. 120, p. 254); see Janin, *Églises* 558. Their location is unknown, but if CP's list preserves the route along which the fire spread, they would have been to the north of St. Irene.

St. Irene: a foundation of Constantine the Great (Janin, *Églises* 103-6; Dagron, *Naissance* 392-3; Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 112-17); no connection with Illus is attested. There may be a brief lacuna at this point (or perhaps the author of CP omitted a few words), so that a reference to the porch of the Basilica has been lost: this was one of the buildings burnt down in the riot (*Anec. Cramer* 112. 24, Great Chronographer 7, Theoph. 181. 29), and Illus is said to have rebuilt the Basilica in 478 (cf. n. 340).

Hospice of Samson: situated north of St. Sophia, between it and St. Irene, cf. Proc. *Bld.* i. 2. 14-15, and see Janin, *Églises* 561-2; Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon*, plates 19, 95, 99; F. Halkin, 'Saint Samson le xénodoque de Constantinople', *Riv. di Stud. biz. e neoell.* xiv-xvi, 1977-9, 5-17, for a text about the founder and the hospice with a reference to various destructions by fire during civil disturbances (ch. 10).

³⁵⁵ Saturday 17th: cf. *Theoph.* 185. 1-2 (corpses thrown into sea and women murdered). The entry of loyal troops stationed in Thrace marked the turning-

themselves being hit, they went into the Octagon which [p.623] is between the Basilica of the Skindressers and the public portico of the Regia. And the soldiers, when they saw that they were unable to enter, threw fire down upon them, and set alight the Octagon, and as a result of this fire the region around St. Theodore in the quarter of Sphoracius was burnt, except for the oven [sacristy] of the holy church. But the entire portico of the silversmiths, and the house of Symmachus, the former consul *ordinarius*, and St. Aquilina, as far as the arch of the other portico of the Forum of Constantine, was burnt.³⁵⁶ And fleeing from there, the people threw fire at the Liburnon at the Magnaura, but after a mass rush had taken place, it was quenched immediately.³⁵⁷

point in the riot: Justinian, having contemplated flight to these troops earlier in the riot (see n. 348) had probably sent messages to summon them to forgather at the Hebdomon just outside the city walls and then enter *en masse*.

Regium was sited on Küçük Çekmece, about 12 miles beyond the Hebdomon, Athyras near Büyük Çekmece, a further 10 miles, and Calabria, perhaps to be identified with the 11th-c. fort of Calobria mentioned by Nicephorus Bryennius (iv. 5-6, ed. A. Meineke, Bonn 1836), further still.

³⁵⁶ Fires: the soldiers had to fight to reach the city centre, and their main confrontation with the rioters occurred on the section of the Mese called the Regia, between the Constantinian Forum and the Palace (cf. CP p. 528. 19-21); the soldiers could only dislodge their opponents by setting fire to more of the city. *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46 records destruction from the Palace as far as the Forum and the *Arca* (Treasury); Theophanes 184. 15-17 (misplaced, see Bury, 'Riot' 116-17) mentions the burning of the arch of the Forum and the silversmiths' shops, together with the palace of Lausus.

Octagon, Basilica of Skindressers, St. Theodore Sphoracius: although precise locations are problematic, these were all situated north of the Mese, near its eastern end, (Janin, *Const.* 160-1, 98, *Églises* 152-3; also Guiland, *Études* ii. 3-13, somewhat confused). From here the fire spread westwards along the Mese towards Constantine's Forum.

Oven of St. Theodore: located in the sacristy (σκευοφυλάκιον), it was probably used to prepare the holy oil and consume Eucharistic bread which had been spoiled (Mathews, *Churches* 161, Taft, *Great Entrance* 191 n. 49). The word σκευοφυλάκιον is added in the margin of the Vatican MS, and is probably a gloss.

Portico of the silversmiths: Janin, *Const.* 88-9.

House of Symmachus: location unknown. Several Symmachi were western consuls, most recently (in 522) Symmachus brother of Boethius, who might have had property in Cpl., see S. J. B. Barnish, 'Transformation and Survival in the Western Senatorial Aristocracy, c. AD 400-700', *PBSR* lvi, 1988, 120-55 at 140ff.

St. Aquilina: to the south of the Mese (Janin, *Églises* 17).

Arch: the circular Forum of Constantine was surrounded by porticoes with a monumental arch to east and west (probably spanning the Mese and linking the porticoes); see Janin, *Const.* 62-4, Mango, *Const.* 25.

³⁵⁷ Magnaura and Liburnon: problematic. Janin (*Const.* 117-18, 382) identified

On the Lord's Day, that is on the 18th of the same month, early in the morning the emperor went up into the Hippodrome to his own box, carrying the Holy Gospel. And when this was known, all the people went up, and the entire Hippodrome was filled by the crowds. And the emperor swore an oath to them, saying, 'By this Power, I forgive you this error, and I order that none of you be arrested, – but be peaceful; for there is nothing on your head, but rather on mine. For my sins made me deny to you what you asked of me in the Hippodrome.' And many of the people chanted, 'Augustus Justinian, may you be victorious.' But others chanted, [p.624] 'You are forsworn, ass.' And he desisted, and the emperor himself went down from the Hippodrome;³⁵⁸ and he immediately granted dismissal to those in the Palace and said to the senators, 'Depart, each is to guard his own house.'³⁵⁹

And when they had gone out, the people met Hypatius the patrician and Pompeius the patrician; and they chanted, the Magnaura as a separate building within the Great Palace complex and the Liburnon as a nearby building or monument; see also Guiland, *Études* i. 141-50. Mango (*Brazen House* 57-8) plausibly equated the Magnaura with the Senate at the east end of the Augustaeum (already burnt, *CP* p. 621. 20-1), and associated the Liburnon with the marble statue (mentioned in the *Notitia*) of a *liburnon*, a type of ship, commemorating a naval victory.

³⁵⁸ Sunday 18th, Justinian in Hippodrome: cf. *Mal.* 475. 11-16 (saying that some people chanted for Hypatius). Justinian was attempting to repeat the *coup de theatre* effected by Anastasius in 512 (*CP s.a.* 507) when the emperor's bare-headed appearance in the Hippodrome had defused the crisis caused by the rioting over the Trisagion and had allowed the imperial authorities to reimpose order. Justinian was less successful, but his speech attracted some favourable chanting which indicated that the populace's unanimous opposition to him was beginning to falter. The offer of pardon, although made under extreme pressure (and unlikely ever to have been honoured in full), had at least to be plausible, and Justinian's speech illustrates one aspect of the populace's relationship with the emperor in the Hippodrome, namely that their requests had some claim to be met. This passage is not discussed by Cameron in his treatment of the question at *Factions* ch. 10.

³⁵⁹ Dismissal of senators from Palace: Procopius (*Wars* i. 24. 19-22) places the dismissal of Hypatius and Pompeius on the previous evening (the fifth day of the riot), but notes that the people only discovered this on the following morning, which explains the timing recorded in *CP*. As nephews of Anastasius, Hypatius (*PLRE* ii. 577-81, s.v. Hypatius 6) and Pompeius (*ibid.* 898-9, s.v. Pompeius 2) might be thought to have ambitions on the throne: Procopius gives Justinian's suspicions as the reason for their dismissal, but this inference was probably based on subsequent events. The assertion in *CP* that the dismissal of senators was more general suggests that Justinian had a positive strategy: now that he had been joined by sufficient troops to quell the riot by force, he wanted individual senators to use their personal retinues to secure their homes and so prevent the rioters from using strongly-fortified senatorial palaces as defensive refuges.

'Hypatius Augustus, may you be victorious.' And the people took the same patrician Hypatius to the Forum of Constantine, wearing a white mantle, and they carried him on high to the steps of the column of the monument of Constantine the emperor, and the people brought from the palace of Placillianae, as it is called, imperial insignia which were stored up there, and they put them on the head of the same Hypatius, and a golden torque upon his neck. And when this was made known to the emperor, the Palace was locked up; and the masses of the people took the same Hypatius and Pompeius the patrician and Julian the former praetorian prefect and led the same Hypatius away to the imperial box, wishing to bring out imperial purple and a diadem from the Palace and crown him as emperor. And all the people who were in the Hippodrome chanted for him, 'Augustus Hypatius, may you be victorious.'³⁶⁰

And Hypatius himself, foreseeing that the ways of the people are fickle, and that the emperor would regain control, secretly sent Ephraem the *candidatus*, in whom he had confidence, and declared to the emperor Justinian, 'See, I have assembled together all your enemies in the Hippodrome; do what you command.' [p.625] And the same Ephraem departed to the Palace, and when he wanted to gain entry and speak his message to the emperor, a certain *a secretis* Thomas met him, who was the emperor's doctor and especially loved by him, and he said to the *candidatus*, 'Where are you going to? There is no-one inside; for the emperor has departed from here.' And Ephraem turned back and said to Hypatius, 'Master, God prefers that you be emperor; for Justinian has fled, and there is no-one in the Palace.' And after hearing this, Hypatius seemed to sit more confidently

³⁶⁰ Proclamation of Hypatius: cf. *Mal.* 475. 16-22 (abbreviated), with *Exc. de insid.* *Mal.* fr. 46. Procopius (*Wars* i. 24. 22-31) emphasizes the involvement of senators and claims that Hypatius was crowned with a gold necklet since imperial regalia were lacking. In fact the torque was a military insignia used at coronations (e.g. *Const. Porph. de caer.* i. 91, p. 411. 6; i. 93, p. 429. 3), and the reference in *Exc. de insid.* *Mal.* fr. 46 to Hypatius being raised on a shield increases the resemblance to the military aspect of an imperial coronation. The white mantle was traditional for inauguration ceremonies (e.g. *de caer.* i. 86, pp. 391. 14-15, 392. 12, on *candidati*).

Placillianae (= Flaccillianae) palace: cf. nn. 168, 362.

Julian: CP is the sole evidence for his involvement. This Julian was probably the author of two epigrams on the cenotaph subsequently erected by Justinian for Hypatius (*Anth. Graec.* vii. 591-2). R. C. McCail (*JHS* lxxxix, 1969, 87-8) regards the poems as bitter and ironic, plausibly in view of Julian's connection with Hypatius, although Alan Cameron (*GRBS* xix, 1978, 264-6) has doubted this interpretation.

in the imperial box of the Hippodrome and to listen to the people's acclamations for him and the insulting utterances which they spoke against the emperor Justinian and against the Augusta Theodora.³⁶¹ And there came too from Constantianae 250 young Greens, wearing breastplates. These young men came armed, supposing that they would be able to open up the Palace and lead him into it.³⁶²

But the most sacred emperor Justinian, after hearing of the audacious actions by the people and Hypatius and Pompeius, immediately went up by the Kochlias, as it is called, to the Pulpita, as they are called, behind the box of the Hippodrome, to the *triclinium* which has the bronze doors, which were locked. With the emperor himself were Mundus [p.626] and Constantiolus and Basilides and Belisarius and certain other senators. And he also had the armed guard of the Palace, together with his own *spatharii* and *cubicularii*.³⁶³

³⁶¹ Hypatius in imperial box: cf. *Mal.* 475. 22-4 (no mention of Ephraem), *Theoph.* 185. 2-6 (rumour that Justinian and Theodora had fled to Thrace). CP's unique account of Hypatius' attempt to betray his popular supporters corroborates the variant allegation in Procopius ('some say...' *Wars* i. 24. 31) that Hypatius' entry into the Hippodrome was duplicitous, since he was still favourably disposed towards Justinian; for his reluctance, cf. *Wars* i. 24. 56, and lemma on *Anth. Graec.* vii. 591.

a secretis: secretary to the consistory, see Jones, *LRE* 574, 605; *candidatus*: cf. n. 351 and see further n. 363.

³⁶² Greens: the arrival of 250 armed Greens from Constantianae (the vicinity of the Constantianae baths, cf. n. 82) might suggest that the Greens were more enthusiastic than the Blues in their opposition to Justinian, who had normally favoured the Blues. Theophanes (185. 6-8) mentions 200 Greens from Flaccianae: since the Flaccillianae palace (in the 11th region, which included the Holy Apostles) was located not far from the Constantianae baths (in the adjacent 10th region), the same general area of the city is designated. The Flaccillianae palace seems to have been under the control of Justinian's opponents: not only were coronation insignia found there (*CP* p. 624. 10), but its use was suggested as a base for Hypatius instead of the Great Palace (*Proc. Wars* i. 24. 30).

³⁶³ Justinian approaches the imperial box: cf. *Theoph.* 185. 8-13, *Mal.* 476. 1-3 (confused paraphrase, omitting reference to Justinian). Following Theophanes and the Paris apograph of *CP*, we have translated 'action by the people' (*ἡράσις*), not 'action concerning' (*περί*), the reading of the Vatican MS at p. 625. 16. Procopius (*Wars* i. 24. 43-7) highlights Belisarius' actions, but helps to clarify CP's narrative: Belisarius challenged the guards to open the doors, but they preferred to maintain neutrality until the outcome was clear; Belisarius then reported his failure to Justinian and expressed despair at the guards' disobedience.

'Armed guard': *CP* p. 626. 12-14 indicates that the defecting guards mentioned by Procopius included excubitors and *scholarii*. The 'armed guard of the Palace' said here to be loyal to Justinian could therefore be identified as

And after this had happened, Narses the *cubicularius* and *spatharius* went out secretly, and through his own efforts and those of his men suborned certain of those of the Blue faction by distributing money. And they broke away and began to chant, 'Augustus Justinian, may you be victorious. Lord, preserve Justinian and Theodora.' And the whole throng in the Hippodrome raised a cry. But some trouble-makers from the Green faction rushed on them and stoned them.³⁶⁴ And subsequently those who were in the Palace, after deliberation, took the guard present inside, after suborning in addition certain of the excubitors and *scholarii* (for even these had broken away with the people); and they came out with their own men and dashed into the Hippodrome, Narses by way of the gates, the son of Mundus by the curved end, others by the single door of the imperial box into the arena, and others by the palace of Antiochus and the Gate of the Corpse, as it is called. And they began to strike the people at random, so that none of the citizens or visitors who were present in the Hippodrome survived;

candidati (cf. p. 627. 9-10, and see Alan Cameron in CR xxii, 1972, 137); however, Ephraem the *candidatus* was disloyal, while Zachariah (ix. 14: Mundus accompanied by *scholarii*) suggests that other *scholarii* may also have remained loyal. The insubordination of excubitors, the prime element in the imperial guard at this period (cf. n. 289), reflects the gravity of the situation. For the different units of guards, cf. n. 351; *spatharii* and *cubicularii*: cf. n. 126.

Kochlias and Pulpita: this description of Justinian's moves provides important evidence for the arrangement of the rooms behind the imperial box. The Pulpita are also mentioned at Mal. 387. 13-14 (attempt to murder Illus in c.481), and Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 86 (p. 391. 16-18), describing the election of a *candidatus*: the emperor has gone up to the Hippodrome, while the *candidatus* stands at the door which was after the former site of the Pulpita where there was 'now' a vaulted staircase built by Justinian. The Latin term *pulpitum* denotes a platform, stage or balcony, and the Pulpita linked the top of the Kochlias, the spiral stair leading from the Palace, with the chambers immediately behind the imperial box, where the Decimum was also located, cf. n. 159 and Guiland as cited there.

Bronze doors: these doors, which opened from a reception hall (*triclinium*), separated the imperial box, where the emperor was in public view, from the interior of the Palace.

³⁶⁴ Narses' bribery: cf. *Mal.* 476. 3-7, *Theoph.* 185. 13-17. This timely bribery destroyed the rioters' cohesion, although its fragility had already been shown by the divided chanting during Justinian's appearance in the Hippodrome; it seems that the Blues now returned to their traditional loyalty to Justinian, perhaps worried that the alternative candidate Hypatius might favour the Greens who appeared to be his most enthusiastic supporters.

Narses: he subsequently became one of Justinian's most trusted and successful generals, who completed the reconquest of Italy in the 550s (Stein, *BE* 356-8 and index at 885).

among them even Antipater, the tax-collector of Antioch Theopolis, was slain. And immediately the *magister militum* Belisarius and his entourage opened the doors to [p.627] the imperial box, dashed in with *spatharii*, arrested Hypatius together with Pompeius the patrician, his first cousin, and led them to the emperor Justinian. When these had been brought in, they fell down before him, saying, 'Master, it was a great labour for us to assemble the enemies of your power in the Hippodrome.' And the emperor said to them, 'You did well; nevertheless, considering that they obeyed you when you commanded them, why did you not do this before the whole city was burnt?'. And he said to his eunuchs and *spatharii*, and to Eulalius the bearded and the *candidati*, 'Take them and shut them away.' And they took them down into the Palace and shut away Hypatius and Pompeius in solitude.³⁶⁵ And on the same day, according to those who have estimated the number, 35,000 citizens and visitors were slain at the Palace. And no longer did any faction member appear anywhere at all, but there was quiet until evening.³⁶⁶

³⁶⁵ Massacre in Hippodrome: cf. *Mal.* 476. 7-19, *Theoph.* 185. 17-26. Procopius (*Wars* i. 24. 47-54) concentrates on the actions of his hero Belisarius who, after finding a direct attack on the imperial box to be too dangerous, advanced on the crowd and supposedly provided an example to the hesitant Mundus; Justinian's nephews Boraïdes and Justus are credited with the physical arrest of Hypatius (i. 24. 53). Hypatius and Pompeius were brothers, not cousins.

Hippodrome entrances: the sources diverge about the various points of entry to the Hippodrome, but *CP* is most detailed. Narses seems to have attacked from the north end (the *carceres*), the son of Mundus from the south (the curved end). Procopius (*Wars* i. 24. 52) states that Mundus himself entered through the Gate of the Corpse, which should perhaps be located on the west side of the Hippodrome (*Patria* iii. 201, p. 278, has a false etymology that derives the Gate's name from the Nika massacre); *Exc. de insid.* *Mal.* fr. 46 (somewhat confused) records that Mundus entered from the imperial box above the doors, and Belisarius from below the box. The palace of Antiochus, in part of which a church of St. Euphemia was subsequently located, was at the north-west end of the Hippodrome (Janin, *Const.* 310, Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 122-5, cf. Mango, *Const.* 58). For discussion of the Hippodrome entrances, see also Guillard, *Études* i. 509-41.

Spatharii: in the mid-6th c. this title began to be applied more generally to guardsmen, not only eunuchs, of any officer (Haldon, *Praetorians* 138-9 with n. 238; also 155-6), but the *spatharii* associated with Belisarius (*CP* p. 627. 1) are not identified as his personal guard; in Justinian's order (*CP* p. 627. 8-9) eunuchs and *spatharii* are linked, in contrast to the *candidati* (on whom, see nn. 351, 363).

³⁶⁶ Casualties: cf. *Mal.* 476. 19-20, *Theoph.* 185. 26-8. Figures vary, from 30,000 (*Exc. de insid.* *Mal.* fr. 46, cf. *Wars* i. 24. 54) to 50,000 (J. Lyd. *de mag.* iii. 70), or 80,000 (Zach. ix. 14), but indicate a significant loss from a total population of 3/400,000 (Mango, *Const.* 51). The 'visitors' will have included the people who

And on the next day, which was Monday, the 19th of the same month Audynaesus [Jan.], Hypatius and Pompeius the patricians were slain, and their corpses were thrown into the sea.³⁶⁷ And the corpse of Hypatius appeared by the shore, and the emperor ordered that it be dumped in the midst of the other executed convicts, and that a tablet be placed above his corpse on which was inscribed, 'Here lies the emperor of the Luppā.' [p.628] After some days he ordered the man's kinsmen to take his corpse and bury it. And his kinsmen took it and buried it in the *martyrium* of St. Maura; but Pompeius' body appeared nowhere.³⁶⁸ All their belongings were confiscated. And the remaining patricians who had been present with them fled, some to monasteries and others to houses of prayer, and their houses were placed under seal. And certain men suffered both confiscation and banishment. And there arose great imperial terror. And the emperor, having subsequently learnt of the message given by Thomas the *a secretis* to Ephraem the *candidatus*, beheaded Thomas and banished Ephraem to Alexandria the Great.³⁶⁹

had flocked to the capital to complain against John the Cappadocian (J. Lyd. *de mag.* iii. 70). The special mention of Antipater the Antiochene tax-collector (*vindex*; p. 626. 21) probably reflects the interests of CP's source, the Antiochene Malalas.

³⁶⁷ Monday 19th, deaths of Hypatius and Pompeius: cf. *Mal.* 476. 21-2, *Theoph.* 185. 28-30; *Proc. Wars* i. 24. 56-7. Victor Tonnensis (*s.a.* 530) adds that they were slain by night and their corpses thrown into the Rheuma (i.e. the Bosphorus); according to the lemma of *Anth. Graec.* vii. 591, Hypatius was slain on the island of Prinkipos, the largest of the Princes' Islands in the Sea of Marmara. Zachariah ix. 14 claims that Justinian wished to spare Hypatius and Pompeius, but was compelled to execute them by an irate Theodora.

³⁶⁸ Burial of Hypatius: CP's reference to the recovery of the corpse is hard to reconcile with the evidence of the funerary epigrams by Julian (*Anth. Graec.* vii. 591-2), which relate to a cenotaph, see Alan Cameron, 'The House of Anastasius', *GRBS* xix, 1978, 259-76 at 266-7.

'Emperor of the Luppā': obscure. An interpretation is suggested by an exchange in the *Martyr Acts of Babyla* 1. 2 (ASS January 24, p. 571), '*Lupus tibi visus sum et non rex, biothanate*', 'Did I seem to you to be a wolf, not a king, you convict', where the nouns are common to this passage of CP (king/emperor, convict, and *lupus*/Luppā, literally 'wolf', but with overtones of 'whore', *vel. sim.*). Hypatius was being exposed among the dead criminals as the king of the whores.

St. Maura: an Egyptian martyr; the church (Janin, *Églises* 329-30) was in Sycae (Galata), apparently a regular place for executions, cf. nn. 172, 403. It appears that Hypatius' body was taken to Sycae to be dumped with the bodies of other criminals, and his family then buried him nearby.

³⁶⁹ Other punishments: cf. *Theophanes* 185. 30-186. 1, who specifies that 18 other patricians, together with *illustres* and consulars were punished (18

And on the 20th of the same month Audynaenus [Jan.], a Tuesday, all of Constantinople was quiet, and no-one dared to go out, but only the shops which provided food and drink for needy people were open. And business remained untransacted and Constantinople was without commerce for a number of days.³⁷⁰

The emperor Justinian immediately announced his victory to all the cities under his sovereignty, and the destruction of the usurpers who had risen against him; and he undertook to build the Great Church zealously and better, and the Palace, [p.629] and all the public places of the city which had been burnt. And he built inside the Palace bakeries and granaries for the storage of grain; and likewise too a cistern for water in case of popular crises, after ordering the city prefect to punish those from the Blue faction who had sided with the Greens and the remaining factions against him.³⁷¹

Olympiad 328

532 Indiction 10, year 5, the 2nd post-consulship of Lampadius and Orestes.

533 Indiction 11, year 6, the 4th and sole consulship of Justinian Augustus.³⁷²

In this year in the month Dios, November according to the Romans, in the 12th indiction, there was a great earthquake in

illustres and senators in *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46). Procopius (*SH* 12. 12, 19. 12) alleges that confiscation of senatorial property was Justinian's purpose in 'managing' the Nika Riot, but this is contradicted by his statement (*Wars* i. 24. 57-8) that everyone received back their confiscated property, including the children of Hypatius and Pompeius (cf. Mal. 478. 18-21 for their brother Probus and cousin Olybrius).

³⁷⁰ Quiet: cf. *Theoph.* 186. 1-2, also *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46 (no Hippodrome games for a considerable time).

³⁷¹ Justinian's reactions: cf. *Mal.* 476. 22-477. 3. For the restoration of buildings, see *Proc. Bld.* i.

Punishment of Blues: the instructions to the city prefect (Tryphon) suggest that many Blues had survived the Hippodrome massacre (they perhaps withdrew after the chanting inspired by Narses' bribery); however, their desertion to the Greens at the start of the riot had been an act of treachery that disrupted one of the emperor's mechanisms for controlling the populace (namely ensuring the vocal support of one significant group), and as such deserved punishment (cf. Mal. 488. 6-13 for another occasion when Blues were punished for embarrassing Justinian). CP's allusion to 'the remaining factions', the Reds and Whites, is the only indication that they played a part in the Nika Riot, which is often referred to as the insurrection of the *Prasinobenetoi* ('Green-Blues').

³⁷² 533 Consul: 3rd of Justinian, cf. n. 336.

Constantinople without damage, late in the evening, so that all the city gathered in the Forum of Constantine, and chanted litanies and said, 'Holy God, holy and mighty, holy and immortal, who was crucified on our account, have mercy on us.' And they remained all night in vigil, praying. But when morning came, the entire people who had been chanting litanies cried out, 'Victorious is the fortune of the Christians. Crucified one, save us and the city; Augustus Justinian, may you be victorious. Destroy, burn the document issued by the bishops of the Synod of Chalcedon.'³⁷³

[p.630] And on the 20th of the same month Dios [Nov.], in the 12th indiction, the same emperor Justinian published his sacred edict in Constantinople; and to the city of Rome, and to Jerusalem, and to the great Theopolis of the Antiochenes in Syria, and to the great city of the Alexandrians in Egypt, and to Thessalonica the city of the Illyrian race, and to Ephesus city in Asia, he sent his same sacred edict, which ran as follows:³⁷⁴

³⁷³ Earthquake: cf. *Mal.* 478. 8-11 (brief allusion to popular litanies).

Chant: the orthodox Trisagion with the addition of the words 'who was crucified on our account'; this encapsulates Monophysite Christology that the God Christ suffered Crucifixion as an undivided entity (Frend, *Monophysite* 167-8, 263). CP's inclusion of the criticism of the Synod of Chalcedon is notable. Justinian, though a supporter of Chalcedon, had since 530/1 been trying to effect a reconciliation with Monophysite opponents of the Synod, and during 532 there were discussions in Cpl. between representatives of the two sides; see Frend, *Monophysite* 261-7; S. P. Brock, 'The Orthodox-Oriental Orthodox Conversations of 532', *Apostolos Barnabas* xli, 1980, 219-28. Although Monophysites were most strongly entrenched in Egypt and the eastern provinces, they also had substantial support in the capital, as a result both of the regular migration of people from provinces to the centre and of the support of the pro-Monophysite Theodora. The author of CP was clearly sympathetic to attempts to shift orthodoxy away from rigid adherence to Chalcedon, as confirmed by the inclusion of Justinian's Theopaschite edict of 533 and 'Three Chapters' edict of 551 (s.a. 552), whereas *Novel* 42 (AD 536), which confirmed Chalcedonian doctrine after talks had failed to produce a reconciliation, is ignored.

This is the last notice that CP shares with Malalas, and it appears that the version of Malalas used by CP terminated in this year. Hereafter CP's information on Justinian is very patchy: there are two long doctrinal documents (ss.aa. 533, 552), and a chronological computation (562), but otherwise only a mention of the revision of the Codex in 533, and of the rededication of St. Sophia (s.a. 563).

³⁷⁴ Theopaschite edict: Justinian first issued a Theopaschite edict on 15 March 533 (*Cod. Iust.* i. 1. 6), addressed to the people of Cpl., Ephesus, Caesarea, Cyzicus, Amida, Trapezus, Jerusalem, Apamea, Justinianopolis, Theopolis (Antioch), Sebastea, Tarsus, and Ancyra. This was followed by letters to Patriarch Epiphanius in Cpl. (26 March, *Cod. Iust.* i. 1. 7) and to Pope John II (6 June, *Cod. Iust.* i. 1. 8), in both of which the Synod of Chalcedon was specifically

'Emperor and Caesar Justinian, pious, victorious, triumphant, greatest, ever-venerable, Augustus, to our citizens.

'In serving the Saviour and Master of all Jesus Christ, our true God, in all things we strive, as far as it is possible for mortal mind to comprehend, to imitate his leniency. And having found some mastered by the disease and madness of Nestorius and Eutyches, the enemies of God and of the most holy catholic and apostolic church, who refuse to name, properly and in accordance with truth, the holy and glorious ever-Virgin Mary as Mother of God, we have striven that these men be taught the correct faith of the Christians. And they, being incurable and concealing [p.631] their error, go about, as we have learnt, confusing the souls of the more simple and tempting them to sin, and speaking against the holy catholic and apostolic church. Therefore we have considered it necessary to dissolve the falsehoods of the heretics, and to make clear to all what are the beliefs of the holy catholic and apostolic church of God. Her most devout priests make proclamation, and we too, following them, make manifest the matters relating to the hope in us, not devising a new faith (may that never be!), but refuting the madness of those who share the opinions of the impious heretics, which indeed we have already done at the outset of our reign and made clear to all.

'For we believe in one God, Father Almighty, and in one Lord Jesus Christ the Son of God, and in the Holy Spirit, worshipping one being in three substances, one Godhead, one Power, a consubstantial Trinity. And at the end of days we acknowledge Jesus Christ the only-begotten Son of God, who is from the true God, who was begotten from the Father before the ages, who is co-eternal with the Father, the one from whom are all things, and through whom are all things, who came down from the heavens, and was made flesh from the Holy Spirit and the holy, glorious ever-Virgin Mother of God Mary, and was made man; he endured a Cross on our behalf under Pontius [p.632] Pilate, and was buried and rose again on the third day, and we recognize as being of one and the same both the miracles and the sufferings which he voluntarily endured in the flesh. For we know that

mentioned as a constituent of orthodox belief. Pope John did not signal his acceptance of the doctrine until 25 March 534, and CP's record indicates that by Nov. 533 Justinian had decided to cut short debate by reissuing his edict to the main metropolitan sees, this time including Rome, Thessalonica (the seat of the papal vicar of Illyricum), and Alexandria (the centre of potential opposition from the Monophysite side).

God the Word and Christ are not different, Christ the one and the same, consubstantial with the Father in divinity, and the same consubstantial with us in humanity, but we accept and acknowledge the unity in substance. For the Trinity remained a trinity even when one of the Trinity, God the Word, was made flesh; for the Holy Trinity does not admit an addition of a fourth personage. Therefore, since these things are so, we anathematize all heresy, and specifically Nestorius who worships a human being, and those who have shared or share his opinions, men who distinguish our one Lord Jesus Christ the Son of God and our God, and do not acknowledge, properly and in accordance with truth, the holy and glorious ever-Virgin Mary as *Theotokos*, that is Mother of God, but say that there are two sons, one God the Word from the Father, and another the son of the holy ever-Virgin Mother of God Mary, denying that he has been made in grace and relationship and kinship to God the Word and God himself, and not acknowledging that our Master Jesus Christ the Son of God and our God, who was made flesh and became man and was crucified, is one of the consubstantial Trinity. For he alone [p.633] is worshipped and glorified together with the Father and the Holy Spirit.

‘And we anathematize also Eutyches the madman and those who have shared or share his opinions, who introduce illusion and deny the true incarnation of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ from the holy ever-Virgin Mother of God Mary, that is to say they deny our salvation and do not acknowledge that he is consubstantial with the Father in Godhead. And in the same way we anathematize also Apollinarius the soul-destroyer and those who have shared or share his opinions, who say that our Lord Jesus Christ the Son of God and our God is only man, and introduce mixture or confusion into the incarnation of the only-begotten Son of God; and all who have shared or share their opinions.’

All the bishops received a copy of this in their own cities, and published it in the churches.³⁷⁵

³⁷⁵ Doctrine: Justinian had sought reconciliation by focusing on the oneness of Christ, which could be emphasized by stating that the Christ who suffered in the flesh was one of the Trinity (the Theopaschite formula), without precise definition of how the divine-human unity was achieved. Condemnation of acknowledged heretics could support this attempt: Nestorius, the arch-enemy, had elevated the human qualities of Christ the Son by regarding the Virgin Mary as Christotokos rather than Theotokos (cf. n. 240); Eutyches, a radical Monophysite theologian condemned at Chalcedon, had argued that Christ's humanity was different from normal humanity in that his flesh was the incarnate Word; and Apollinarius, had argued that Christ's mind was divine not human

534 Indiction 12, year 7, the 5th consulship of Justinian Augustus and that of Paulinus.³⁷⁶

In the time of these consuls the Justinianic Codex was revised, with the addition to it also of the constitutions subsequent to it. And it was ordered [p.634] that, the previous edition being made void, it should be valid from the 4th day before Kalends of January [29 Dec.], in indiction 13.³⁷⁷

535 Indiction 13, year 8, sole consulship of Belisarius.

Olympiad 329

536 Indiction 14, year 9, post sole consulship of Belisarius.

537 Indiction 15, year 10, the 2nd post sole consulship of Belisarius.³⁷⁸

538 Indiction 1, year 11, sole consulship of John.

(cf. n. 122). The doctrines of Eutyches and Apollinarius were being exploited by Julianists, a schismatic Monophysite group that threatened to overtake the main Monophysite group led by Severus of Antioch. Thus anathemas against these people might conciliate mainstream Monophysites, whereas the edict did not mention Dioscorus patriarch of Alexandria, another casualty of Chalcedon, who remained a hero for most Monophysites in spite of his support for Eutyches. This Theopaschite solution, although it accorded with Monophysite views, failed to achieve a reconciliation, since it did not contain a specific condemnation of the Synod of Chalcedon, which had too many overtones of Nestorian doctrine (and hostility to Cyril of Alexandria) to be tolerated. For further discussion, see Frend, *Monophysite* 267-9 (and index on individuals).

CP's version of the edict has some minor divergences from the text in *Cod. Iust.*: the longest is the omission by CP of 'for as he is perfect in divinity, so the same is perfect in his humanity also', which should have followed the statement on consubstantiality (p. 632. 6). Although it is possible that the November edict differed slightly in phraseology, it is more likely that CP accidentally omitted a few phrases in transcription, since there is also one serious error in CP's text: Apollinarius 'the soul-destroyer' in fact claimed that Christ lacked a human mind (*δύου*) not that he was 'only man' (*ἀνθρώπου μόνον*, p. 633. 10). The edict is discussed by Scott, 'Justinian' 16-17; there is, however, no justification for Scott's subsequent inference that the copy of the November text used by the author of CP was 'more reliable' than the March version preserved in *Cod. Iust.* ('Malalas' 100 n. 6).

376 534 *cos. prior*: 4th of Justinian.

377 Revision of Justinianic Codex: the first version, produced in 529, had rapidly been rendered out of date by the speed of Justinian's reforming legislation at the start of his reign. The revision, produced by the second law commission under Tribonian, was enacted on 16 Nov. 534 (Honoré, *Tribonian* 56-7 & ch. 7).

378 536, 537 First and second post-consulships of Belisarius: the Bonn text misrepresents the correct MS readings.

539 Indiction 2, year 12, sole consulship of Apion, son of Strategius.

Olympiad 330

540 Indiction 3, year 13, sole consulship of Justin II.³⁷⁹

541 Indiction 4, year 14, sole consulship of Basilius.³⁸⁰

542 Indiction 5, year 15, post sole consulship of Basilius.

543 Indiction 6, year 16, the 2nd post sole consulship of Basilius.

Olympiad 331

544 Indiction 7, year 17, the 3rd post sole consulship of Basilius.

545 Indiction 8, year 18, the 4th post sole consulship of Basilius.

546 Indiction 9, year 19, the 5th post sole consulship of Basilius.

547 [p.635] Indiction 10, year 20, the 6th post sole consulship of Basilius.

Olympiad 332

548 Indiction 11, year 21, the 7th post sole consulship of Basilius.

549 Indiction 12, year 22, the 8th post sole consulship of Basilius.

550 Indiction 13, year 23, the 9th post sole consulship of Basilius.

551 Indiction 14, year 24, the 10th post sole consulship of Basilius.

Olympiad 333

552 Indiction 15, year 25, the 11th post sole consulship of Basilius.

In this year 25 of the reign of Justinian, the 11th after the sole consulship of Flavius Basilius, there took place in Constantinople

³⁷⁹ 540 Consul: Justin son of Germanus, not his cousin Justin son of Vigilantia who was to succeed Justinian in 565 as Justin II.

³⁸⁰ 541 Basilius: the last non-imperial consul; for discussion see Alan Cameron and D. Schauer, 'The Last Consul: Basilius and his Diptych', *JRS* lxxii, 1982, 126-45, who suggest that Justinian, unable to afford the consulship himself after 534 since wars and building projects were using his resources, was worried that the consulship might provide potential rivals with excessive popular favour, and so declined to appoint any successors to Basilius. In 566 Justin II revived the consulship as an adjunct of the imperial office.

the 5th Synod against the impious and abominable and unclean and pagan doctrines alien from Christianity of Origen and Didymus and Evagrius, the opponents of God, and of Theodore the impious and his Jewish writings, and against the unclean letter to Maris the Persian called that of Ibas, and the foolish writings of Theodoret against the 12 Chapters of Cyril, our most holy father and teacher.³⁸¹

‘In the name of God, both Father and his only-begotten Son Jesus Christ our Lord, and the Holy Spirit, [p.636] Emperor and Caesar Flavius Justinian, Alamanicus, Gothicus, Frangicus, Germanicus, Anticus, Alanicus, Vandalicus, Africanus, pious, fortunate, glorious, victorious, triumphant, ever-venerable, Augustus, to the whole company of the catholic and apostolic church.’³⁸²

³⁸¹ 552 Fifth Oecumenical Council: held in Cpl. in May 553, Justinian here imposed his doctrinal decisions in the so-called ‘Three Chapters’ controversy; this was the culmination of his attempts to conciliate Monophysites by denouncing select aspects of Chalcedonian doctrine while maintaining the overall orthodoxy of the Synod of Chalcedon (AD 451). For discussion, see Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 383-94, Frend, *Monophysite* 276-82; and for the text of the council’s debates, E. Schwartz and J. Straub, *Acta Conciliorum Oecumenicorum* iv. 1 (Leipzig 1971).

Origenists: Origen, the great theological allegorizer of the early 3rd c., had been condemned as a heretic even during his own lifetime (Chadwick, *Church* 100-14), and continued to be condemned even though later Origenists substantially transformed his teaching. Evagrius and Didymus had expounded Origenist doctrines in the late 4th c. In the 530s proponents of Origenist doctrine had become influential in Palestine, spreading pantheistic ideas that rejected the notion of an anthropomorphic deity, and stressed the supremacy of the soul. These had been condemned by Justinian in 543 (Bury, *HLRE* [2] ii. 381-3).

Three Chapters: after the failure of the Theopaschite formula (cf. nn. 374-5), Justinian was persuaded that unity could be achieved by condemnation of certain specific writings by Theodore of Mopsuestia, the intellectual father of Nestorian doctrine, and by Theodoret of Cyrrhus and Ibas of Edessa, two theologians cleared of heresy at Chalcedon but some of whose works revealed Nestorian tendencies and attacked Cyril of Alexandria. To this end, he issued an edict in 544 condemning specific works by these three theologians, and so started the ‘Three Chapters’ controversy, which the 553 council was intended to resolve. However, opposition in the west and indifference among Monophysites rendered the compromise ineffectual.

³⁸² Justinian’s imperial titles: the list of epithets relating to real or assumed victories is characteristic of the introduction to an imperial edict or official letter; in Justinian’s case they reflect victories against the Vandals in Africa, the Goths and Franks in Italy, and Germanic tribes on the Danube, but the omission of Persia, with whom the empire was still at war, is significant.

[pp.636-84: Edict of Justinian on Three Chapters controversy]³⁸³

553 [p.684.16] Indiction 1, year 26, the 12th post sole consulship of Basilius.

554 Indiction 2, year 27, the 13th post sole consulship of Basilius.

555 Indiction 3, year 28, the 14th post sole consulship of Basilius.

Olympiad 334

556 Indiction 4, year 29, the 15th post sole consulship of Basilius.

557 Indiction 5, year 30, the 16th post sole consulship of Basilius.

558 [p.685] Indiction 6, year 31, the 17th post sole consulship of Basilius.

559 Indiction 7, year 32, the 18th post sole consulship of Basilius.

Olympiad 335

560 Indiction 8, year 33, the 19th post sole consulship of Basilius.

561 Indiction 9, year 34, the 20th post sole consulship of Basilius.

562 Indiction 10, year 35, the 21st post sole consulship of Basilius.

In this year 35 of the reign of Justinian, and the 21st post-consulship of Basilius, on the 20th of the month March in indiction 10 and the third year of Olympiad 335, there was completed the 532 years of the festal cycle of the holy and life-giving Cross, from when Christ our true God accepted on our behalf voluntary and life-giving death and we Christians began to keep the Feast of his holy Resurrection. And the second

³⁸³ Three Chapters edict: we have omitted this from the translation on grounds of length (48 pages in the Bonn edition). For the text (Greek and Latin), see also E. Schwartz, 'Drei dogmatische Schriften Iustinians', *Abhandl. d. Bayer. Akad. d. Wiss. phil.-hist. Abt.* xviii, 1939, 73-111. The document in CP is not, as might have been expected, a record of the proceedings of the Fifth Council, but rather an edict issued by Justinian in July/Aug. 551 that laid down in advance the decisions which the emperor intended the bishops to endorse. The treatment in CP of the Three Chapters controversy (coupled with the lack of reference to the problems and ultimate failure of the initiative) reflects the author's interest in attempts to move away from Chalcedon in the search for a harmonizing formula, cf. nn. 268, 373 and Introduction p. xxvi.

circuit of the festal cycle of 532 years begins from the twenty-first inclusive of the month March of the current tax period, on the day which is recognized as the equinox.³⁸⁴

From St. Gregory's Oration for Easter

"I will stand upon my guard," says the admirable Habakkuk, and today with him I too, since authority and insight have been granted me by the [p.686] Spirit, will both watch and learn what is to be seen and what is to be spoken by me. And I stood and I watched. And behold, a man mounted upon the clouds, and he was exceedingly lofty, and his appearance was as an angel's appearance, and his raiment was as the brightness of darting lightning. And he raised up his hand towards the east, and cried in a loud voice; his voice was like a trumpet's voice, and encircling him a multitude as it were of a heavenly host. And he said, "Today there is salvation for the universe, all that is visible and all that is invisible. Christ is risen from the dead; rise up with him! Christ returns to himself; return! Christ is freed from the tomb; be freed from the bonds of sin! The gates of Hades are opened, and death is destroyed, and the old Adam is put aside. And if there is in Christ a new creation, be renewed!". And thus he spake, while they raised the chant which they also raised before, when Christ appeared to us through his birth below, "Glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace, goodwill among men." With them I too say this among you, "Grant that I might also receive a voice worthy of the angel's, reverberating to all the ends of the earth."³⁸⁵

³⁸⁴ 562 Easter cycle: the passage of 532 years from the Crucifixion marked the joint completion of the 19-year lunar and 28-year solar cycles ($28 \times 19 = 532$), which together permitted the accurate calculation of Easter; a new great cycle was due to begin, and so this was an appropriate occasion for a chronological computation.

Date: in CP the Crucifixion is placed in *annus mundi* 5540, equivalent to AD 31, so that the 532nd Easter fell in AD 562. The date of Easter was fixed as the first Sunday after the first full moon after the spring equinox (21 March), since this corresponded to the time of the Passion: it is for this reason that the first great cycle is said to end on 20 March and the new cycle begin on the equinox. See further n. 386.

³⁸⁵ Oration of Gregory: Gregory of Nazianzus xlv. 1 (PG xxxvi. 624); the Biblical reference is Habakkuk 2. 1. This passage from Gregory, celebrating both Easter and a new beginning, serves as a rhetorical *auxesis* to enhance the importance of the start of the new Easter cycle. There are a few small changes in CP's version, usually in the form of the addition of redundant terminal nu; one whole clause, 'and the new is accomplished', is omitted after 'old Adam put

Let the beginning of the revolution, that is to say of the circuit of 532 years, be reckoned from year 5 inclusive of Philip the Younger and of Philip his son, the consulship of Decius and Gratian, and year 1 of Olympiad 257: from this point anyone who goes back to the 19th [p.687] year of Tiberius Caesar, that is to say year 4 of Olympiad 202, in which occurred the redeeming Passion of our Lord Jesus Christ, will find a period of 218 years, but going forward to the 8th year of Constantine the greatest emperor, in which the first indiction was established in the consulship of Volusianus and Anianus, he will amass 65 years; from year 9 of Constantine as far as the current indiction 10, year 35 of the reign of Justinian, the 21st year of the sole consulship of Basilius, he will accumulate a period of 249 years, so that in total the years from the redeeming Easter as far as the current year 35 of the reign of Justinian and year 3 of Olympiad 335, are 532.³⁸⁶

563 Indiction 11, year 36, the 22nd post sole consulship of Basilius.

In this year 36 of the reign of Justinian, in the month Apellaeus, on December 24th according to the Romans, a Sunday in indiction 12, the festival of inauguration of the holy Great

aside', but this is also missing in some MSS of Gregory's *Orations*.

³⁸⁶ Computation: the purpose of this chronological verification is to confirm that 532 years have elapsed since the Crucifixion. The basic calculation is straightforward: the Crucifixion occurred in year 4 of Olympiad 202, so that, counting inclusively, the 532-year cycle must terminate in year 3 of Olympiad 335, which is the current year (532 years = 133 Olympiads).

Consulship of Decius and Gratian: the significance of this starting-point is unclear. This consulship in fact occurred in AD 250, after the overthrow of the emperor Philip, but in *CP* it is inserted under year 1 of Olympiad 257, year 4 of Philip (although counting inclusively this could be reckoned as a 5th year), which is equivalent to AD 248. One possibility is that this year was treated as the notional start of the 95-year Easter cycle of Anatolius: the original start of this cycle had probably been in 258 (cf. n. 2), but the reform of 344 had advanced world chronology by nine years (the date of Creation was changed from 5500 to 5509 BC; cf. n. 79); this change could have been applied to the Anatolian cycle, and a further one year's advance caused by the minor difference between Anatolius' 'natural' (*κατὰ φύσιν*) and *CP*'s 'adjusted' (*κατὰ θέσιν*) calculations, cf. n. 79.

Year 8 of Constantine: this is used as an intermediate stage because it marked the start of the first indiction cycle (cf. n. 30), and indictions had become a standard dating device; the addition of 65 years to AD 248 gives AD 313. However, the consulship of Volusianus and Anianus is equated with year 9 of Constantine, indiction 2 (AD 314), cf. *CP* p. 522. 19. For further discussion, see Grumel, *Chronologie* 65-8, who suggests that the consulship of Volusianus and Anianus is intended solely to date the creation of the indiction cycle (on the unsupported assumption that indictions were introduced in 314, but antedated to 1 Sept. 312).

Church of God in Constantinople was celebrated for the second time.³⁸⁷

Olympiad 336

564 Indiction 12, year 37, the 23rd post sole consulship of Basilius.

565 Indiction 13, year 38, the 24th post sole consulship of Basilius.

566 Indiction 14, year 39, the 2nd sole consulship of Justin II.³⁸⁸

[p.688] In this year on the 14th of the month November in indiction 15, Justinian died, and Justin II Augustus was emperor for 11 years, 8 months.³⁸⁹ In total, 6,087.

The appearance of Justinian the emperor was diminutive, broad-chested, pale, thin-haired, balding at the front, round-faced, handsome, of florid complexion, slightly smiling, with grey-flecked hair, a smooth chin after the Roman fashion, a shapely nose, and palish skin.³⁹⁰

567 Indiction 15, year 1, post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

³⁸⁷ 563 Rededication of St. Sophia: Dec. 562 (indiction 11); cf. *Anec. Cramer* 114. 26-7 (with dating by Justinian's regnal year), Theoph. 238. 18-19, Mal. 495. 9-10. This followed the reconstruction necessitated by the collapse of much of the dome and east end in May 558 after the earthquake of Dec. 557. The occasion was marked by ceremony and extended celebrations; see further Mary Whitby, 'The Occasion of Paul the Silentiary's *Ekphrasis* of S. Sophia', *CQ* xxxv, 1985, 215-28.

³⁸⁸ 566 Consul: CP's formula is confused. Justin II assumed his first consulship in Jan. 566 (see Corippus, *In laudem Iustini Augusti minoris* iv, with commentary by Averil Cameron, London 1976). CP had credited the wrong Justin with the consulship of 540, and so, to be consistent, described 566 as 'the 2nd sole consulship of Justin II': the Bonn text incorrectly prints 'the 2nd post sole consulship', and also misprints the MS reading 'Ind. 14, year 39' as 'Ind. 19, year 34'.

³⁸⁹ Accession of Justin: Justinian died in Nov. 565 (indiction 14; correct in Theoph. 241. 2-3). Justin II ruled for 12 years and 11 months (14 Nov. 565 to 5 Oct. 578). The error in CP's figure for years (but not for months) is accounted for by the post-dating of Justinian's death.

³⁹⁰ Justinian's appearance: this passage is preserved in the margin of the Vatican MS; cf. *Mal.* 425. 5-8 for much the same description (in a slightly different order and located at the start of Justinian's reign). Malalas calls Justinian 'curly-headed' (οὐλόθριξ) rather than 'balding' (δολιγόθριξ), alleges that his beard was greying as well as his hair (CP correctly records that Justinian had no beard), and adds 'magnanimous, Christian'. For another description, see Proc. *SH* 8. 12-13. There is also a mosaic depiction in St. Vitale at Ravenna, as well as numerous coin images (good reproductions in R. Browning, *Justinian and Theodora*, London 1971).

Olympiad 337

568 Indiction 1, year 2, the 2nd post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

569 Indiction 2, year 3, the 3rd post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

570 Indiction 3, year 4, the 4th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

571 Indiction 4, year 5, the 5th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

Olympiad 338

572 Indiction 5, year 6, the 6th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

573 [p.689] Indiction 6, year 7, the 7th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

574 Indiction 7, year 8, the 8th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin II Augustus.

In this year 8 of his reign, on 7th in the month September in indiction 8, Justin Augustus fell ill and made Tiberius Caesar, renaming him Constantine; he continued as Caesar with him for 4 years.³⁹¹

575 Indiction 8, year 9, the 9th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin Augustus.

Olympiad 339

576 Indiction 9, year 10, the 10th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin Augustus.

577 Indiction 10, year 11, the 11th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin Augustus.

578 Indiction 11, year 12, the 12th post 2nd sole consulship of Justin Augustus.

In this year 12 of the reign of Justin, on 26th in the month September in indiction 12, Tiberius II Constantine was crowned by him, and on the 5th of the following month October Justin Augustus died.³⁹²

³⁹¹ 574 Justin's illness: Justin suffered a mental derangement in winter 573/4 (the ninth year of his reign), as a result of the disastrous news that the Persians had captured Dara (Theophylact iii. 11. 3; Evag. v. 11). After about a year's illness, the *comes excubitorum* Tiberius was elevated as Caesar to assist in the running of the empire, in a ceremony on Friday 7 Dec. 574 (Theophylact iii. 11. 4-13; J. Eph. iii. 5; Evag. v. 13).

³⁹² 578 Accession of Tiberius: cf. Theophylact iii. 16. 3-6. Theophanes (249. 22-3) states that Tiberius was crowned by the Patriarch Eutychius.

And Tiberius Constantine was sole ruler for another 4 years.

In total, 6,091.

579 Indiction 12, year 1, the 1st consulship of Tiberius II Constantine Augustus.

[p.690]

Olympiad 340

580 Indiction 13, year 2, post-consulship of Tiberius II Constantine.

581 Indiction 14, year 3, the 2nd post sole consulship of Tiberius II Constantine.

582 Indiction 15, year 4, the 3rd post sole consulship of Tiberius II Constantine.

In this year 4 of his sole rule, Tiberius Caesar fell ill, and on the fifth of the month August in the present indiction 15 Maurice Tiberius became Caesar. And on the thirteenth of the month August he was crowned emperor, after Tiberius II Constantine had given him as wife his daughter Constantina. And on the 14th of the same month August, Tiberius II Constantine died at the suburban palace of the Hebdomon; and after his corpse had been carried by boat to Constantinople, on the following day his funeral was held and his body laid to rest in the Holy Apostles.³⁹³

Next Maurice was emperor for 20 years. In total, 6,111.

583 Indiction 1, year 1, year without a consul.

And by common consent it was recorded as post-consulship of Tiberius Constantine of blessed memory year 4.³⁹⁴

³⁹³ 582 Tiberius' illness: said to have been caused by eating a bowl of mulberries (Theoph. 252. 5-6). His succession arrangements were rather more complicated than suggested in *CP*, since Germanus the governor of Africa was also betrothed to a daughter of Tiberius, Charito, and created Caesar (Theoph. 252. 2-4). The coronation is described at much greater length by Theophylact (i. 1) who, however, wrongly locates the scene in the Great Palace (cf. J. Eph. v. 13 for the Hebdomon). Funeral: Theophylact i. 2. 3-6. See further Whitby, *Maurice* 7-8.

³⁹⁴ 583 'Year without a consul': the year could no more have lacked a consul than any other post-consular year, but the comment reflects the perception of the consulship at this period as an appendage of the imperial title (cf. the discussion of Heraclius' 'non-consular' consulship *sa.* 611, at p. 702. 2-6). In any case Maurice assumed the consulship on 25 Dec. 583 (Theoph. 253. 24-6, Theophylact i. 12. 12), a late start which Hendy (*Economy* 193) has attributed to Maurice's desire to curtail the expenses of the post. In *CP* Maurice's consulship is inserted *sa.* 584, so that subsequent post-consulships are one year out.

[p.691]

Olympiad 341

584 Indiction 2, year 2, the 1st sole consulship of Maurice Augustus.

585 Indiction 3, year 3, post sole consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

586 Indiction 4, year 4, the 2nd post sole consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

587 Indiction 5, year 5, the 3rd post sole consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

Olympiad 342

588 Indiction 6, year 6, the 4th post sole consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

589 Indiction 7, year 7, the 5th post sole consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

590 Indiction 8, year 8, the 6th post sole consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

In this year at the Easter festival, Maurice Tiberius crowned his son Theodosius as emperor. However, it was not posted in the records, and none of the other actions of imperial recognition was performed in his case, except only the coronation.³⁹⁵

591 Indiction 9, year 9, the 7th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

In this year Chosroes the Persian king came to the Romans after suffering an insurrection by his fellow-clansman Baram. And through a Roman alliance he was restored to his own kingdom.³⁹⁶

³⁹⁵ 590 Coronation of Theodosius: Maurice's eldest son had been born on 4 Aug. 583 (J. Eph. v. 14; Theoph. 254. 24-5 in the wrong year). The 'other actions' not performed for Theodosius were probably obeisance by the senate and acclamations by the factions (cf. CP pp. 703. 20-704. 1).

Records: probably an official list that recorded the precise designation of each year, and of different parts of each year. From the reign of Maurice onwards the author of CP displays increasing concern for accuracy in such references to years (cf. pp. 693. 5-9; 694. 13-16; 701. 20-702. 6; 704. 3-9, etc.), and he had probably consulted these records himself.

³⁹⁶ 591 Khusro II (Chosroes): he had fled to the Roman empire in March 590 in the face of the revolt by the general Vahram Tchobin (who was distantly related to the royal clan: Theophylact iii. 18. 6-10); in the latter part of 590 he agreed terms with Maurice for Roman support, and in summer 591 a grand alliance of Romans, loyal Persians, and Armenians defeated Vahram in Azerbaijan and reinstated Khusro. For discussion, see Whitby, *Maurice* 292-303.

[p.692]

Olympiad 343

592 Indiction 10, year 10, the 8th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

In this year Anastasius, patriarch of Antioch, returned to Antioch after the death of Gregory, who had been patriarch and had indeed previously succeeded the same Anastasius.³⁹⁷

593 Indiction 11, year 11, the 9th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

594 Indiction 12, year 12, the 10th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

Cyriacus was leader of the most holy church in Constantinople for 12 years; he had been presbyter and *oikonomos* of the same church.³⁹⁸

595 Indiction 13, year 13, the 11th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius Augustus.

Olympiad 344

596 Indiction 14, year 14, the 12th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

597 Indiction 15, year 15, the 13th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

598 Indiction 1, year 16, the 14th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

599 Indiction 2, year 17, the 15th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

³⁹⁷ 592 Gregory of Antioch: patron of the historians Evagrius and John of Epiphania and an important official figure in the eastern provinces, he died in summer 592 (Evag. vi. 24; Allen, *Evagrius* 262-3).

Anastasius: a popular and respected patriarch (at least Monophysites appealed to him for arbitration, and Pope Gregory corresponded with him even during his period of demotion), he had been ousted by Justin in 570 for reasons that are obscured by our main source Evagrius (v. 5, with comments by Allen, *Evagrius* 214-17); he was reinstated on 25 March 593.

³⁹⁸ 594 Cyriacus: the former *oikonomos* (steward) of St. Sophia served as patriarch for 11 years (until Oct. 606) in succession to John Nesteutes who had died on 2 Sept. 595; Cyriacus and his two successors, Thomas (607) and Sergius (610), had all been senior financial administrators in the Constantinopolitan church before being chosen as patriarch: this probably reflects the desire of emperors to have competent controllers of the Church's great wealth, especially after the reign of John Nesteutes, which may have been less prudent (see Theophylact vii. 6. 2-4).

Olympiad 345

600 Indiction 3, year 18, the 16th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

601 [p.693] Indiction 4, year 19, the 17th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

602 Indiction 5, year 20, the 18th post-consulship of Maurice Tiberius.

In this year in the month February the marriage of Theodosius the son of Maurice took place over seven days, from day 9 inclusive until day 15 of the same month February.³⁹⁹

And on the 6th of the month July in the same indiction 5 an edict was published, and for the remaining part of the year, that is until January of the 6th indiction, it was recorded as follows: 'And in the second consulship of our same most pious lord.'⁴⁰⁰

And in the month November of the same indiction 6 there occurred an uprising against Maurice by Phocas, a soldier, together with the army. And Maurice Tiberius with his wife Constantina and 9 children, namely 6 boys, Theodosius, Tiberius, Peter, Paul, Justin, and Justinian, and three girls, Anastasia, Theoctiste, and Cleopatra, fled on the 22nd of the month Dios, November according to the Romans, by night as Friday 23rd was dawning. On the 23rd of the same month, a Friday, Phocas was crowned by Cyriacus, patriarch of Constantinople, in the venerated church of St. John at the Hebdomon; on the 25th of the said month, a Lord's Day, he entered Constantinople, seated in a chariot; from the Hebdomon he entered by way of the Golden Gate, and the Troadesian porticoes and the whole length of the Mese as far as the Palace, with no-one at all opposing, but everyone acclaiming him.⁴⁰¹

³⁹⁹ 602 Marriage of Theodosius: cf. Theoph. 283. 35-284. 3; Theophylact viii. 4. 10. The marriage (to a daughter of the patrician Germanus, cf. nn. 406, 411) may actually have been celebrated in Nov. 601 (Theoph.), whereas the week-long festivities were perhaps intended to regain popular goodwill towards the imperial family after the serious rioting on 2 Feb. 602 occasioned by a food shortage; cf. Theophylact viii. 4. 10-11 with notes (Whitby).

⁴⁰⁰ Maurice's second consulship: perhaps to be connected with the twentieth anniversary of Maurice's accession, it was probably a further attempt to boost his popularity.

⁴⁰¹ Phocas' coup: cf. Theoph. 286. 14-289. 25, Theophylact viii. 6. 2-10. 10, for more extensive accounts, though only CP preserves a sequence of precise dates (cf. Whitby, *Maurice* 24-7). The military revolt had been sparked off when Maurice instructed the Balkan army to winter north of the Danube in order to press home the Roman advantage in their campaigns against the Slavs; after failing to persuade their general Peter to disobey Maurice's order, the army chose

[p.694] Maurice Tiberius, together with his wife and eight of his children, was seized at St. Autonomus, near Praenetus. And on the 27th of the same month, a Tuesday, Maurice himself was slain near Chalcedon, as were Tiberius, Peter, Justin, and Justinian.⁴⁰² Peter too, the brother of Maurice, who was *curopalatus*, was also arrested and slain, and other officials were also arrested. Constantine Lardys, the former praetorian prefect, logothete, and curator of the palace of Hormisdas, and Theodosius the son of Maurice were slain at Diadromoi, near Acritas; Comentiolus, the patrician and *magister militum*, was also slain on the far side, near St. Conon by the sea, and his body was eaten by dogs.⁴⁰³

the officer Phocas as leader and marched on the capital, apparently with the intention of replacing Maurice by his son or the Caesar Germanus (ibid. 165-9). Maurice was not sufficiently confident to try to defend the capital, and started to flee east.

Phocas' triumphal entry: cf. Theophylact viii. 10. 7-8 (lacking CP's precise route). The church of John the Baptist at the Hebdomon (cf. *s.a.* 391) had been used in proclamation ceremonies of some 5th-c. emperors (e.g. Leo: Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 91, p. 413. 13), and from there Phocas passed through the Theodosian walls by the ceremonial Golden Gate and then along the entire length of the Mese; for the Troadesian porticoes, cf. n. 262. Phocas' ambitions for the throne were supported by the Green faction (Theoph. 289. 8-10, Theophylact viii. 10. 1), who now probably led the acclamations; there was perhaps also considerable public support for the removal of the parsimonious Maurice.

402 Death of Maurice's family: Maurice with most of his family had been detained through bad weather and ill health at Praenetus, modern Karamürsel on the south shore of the Gulf of Izmit (Theophylact viii. 9. 9-10); for the church of St. Autonomus, see Janin, *Monastères* 86-7. They were brought back as far as Chalcedon, where the men and boys were slain at the harbour of Eutropius (Janin, *Const.* 238-9), after a factional squabble in Cpl. that Phocas may have misunderstood as support for Maurice (Theophylact viii. 10. 11-11. 6 with notes [Whitby]; Theoph. 289. 25-290. 12).

403 Maurice's supporters: Theophylact viii. 13. 1-2 records the executions of Peter, Comentiolus, George the second-in-command to Philippicus, and Praesentinus the *domesticus* of Peter. Peter and Comentiolus had both commanded armies in the Balkans in the last years of Maurice's reign, and were disliked by the troops for their attempts to implement Maurice's strategic orders (cf. Whitby, *Maurice* 100-4). *Curopalatus*: from the time of Justin II a post reserved for close relatives of the emperor, see Mary Whitby, 'Ceremony' 476, and cf. n. 330.

Constantine Lardys: cf. Theophylact viii. 9. 11-12, 11. 1-2, 13. 3; he had been deputed to accompany Maurice's son Theodosius in an attempt to obtain support from Khusro II of Persia, but this mission was countermanded. Theophanes (287. 12-16) mentions his unpopularity with the Green faction. Logothete: the first mention of this new senior official, who was probably responsible for a reorganized system of military finances, see Bury, *IA* 86f; Haldon, *Recruitment*

And from the 25th of the same month November for the rest of the year, that is until the month January of the present indiction 6, it was recorded in the records as, 'In year 1 of the reign of Phocas.'⁴⁰⁴

The same Phocas was emperor for 8 years. In total, 6,119.

603 [p.695] Indiction 6, year 1, sole consulship of Phocas Augustus.⁴⁰⁵

In this year Constantina the former queen was put into a monastery. And Philippicus the patrician and *comes excubitorum*, and Germanus, the patrician and father-in-law of Theodosius son of Maurice, were made clerics.⁴⁰⁶

33-4. Palace of Hormisdas: an imperial estate; for *curatores*, see Jones, *LRE* 426.

Diadromoi: near Tuzlaburnu on the north shore of the Gulf of Izmit, see Janin, *Monastères* 53-6.

St. Conon: the monastery was located in Sycae/Galata, on the far side of the Golden Horn (Janin, *Églises* 283-4). It is regularly mentioned in connection with executions or the display of decapitated heads (Mal. 389. 14, 431. 13, 473. 15), which might indicate that the vicinity was a recognized place for capital punishment; cf. also *CP s.a.* 393 for executions at Galata and *s.a.* 531 (p. 628. 2-3 with n. 368) for the burial of Hypatius' executed corpse at St. Maura in Galata.

A further extract from the Great Chronographer, relating to Maurice's overthrow, is inserted in the margin of the Vatican MS beside the notice for 602. See Appendix 2 for translation and notes.

⁴⁰⁴ Records: cf. n. 395.

⁴⁰⁵ 603 Phocas' consulship: celebrated at Christmas (Theoph. 292. 5-6); cf. n. 394 for Maurice.

⁴⁰⁶ Constantina: Theophanes 293. 8-23 (*a.m.* 6098 = AD 605/6) provides more details: Germanus was attempting to oust Phocas, and hoped that Constantina would provide a rallying point for those disaffected with the new emperor, but the move was thwarted by opposition from the Greens who were bitterly hostile to Germanus (cf. Theophylact viii. 9. 15). Germanus' attempt may also have been encouraged by rumours that his son-in-law Theodosius had managed to escape to Persia and was now being supported by Khusro II (cf. Sebeos ch. 23, Theophylact viii. 13. 4-5).

Monastery: Theophylact viii. 15. 1 records that Constantina and her three daughters were confined in the house of Leo. According to *Patria* iii. 185 (p. 274) the monastery was known as the Nea Metanoia (Janin, *Églises* 332), which may be identical with the monastery of St. Mamas (mentioned in the same passage) at the Xerocircus/Xylocircus that had been founded by Maurice's sister Gordia, and where the bodies of Maurice and Constantina were buried (Const. Porph. *de caer.* ii. 42, pp. 646. 19-647. 6, Cedr. i. 707. 10-708. 2; Janin, *Églises* 314-19).

Philippicus: husband of Gordia, he had founded a monastery to the Virgin at Chrysopolis to which he now withdrew (Leo Gramm. 138. 12-13, Theoph. 293. 22-3); his involvement in the plot is not specified by the sources.

Comes excubitorum: for this officer, cf. n. 325; Tiberius and Maurice had held the post before their elevation to Caesar, and Philippicus was succeeded by Phocas' son-in-law Priscus.

Then, when a faction riot occurred, the Mese was burnt from the palace of Lausus, and the *praetorium* of the city prefect, as far as the Treasury opposite the Forum of Constantine of blessed memory, when Leontius, the former curator of the palace of Antiochus, was city prefect.⁴⁰⁷ John, who was called Crucis, the controller of the Green faction, was burnt in the Mese between the *praetorium* of the city prefect and the [p.696] Forum.⁴⁰⁸

Olympiad 346

604 Indiction 7, year 2, post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.

605 Indiction 8, year 3, the 1st post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.⁴⁰⁹

In this year in the month Daisius, June according to the Romans, on a Saturday, there were beheaded Theodore the praetorian prefect, John *antigrapheus*, Romanus *scholasticus*, Theodosius subadjutant of the *magister*, Patricius *illustris* nephew of Domniziolus who was curator of the palace of Hormisdas, John and Tzittas, *spatharii* and *candidati*, Athanasius *comes largitionum*, Andrew *illustris* who was called Scombrus, and Elpidius *illustris*. Elpidius had his tongue cut out and his 4 extremities removed; he was paraded on a stretcher

⁴⁰⁷ Riot: the cause is unknown, but Cameron (*Factions* 282) has rightly rejected theories that it was connected with changes in Phocas' patronage of the factions.

Palace of Lausus: on the south side of the Mese, north-west of the Hippodrome, see Janin, *Const.* 379, Müller-Wiener, *Bildlexikon* 238-9 and plate 109, Guiland, *Études* ii. 32-5.

Praetorium of city prefect: located towards the east end of the Mese (cf. nn. 210, 353). This passage perhaps suggests that the *praetorium* was on the north side of the street, since it may have been named in conjunction with the palace of Lausus to signify that both sides of the Mese were burnt from the vicinity of the Hippodrome as far west as Constantine's Forum. Mango ('Diippion' 156) located the *praetorium* south of the Mese, citing *Const. Porph. de caer.* i. 79 (pp. 375-6), but this is not conclusive.

Treasury (*Arca*): cf. *Exc. de insid.* Mal. fr. 46, with n. 356; see also Guiland, *Études* i. 394.

Leontius: for possible identifications with this man, cf. nn. 425, 443. Palace of Antiochus: cf. n. 365; an imperial estate like the palace of Hormisdas, cf. n. 403.

⁴⁰⁸ John Crucis: recently installed as demarch of the Greens (Sergius was demarch in 602: Theophylact viii. 7. 10), possibly against the wishes of the faction members (cf. Theoph. 287. 12-15). It is uncertain whether he perished during the riot or was executed by Phocas as punishment for the destruction. This riot of 'the Greens under Crucis' was sufficiently notorious to be included by Jacob the Jew in his 'career' of anti-Christian hooliganism (*Doctrina Iacobi* p. 39. 5).

⁴⁰⁹ 605 Phocas' 2nd post-consulship; all subsequent post-consular headings for Phocas are one short.

and carried down to the sea; when his eyes had been gouged out, he was thrown into a skiff and burnt. The other people aforementioned were beheaded, on the grounds that they were discovered plotting against the emperor Phocas.⁴¹⁰

At the same time Constantina the former queen was also beheaded, across the strait at the breakwater of Eutropius near Chalcedon. And the surviving female [p.697] children of her and Maurice, Anastasia, Theoctiste, and Cleopatra, were also killed, together with the daughter of Germanus who had been wife of Theodosius; and Germanus himself was killed with them.⁴¹¹

606 Indiction 9, year 4, the 2nd post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.

In this year Cyriacus, patriarch of Constantinople died, in the month Hyperberetaeus, on October 29th according to the Romans, a Saturday. And his funeral was held on the 30th of the

⁴¹⁰ Conspiracy: this further plot against Phocas is narrated at greater length by Theophanes (294. 27-295. 13; 297. 12-298. 4), although with some confusion since he records the conspiracy in two versions under the years 607 and 609, with a different person betraying the plot in each year, and makes Theodore and Elpidius, who were executed in 607, reappear in 609. The date of the near-contemporary CP should probably be preferred. Theophanes (297. 16-18) presents Theodore and Elpidius as the prime movers in the plot, states that Theodore was beaten to death (295. 5-6; although at 298. 2-3 he is decapitated), and names Anastasius rather than Athanasius as *comes largitionum* (297. 20-1).

The plot was apparently triggered by continuing rumours that Maurice's son Theodosius was still alive in the east (Theoph. 294. 30-2), but the involvement of so many senior officials also suggests that the administrative aristocracy of Cpl. was trying to remove Phocas, the choice of the Balkan army and the circus factions, since his defects as a ruler were now being highlighted by Persian successes on the eastern front.

Antigraphus: head of one of the major bureaux of administration, see Bury, *IA* 75-6, Jones, *LRE* 549, 575-6. *Scholasticus*: a barrister in one of the main lawcourts.

Domniziolus: probably to be distinguished from Phocas' brother Domentziolus (e.g. Theoph. 292. 2); curator of palace of Hormisdas: cf. n. 403.

Spatharii and *candidati*: formerly separate elements, the *spatharii* being eunuchs in the *cubiculum*, while the *candidati* were part of the *scholarii*, cf. nn. 126, 351. The conjunction of titles in the case of John and Tzittas reflects an organizational change: see Mary Whitby, 'Ceremony' 467-8; Haldon, *Praetorians* 155-6.

Comes largitionum: see Bury, *IA* 86, Jones, *LRE* 427-8; a late reference to the financial official whose duties were taken over during the 7th c. by the logothete (cf. n. 403).

⁴¹¹ Execution of Maurice's female relatives and Germanus: they were also implicated in the above plot, cf. Theoph. 295. 7-10. For the harbour of Eutropius at Chalcedon, cf. n. 402.

same month, a Sunday, and his body was laid to rest according to custom in the Holy Apostles.⁴¹²

607 Indiction 10, year 5, the 3rd post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.

In this year in the month Audynaesus, on January 23rd according to the Romans, Thomas became patriarch in Constantinople; he was a former deacon of the Great Church, *sacellarius* of the patriarch and in charge of appointments.⁴¹³

Olympiad 347

608 Indiction 11, year 6, the 4th post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.

609 Indiction 12, year 7, the 5th post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.

At this point one can prove that from when the thrice-blessed Constantine terminated his life up till the 22nd of the month May in the current tax period 12, the year 7 of the reign of Phocas, 272 years are completed and the 273rd starts from the 22nd of the [p.698] month May in indiction 12. For if in that year Pentecost occurred on the 22nd of the month May, the Resurrection indubitably fell on the third of the month April, so that the first day of that April began on a Friday. Therefore, add a quarter to the 272 years, that is 68, and it becomes 340; divide this by 7, and there is a residue of 4; and so since April began then on a Friday, count Friday, Sabbath, Lord's Day, Monday, and thereafter the 273rd year begins on a Tuesday. It follows then that the April in the current year 12 of the solar cycle, year 19 of the lunar cycle, begins on the third day of the week, which in fact it does. Furthermore I have perused both the Easter tables and the consular lists, and have found that this calculation is concordant. And so from the death of Constantine until now there are 272 years, while from his twentieth anniversary, 284 complete years. Easter indeed fell on third of April 272 years ago in year 13 of the moon's cycle, in the second year of Olympiad 279. There have

⁴¹² 606 Death of Cyriacus: cf. Theoph. 293. 26. This notice provides useful confirmation that the 5th-c. practice of burying patriarchs in the Holy Apostles was still maintained, and that the church had not yet become reserved for imperial tombs (earlier evidence cited by Grierson, 'Tombs' 6 n. 26).

⁴¹³ 607 Appointment of Thomas: cf. Theoph. 293. 26-8, who dates his election to 11 Oct. (apparently 606, which would precede CP's date for the death of Cyriacus); as *sacellarius* Thomas had been the church's chief treasurer.

been 272 consuls from Felicianus and Tatianus up till the Easter of year 19 of the solar cycle which is now current.⁴¹⁴

In this year was completed the composite column which was built by Phocas the emperor, as well as the cistern, by the [p.699] eastern part of the church of the 40 Saints near the bronze Tetrapylon.⁴¹⁵

⁴¹⁴ 609 Chronological computation: this calculation seems to have been intended as a means of cross-checking the chronological accuracy of the period of history for which the author of CP had himself devised at least part of the chronological framework; down to Constantine's 20th year, Eusebius provided the basic framework (see the computation from the creation *s.a.* 325, with n. 48). This computation enables the revolt against Phocas and the start of Heraclius' reign to be correctly located in their place in the progress of Christian history.

The author first reckoned the lapse of time from Constantine's death as 272 full years: this could be done by counting Olympiads from year 2 of Olympiad 279 (CP's Olympiad date for Constantine's death) to year 2 of Olympiad 347, a total of 68 Olympiads. Constantine had died at Pentecost 337, 22 May, which entailed that Easter in that year fell on 3 April and 1 April fell on Friday. This is then related to the day for 1 April in the current year: each year the calendar advances by one day of the week, except in leap years when it advances by two days, so by taking the time lapse of 272 years, adding an extra day for each leap year (= 68), and by dividing this figure by seven for the days of the week, the remainder gives the number of days by which 1 April had advanced from the base year. The calculation is then cross-checked by reference to Easter Tables and consular lists (Felicianus and Tatianus were consuls in 337), which involves a restatement of the conclusions. For lunar and solar cycles, cf. n. 384.

The essentials of the computation are correct (in spite of the occasional confusions in CP's consular list in the 6th c.). The Bonn text mistakenly records the years from Constantine's anniversary as 281 (*ΣΤΙΑ*), misreading the correct figure in the MS, 284 (*ΣΤΙΔ*). There is an analogous computation *s.a.* 616.

⁴¹⁵ Column: located to the east of the church of the 40 Martyrs, which had been built by Tiberius and Maurice on the south side of the Mese between the Tetrapylon (cf. n. 262) and the Forum of Theodosius; this church had replaced a *praetorium* (Theoph. 267. 29-31, *Patria* iii. 46, p. 234; Janin, *Églises* 483-6, nos. 3 & 6), probably the *praetorium* of the praetorian prefect, cf. n. 353. Phocas' column, surmounted by Heraclius' Cross (CP *s.a.* 612), survived into the Middle Ages, providing useful evidence for topographical reconstruction of Cpl., see Mango, *Const.* 31 n. 52. *Parast.* 74 records that in the 7th year of his reign Phocas eagerly organized the erection of a statue of himself behind the Magnaura (at the east end of the Augustaum, see n. 357), which was erected only 18 days before his death; if one can trust that *Parast.* is referring to a different monument, these constructions suggest that Phocas was trying to stamp his image on public spaces in the capital, perhaps in an attempt to counter his growing unpopularity.

Cistern: Mango, *Const.* 51 n. 1; the population of Cpl. was still large enough to require the maintenance of its extensive water collection and storage arrangements, and even the thrifty Maurice had contributed to the repair of the aqueduct system (Theophylact viii. 13. 17).

In this year Africa and Alexandria rebelled; and the Father of Alexandria was slain by opponents.⁴¹⁶ Isacius also was deposed from Jerusalem, and Zacharias, a former presbyter and *skeuophylax* of the church of Constantinople, took his place;⁴¹⁷ and Edessa came under Persian control.⁴¹⁸

610 Indiction 13, year 8, the 6th post-consulship of Phocas Augustus.

In this year in the month Dystrus, on March 20th according to the Romans, a Friday, Thomas patriarch of Constantinople died, and his funeral was held on the 22nd of the same month, a Sunday. And on the 18th of the month Artemisius, April according to the Romans, in the same indiction 13, on Easter Eve, Sergius became patriarch of Constantinople; he had been a deacon of the Great Church of Constantinople, responsible for poor relief and guardian of the harbour.⁴¹⁹

And at the end of the month September in the 14th indiction, it

⁴¹⁶ Revolt against Phocas: cf. Theoph. 295. 27-296. 3, 297. 5-10; Nic. 3. 6-4. 1; see A. J. Butler, *The Arab Conquest of Egypt* (2nd ed., Oxford 1978), chs. 1-3; Bury, *HLRE* [1] ii. 203-6. Plotting against Phocas in Africa, which was governed by Maurice's former general Heraclius and his brother Gregory, started in mid-608: the Carthage mint began striking *solidi* for the exarch Heraclius, father of the future emperor, in indiction 11, 607/8, see P. Grierson, 'The Consular Coinage of "Heraclius" and the Revolt against Phocas of 608-10', *Numismatic Chronicle* x, 1950, 71-93. The revolt then received encouragement from enemies of Phocas in the capital, including the emperor's son-in-law Priscus. The first move of the rebels was to stop the grain ships to restrict Cpl.'s food supply, and to this end they also sent Nicetas, son of Gregory, to Egypt to spread the revolt; for events in Egypt, where Phocas' henchman Bonosus arrived to confront the rebels, but was defeated at Alexandria, see J. Nik. 107-9. Theodore, patriarch of Alexandria (608-9), was a supporter of Phocas (J. Nik. 107. 6, 17-18).

⁴¹⁷ Jerusalem: in 609 there was also serious unrest in Antioch and Palestine, which was quelled by Bonosus; he originally planned to kill the patriarch of Jerusalem (Strat. 3. 11-4. 8), and the dispatch of a senior Cpl. priest (*skeuophylax* = sacristan) to replace Isacius was probably part of the reassertion of imperial control.

⁴¹⁸ Persian capture of Edessa: Theoph. 299. 14-15 dates this to May 611 (by implication: indiction 14 at 298. 15); the month may be right, but CP's date of 609 is supported by *Chron.* 724 (Seleucid Era 921 = AD 609/10).

⁴¹⁹ 610 Sergius: Theophanes records the change of patriarch in Cpl. only in the tables prefacing the year (p. 296. 12; *a.m.* 6101 = AD 608/9, one year early), but the author of CP shows more interest in Sergius (probably his patron) than in the gathering momentum in the provinces of Heraclius' revolt. Easter Day fell on 19 April in 610: the date 18th is correct in the MS, but misreported in the Bonn text, which prints 8th. The description of Sergius as 'guardian of the harbour' is obscure, but suggests the importance of clergy in civic affairs at this time; it may be connected with the reception of grain for poor relief.

was announced that Anastasius, who was patriarch of Antioch, the former *scholasticus*, had been killed by soldiers.⁴²⁰

In this year in the month Hyperberetaeus, on October 3rd according to the Romans, indiction 14, a Saturday, a number of ships appeared by the circular fort, and in them indeed was Heraclius the son [p.700] of Heraclius. And then on this day, towards evening, Phocas entered from the suburban palace of the Hebdomon, and came on horseback to the Palace in the city.⁴²¹

And on the following day, that is to say the Lord's Day, when the ships drew near to the city, Bonosus, who had performed the dreadful deeds in Antioch the Great at the injunction of Phocas, and at the instigation of Theophanes of execrated memory,⁴²² – this Bonosus, who was then in the city, fled after himself setting fire to the vicinity of Caesarius' quarter and being unsuccessful; he went in a skiff to the harbour of Julian to the so-called quarter

⁴²⁰ Anastasius II: patriarch 598/9–609. Theophanes 296. 17–21 records his death under *a.m.* 6101 (AD 608/9), before the dispatch of Bonosus to the east (cf. n. 416), and states that he was mutilated and killed by Jews. Although J. D. Frendo ('Who killed Anastasius II?', *Jewish Quarterly Review* lxxii, 1982, 202–4) raises doubts about the mutilation, Theophanes may still be correct in crediting the murder to the Jews: Jacob the Jew was involved in the disturbances at Antioch in Phocas' reign (*Doctrina Iacobi* p. 39. 7–9), Michael the Syrian (x. 25, p. 379) also blames them for the murder (but at xi. 1, p. 401, the murderers are not identified), and CP, though a contemporary witness, only records how the death was reported at Cpl. ('it was announced'). The long interval between Anastasius' death (probably in 609) and the arrival of the news in Cpl. in Sept. 610, was perhaps due to the disorganized state of the empire.

⁴²¹ Arrival of Heraclius: there are four other main accounts of Heraclius' coup, John of Antioch fr. 218f, John of Nikiu 109. 25–110. 9, Theoph. 298. 15–299. 8; Nic. 4. 1–5. 7, but CP has some unique details and precise dates. It is not always easy to reconcile these accounts, particularly those of CP and John of Antioch. CP presents the perception of an eye-witness in the capital: Heraclius is not mentioned until his fleet comes into sight. George of Pisidia's *In Heraclium ex Africa redeuntem* celebrates Heraclius' return, but supplies no factual details.

Heraclius, son of Heraclius the governor of Africa, had set out against Phocas with a fleet in mid-summer; after spending some time in the Sea of Marmara while his support increased, he continued his advance on the capital by sea whereas Phocas, expecting him to attack by land, had garrisoned the Long Walls but then had to withdraw.

Circular fort: situated outside the city walls, near the Hebdomon (cf. Proc. *Bld.* iv. 8. 4); Heraclius waited in full view of the city while dissension within the walls undermined Phocas' attempts at opposition.

⁴²² Bonosus: for his activities in the east, cf n. 416: he had been defeated by Nicetas in Egypt and returned to Cpl. shortly before the appearance of Heraclius.

Theophanes 'of execrated memory': otherwise unknown.

of Maurus; being cornered, he threw himself into the sea, and after receiving a sword blow from an excubitor when he was in the sea, he died; when his corpse was cast ashore, he was dragged along, brought to the Ox, and burnt.⁴²³

And on the 6th of the same month, as Monday was dawning, Photius, the curator of the palace of Placidia, and Probus the patrician seized Phocas stark naked from the Archangel in the Palace, and led him off through the harbour in the direction of the mansion of Sophia; after throwing him into a skiff, they

⁴²³ Sunday 4th: CP concentrates on the activities of the villain Bonosus who is presented as a scapegoat, whereas the main alternative account in John of Antioch (fr. 218f. 3-5) emphasizes the role of the circus factions and the equivocal behaviour of Priscus, son-in-law of Phocas and *comes excubitorum* (cf. n. 406). John of Nikiu 109. 25-110. 3 mentions both Bonosus and the significant role of the factions, especially the Greens.

According to John of Antioch, certain Greens liberated Heraclius' mother and fiancée who had been taken hostage; Phocas relied on the circus factions to defend the harbours on the Sea of Marmara at which Heraclius might land, with the Greens taking charge of the harbours of Caesarius and Sophia (i.e. Theodosius and Julian, see Mango, *Const.* 38-40, 55). The Greens set fire to the harbour of Caesarius after being incited by the charioteer Calliopas Trimolaimes who had arrived by boat. (Tiftixoglu, 'Helenianai' 85, plausibly suggests that Calliopas was a disgraced charioteer who had taken refuge with Heraclius and was now being sent back to incite unrest among his former fans.) Bonosus came to the harbour, but was attacked by the Greens and sought refuge in a boat; Priscus throughout had bided his time, first feigning illness, then summoning excubitors and *bucellarii* to the hippodrome of his mansion at the Boraïdon (for which, cf. n. 271), and finally using the charioteer Calliopas to liaise with the excubitors.

Cameron (*Factions* 284-5) used CP's failure to mention the role of the Green faction in the coup as proof that their involvement was unimportant, but CP may be presenting a quasi-official version that was reluctant to give any credit for Heraclius' success to the unruly factions. On the other hand, John of Antioch's presentation of Priscus' actions may reflect the latter's desire to gain maximum credit for his switch of allegiance to the new regime.

Caesarius' quarter: i.e. the vicinity of the harbour of Theodosius. It is impossible to determine who was responsible for the burning of this area: the Greens might have started the fire as an act of rebellion, or Bonosus could have done so in an attempt to curb the Greens' disloyalty (cf. the burning of the Octagon during the Nika Riot, n. 356), but the conflagration marked the end of co-ordinated opposition. The concentration of attention on the harbours might indicate that the rest of this coast was inaccessible, which would support the existence of a sea wall on this side, cf. n. 243.

Quarter of Maurus: near (perhaps to the west of) the harbour of Julian, Janin, *Const.* 387.

The Ox: Forum Bovis, on the Mese between the Forum Amastrianum and that of Arcadius (Mango, *Const.* 28); the site of a furnace (perhaps in the form of an ox's head) used for public burnings, Janin, *Const.* 69-71; cf. *Parast.* 42.

displayed him to the ships; and then they brought him to Heraclius. And his right arm was removed from the shoulder, as well as his head, his hand was impaled on a sword, and thus it was paraded along the Mese, starting from the Forum. His head [p.701] was put on a pole, and thus it too was paraded around. The rest of his body was dragged along on the belly, and was brought in the direction of the Chalce of the Hippodrome.⁴²⁴ Behind his corpse Leontius the Syrian, the former *sacellarius*, was also dragged: as he was still breathing, someone gave him a blow with a piece of wood by the Chalce of the Hippodrome, and then he died. His head was removed and then his corpse and that of Phocas were borne off to the Ox, where they were burnt. The race-starter and the sergeant of the city prefect, who was nicknamed 'former demoniac', were likewise burnt at the Ox.⁴²⁵

And about the ninth hour of the same Monday, Heraclius was crowned emperor in the most holy Great Church by Sergius patriarch of Constantinople.⁴²⁶ And on the following day,

⁴²⁴ Death of Phocas: on Monday, which was 5 Oct. Nicephorus 4. 19-25 adds that Phocas was dressed in a black loincloth (the garb of a criminal); John of Antioch fr. 218f. 6 and Nic. 4. 25-7 record an exchange of insults between Heraclius and Phocas before the latter's death. John of Nikiu 110. 5-6 locates Phocas' death in the presence of Heraclius at the church of St. Thomas (near the harbour of Sophia, Janin, *Églises* 248-50), and records the mutilation of his body differently (in retaliation for the rape of Photius' wife).

Palace of Placidia: cf. *CP s.a.* 385 with n. 167; *curatores*: cf. n. 403.

Archangel: there was an oratory dedicated to Michael inside the Palace near the Kochlias, and possibly also a larger chapel (Janin, *Églises* 342, 344 nos. 11, 15: the distinction, solely on grounds of size, is not conclusive).

Mansion of Sophia: located near the harbour of Sophia, where Heraclius was docked (Theoph. 299. 6); see Averil Cameron, 'Sophiae' 13-15.

Forum: that of Constantine; Chalce of the Hippodrome: cf. n. 316.

⁴²⁵ Phocas' associates: their precise crimes are unknown. Phocas' brother Domentziolus was among the victims burnt at the Ox (Nic. 5. 4-5, J. Ant. fr. 219f. 6). For the Ox, cf. n. 423.

Leontius: as *sacellarius* or treasurer (Bury, *IA* 84-6) he may have been involved in confiscations of property. John of Nikiu (110. 4-5) says that Leontius was killed with Phocas at the church of Thomas after he had co-operated with Phocas in throwing the imperial treasures into the sea. It is uncertain whether this Leontius is to be identified with the city prefect mentioned *s.a.* 603.

Race-starter (*ὑαμνδρῆς*): probably a Hippodrome functionary implicated in Phocas' regime through the emperor's exploitation of the Blue faction as vocal and physical supporters. Sergeant (*ραφεώρης*) of the city prefect: responsible for supervising arrests. Only *CP* mentions these lesser officials.

⁴²⁶ Coronation of Heraclius: Theophanes 299. 8-14 records that the ceremony was performed in the oratory of Stephen within the Palace (see Janin, *Églises* 473-4), and that immediately afterwards Sergius conducted the marriage of

Tuesday, while a race meeting was being held, the head of Leontius the Syrian was brought in and burnt in the Hippodrome, along with the image of Phocas which, during his lifetime, foolish men wearing white robes had conducted into the Hippodrome with lighted candles. At the same time the Blue flag was also burnt.⁴²⁷

611 Indiction 14, year 1 in the reign of Heraclius Augustus.

From the 7th inclusive of the month October of the present indiction 14 up till the thirteenth of the month January of the same [p.702] indiction, it was recorded in the records as, 'In the reign of Heraclius'. And from the 14th inclusive of the same month for the ensuing year, namely until the completion of December of year 15 of the tax period, it was recorded as follows, 'And in the consulship of our same most pious lord'. For even though he did not parade in a chariot, still it was decreed that it should be credited to him as a consulship.⁴²⁸

In this year in the month Xanthicus, on April 20th according to the Romans, a Tuesday, at the 7th hour, there occurred a great earthquake, with the result that before Pentecost on the 22nd of the same month, a Thursday, it was necessary for a litany to be held in the Campus and the Trisagion to be chanted.⁴²⁹

Heraclius and Eudocia. Nicephorus (5. 10-16) mentions that Heraclius initially proposed Priscus as emperor, but was then himself proclaimed by the senate and people. John of Nikiu (110. 9) places the coronation, said to be against the will of Heraclius (cf. *CP* p. 708. 5-9), in the church of St. Thomas.

⁴²⁷ Race meeting: the Blues had been closely identified with Phocas in Cpl. and throughout the empire to such an extent that when Heraclius entered the capital they had fled to St. Sophia for asylum (J. Nik. 110. 3). The burning of the Blue flag in the Hippodrome was symbolic punishment and humiliation for these opponents. The ceremony organized by Phocas for the honouring of his image resembles the anniversary celebrations initiated by Constantine (*CP* p. 530. 2-11); Phocas may have introduced his own image and possibly also revived the ceremony, if it had lapsed, cf. n. 56.

⁴²⁸ **611** Heraclius' consulship: the Ides (13th) of Jan. were the occasion of the first important race meeting of the new year (at which the Nika Riot broke out in 532), and so provided a suitably festive context to which the fictitious consulship could be attached. Shortage of money is the most likely reason for Heraclius' failure to assume the consulship. From 612 onwards *CP*'s year headings incorporate the fictional consulship. An imperial consulship was now regarded as an essential part of the ruler's title as well as of the dating mechanisms for his reign (cf. n. 394). This notice illustrates the concern of the chronicler, and presumably also of officials in Cpl., with precise chronological definition.

⁴²⁹ Earthquake: not recorded elsewhere. The Campus Martius at the Hebdomon was an appropriate open space at which to offer prayers to God to avert further manifestations of divine anger, and was used for annual commemorations of the earthquake of 447 (cf. *ss.aa.* 447, 450 with nn. 256, 262).

And on the 7th of the month July in the same indiction 14, a Wednesday, at hour 8, Epiphania the daughter of Heraclius and Eudocia was born at the suburban palace of Hieria.⁴³⁰

Olympiad 348

612 Indiction 15, year 2, post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

In this year in the month Artemisius, on May 3rd according to the Romans, a Wednesday, Heraclius II Constantine, son of Heraclius and Eudocia, was born in the suburban palace of Sophianae.⁴³¹

And on the 13th of the month August in the same indiction 15, a Sunday, the Augusta Eudocia, who was also called Fabia, died at the suburban palace of Blachernae. And when her body had been conveyed [p.703] by boat to the Palace in the city, on the following day her funeral was held and she was buried at the Apostles.⁴³²

And on the 4th of Hyperberetaeus, the month October according to the Romans, in indiction 1, a Wednesday, the child Epiphania, who was also called Eudocia, was crowned in St. Stephen in the Palace. Seated in a chariot and escorted by Philaretus the *cubicularius* and *chartularius* and by Synetus the *castrensis*, she departed as is customary to the Great Church.⁴³³

For the Trisagion chant used at time of earthquake, cf. *s.a.* 533 with n. 373.

430 Birth of Epiphania: cf. Theoph. 299. 18-20 (also recording her baptism at Blachernae on 15 Aug.).

Palace of Hieria: built by Justinian on the Asiatic shore south of Chalcedon (Proc. *Bld.* i. 11. 16-22); a favourite residence for Heraclius (see Janin, *Const.* 148-50).

431 612 Birth of Heraclius II Constantine: cf. Theoph. 300. 7-9, Nic. 5. 30-1.

Sophianae palace: built by Justin II on the Asiatic shore of the Bosporus, probably at Çengelköy (see Averil Cameron, 'Sophia' 11-18).

432 Death of Eudocia: cf. Theoph. 300. 9-10, though with the date 14 Aug. (possibly by confusion with the funeral). Nicephorus (7. 12-27) records that Eudocia died of epilepsy, and that her funeral was marred by a strange incident when a foreign maidservant accidentally spat from an upstairs window onto the open coffin and was promptly burnt to death by the outraged crowd of mourners.

Palace of Blachernae: located close to the sanctuary of the Virgin, which was just outside the city walls on the Golden Horn, Janin, *Const.* 123-5; cf. n. 478.

433 Coronation of Epiphania Eudocia: cf. Theoph. 300. 12-14. Oratory of St. Stephen: cf. n. 426. Officials of the imperial bedchamber (*cubiculum*) attended the child: Philaretus was probably *chartularius* (secretary) to the *castrensis* (majordomo), see Jones, *LRE* 567-8.

In the same year in the month Apellaeus, on December 5th according to the Romans, a Tuesday, Priscus the *comes excubitorum* became a cleric, and in his place Nicetas the patrician was made *comes excubitorum*.⁴³⁴

In this year the venerable Cross was placed on top of the composite column to the east of the church of the 40 Saints, while Theodore the former notary of the imperial household was city prefect.⁴³⁵

613 Indiction 1, year 3, the 2nd post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

In this year in the month Audynaesus, on January 22nd according to the Romans, a Monday, the child Heraclius II Constantine was crowned emperor by his father Heraclius in the Palace; and straightway he ascended into the Hippodrome and there, wearing the crown, he received obeisance from the senators as emperor, and was acclaimed [p.704] by the factions; and so, carried by Philaretus, he departed to the Great Church with his father.

And from this 22nd of the month January, it was ordered that

⁴³⁴ Priscus and Nicetas: for details, see Nic. 5. 31-7. 11. Nicetas, the cousin of Heraclius who had been responsible for capturing Egypt from Phocas, had only reached Cpl. in 612, when he was elevated to the rank of patrician. Priscus, son-in-law of Phocas, had been sent by Heraclius to Cappadocia to command operations against the Persians in Caesarea, but he had there fallen out with Heraclius (Nic. 5. 16-29). The baptism of Heraclius II Constantine provided an opportunity to demote Priscus, who was lured to the ceremony by the prospect of being the child's godfather; once inside the Palace away from the protection of his bodyguards, Priscus was forced to become a monk and retired to the monastery of the Chora (the modern Kariye Camii, near the Edirne Gate, Janin, *Églises* 531-8), where he died a year later. At the same time, Heraclius raised his brother Theodore to the post of *curopalatus*, and gave Priscus' command in the east to Philippicus, formerly brother-in-law and general of Maurice, thus establishing the loyalty of a key circle of officials (Bury, *HLRE* [1] ii. 210-11). *Comes excubitorum*: cf. n. 406.

⁴³⁵ Composite column: the column whose construction by Phocas is recorded *s.a.* 609, cf. n. 415. *Patria* ii. 64 (p. 185) mentions the column with the Cross (wrongly attributing it to Constantine), and Nicephorus Callistus (*PG* cxdvi. col. 121) records a line of the inscription which Heraclius added on this occasion (though Nicephorus claims that the Cross was merely being restored after toppling in an earthquake in Maurice's 18th year; cf. Mango, *Const.* 31). It is not clear how Phocas had intended to decorate the column; Heraclius' choice of a Cross is in accordance with his Christian presentation of his coup, as for example the icons of the Virgin on his boats in 610 (Theoph. 298. 17).

Notary: see Jones, *LRE* 572-5, Guiland, *Recherches* i. 306 (= *REB* xiv, 1956, 133). 'Notary of the imperial household' perhaps distinguishes the post from ecclesiastical notaries; cf. *s.a.* 528 for Peter, former notary of the emperor.

it be recorded, after the introductory 'In the name of...', as 'In the reign of our most sacred lords and greatest benefactors, in year 3 of Flavius Heraclius the most pious, and in year 2 after his consulship, and in year 1 of Flavius Heraclius II Constantine his God-protected son, the eternal Augusti and emperors.'⁴³⁶

614 Indiction 2, year 4, the 3rd post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd of the month January, it is recorded as year 2 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year in about the month June, we suffered a calamity which deserves unceasing lamentations. For, together with many cities of the east, Jerusalem too was captured by the Persians, and in it were slain many thousands of clerics, monks, and virgin nuns. The Lord's tomb was burnt and the far-famed temples of God, and, in short, all the precious things were destroyed. The venerated wood of the Cross, together with the holy vessels that were beyond enumeration, was taken by the Persians, and the Patriarch Zacharias also became a prisoner. [p.705] And this has not taken a long time to come to pass, not even a whole month, but a few days.⁴³⁷

⁴³⁶ 613 Coronation of Heraclius II: Theophanes 300. 14-16 dates this to 25 Dec. 612. Although G. Ostrogorsky (*BNJ* vii, 1930, 29 n. 2; followed by Stein, 'Post-consulat' 891) preferred Theophanes' date because Christmas seemed a suitable occasion for this ceremony, 22 Jan. was regularly taken as the start of Heraclius Constantine's regnal years, and this remains inexplicable unless it was the actual date of his coronation, as recorded by *CP*. Throughout the rest of the chronicle each year is introduced by a sentence recording the change in the regnal year of Heraclius II on 22 Jan.

⁴³⁷ 614 Capture of Jerusalem: cf. Theoph. 300. 30-301. 5 (*a.m.* 6106, i.e. AD 614/5, one year late); Nic. 15. 5-9. The best account is a sermon by Antiochus Strategius, a monk of the Mar Saba monastery, who witnessed the sack; also Sebeos ch. 24. When the Persian armies approached, the Patriarch Zacharias attempted to negotiate a surrender since there seemed to be no prospect of relief from the emperor, but this was thwarted, apparently by members of the circus factions (*Strat.* 2. 5).

Date: Strategius (8. 5) records that the attack began on 15 April and that the city was stormed on the 21st day of a siege efficiently prosecuted by the Persian general Shahvaraz; this should be 5 May. Strategius, however, delivered his sermon on 20 May, apparently in commemoration of the day of the sack. Sebeos states that Jerusalem was captured on the 19th day of the siege, 10 days after Easter, the 28th day of the month Margats (equated with 26 May by Macler, *ad loc.*, 19 May by Thomson, *Artsrunik* p. 156 n. 3, who suggests it should be 10 days after Ascension). A date towards the end of May would be consistent with *CP*'s reference to June, if the latter is taken as the date when the disaster was reported at Cpl.

Sebeos records 35,000 captives and 57,000 dead; Strategius numbers the

And on the 14th of Gorpiaeus, the month September according to the Romans, in the third indiction, the precious sponge was fastened onto the life-giving Cross at the third Exaltation and was itself also exalted with it in the most holy Great Church, since it had been dispatched by Nicetas the patrician.

And on the 28th of Hyperberetaeus, the month October according to the Romans, a Saturday, on the eve before the Lord's Day, the precious spear was brought from the Holy Places, since one of those close to the accursed Salvaraz, after it had been captured by them, had given it to the aforementioned Nicetas. And straightway, on the same Lord's Day, it was proclaimed in the most holy Great Church that it had been brought. And on the Tuesday and Wednesday it was venerated by men, and on Thursday and Friday by women.⁴³⁸

615 Indiction 3, year 5, the 4th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

dead as 66,509, and records that the local Jewish population contributed to the total by ransoming Christian captives from the Persians and killing them; cf. Theoph. loc. cit. The survivors, led by the Patriarch Zacharias, and the booty including the True Cross were removed to Persia. The fate of the True Cross is uncertain, since Strategius states that the Persians forced the captives to march over it; however, it later became convenient to assert that the relic had survived intact in Persia in the possession of Khusro's Christian wife Shirin, until it was surrendered to Heraclius in 629/30; see further Mango, 'Deux Études' 113-14.

The author of *CP* seeks to highlight these events, which he clearly regarded as catastrophic, by a brief attempt at an uncharacteristically flowery style, e.g. opening sentence (cf. p. 716. 9-10), tricolon of 'clerics, monks and virgin nuns' (cf. p. 716. 15-16), 'far-famed temples', and the periphrastic last sentence.

⁴³⁸ Relics: the organization of new religious ceremonies in *Cpl.* is an aspect of official attempts to restore the morale of the populace after the catastrophe of the capture of Jerusalem, cf. n. 440. The loss of the main relic of the Cross concentrated attention on other physical adjuncts of the Crucifixion. Nicetas, now commander of the Byzantine forces in the east, had so few troops at his disposal that he could do little more than organize support for refugees from Palestine who fled to Egypt; it was presumably during this relief work that he acquired the sacred sponge and spear. At some point Shahvaraz, 'the accursed Salvaraz', became a Christian: for Christianity in his family, see Mango, 'Deux Études' 105-17.

Dates: the Feast of the Invention of the Cross, at which the Cross was exalted, was originally celebrated on 14 Sept. (cf. *s.a.* 334 with n. 62): this date was subsequently associated with Heraclius' restoration of the Cross to Jerusalem in 630, an event which actually occurred in March. In 614, 28 Oct. fell on a Monday: the date should probably be 26 Oct.

Worship: men and women were segregated in different parts of the church (see Mathews, *Churches* 130-4): the designation of separate days for the sexes would appear to be an extension of this practice to allow both men and women to venerate a centrally-placed relic without coming into contact with each other.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 3 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year, under Sergius patriarch of Constantinople, from the 1st week of Lent, in indiction 4,⁴³⁹ a chant was introduced after the 'Let it be directed', at the moment when the pre-sanctified gifts are brought to the altar from the sacristy [p.706] after the priest has said, 'In accordance with the gift of your Christ', the congregation at once begins, 'Now the powers of the heavens are invisibly worshipping with us: for behold, the king of glory enters in. Behold, the mystic and perfect sacrifice is being escorted. In faith and fear let us approach, so that we may become partakers in eternal life. Alleluia!'. This is chanted not only during Lent as pre-sanctified offerings are brought in, but also on other days, whenever there are pre-sanctified offerings.⁴⁴⁰

In this year, there was made by law a six-gram silver coin, and imperial payments were made with it, and at half their old rate.⁴⁴¹

⁴³⁹ 615 Date: the indiction date is one year out – it should either be indiction 3 or the notice belongs in AD 616. See Appendix 3 for discussion of Ericsson's postulated textual transposition.

⁴⁴⁰ Liturgical change: the chant was inserted at the Great Entrance, when the consecrated bread and wine were brought into the church; this moment could be treated as symbolic of Christ's entry into Jerusalem, and the new chant may have been meant to focus the congregation's thoughts on the recovery of the Holy City, by increasing their participation in the liturgy at this solemn moment. In the eastern church during Lent, the full mass was only celebrated on Saturdays, Sundays (not counted in the 40 days of fasting), and Holy Thursday (in honour of the Last Supper); on all other occasions pre-sanctified offerings were used, with a special liturgy. On the liturgy, and the sacristy (*skeuphyllakion*) of St. Sophia, see further Mathews, *Churches* 155-62, esp. 158; Taft, *Great Entrance* 76, 192-4; Brightman, *Liturgies* i. 345-52. 'Let [our prayer] be directed': Psalm 140 (141). 2.

This information on liturgical changes (cf. *s.a.* 624) reflects the interests of the author of CP (probably a member of Sergius' clergy).

⁴⁴¹ Coinage: there appear to have been two elements in the change recorded by CP, first the introduction of a new coin, the hexagram (evidence reviewed by Hendy, *Economy* 494-5, and examined in detail by P. Yannopoulos, *L'Hexagramme, un monnayage byzantin en argent du VIIe siècle*, Numismatica Lovaniensia iii, 1978), and second, perhaps more importantly, the tariffing of this new coin at about double its correct value in terms of its precious metal content. Hendy (loc. cit.) states that the bullion and face value of the hexagram were not greatly discrepant, since it turns up in hoards well beyond imperial frontiers, but for export purposes the coin would have been treated as a weight of silver, not as a unit of currency with a specific value. The introduction of this over-valued coin may have been connected with the quinquennial donative that was now due, as well as with the cost of warfare against the Persians and diplomacy in the Balkans.

Law: presumably to enforce the false valuation, since a law was scarcely

And the Persian commander, who was called Saen, came as far as Chalcedon itself and to the regions of Chrysopolis and Ceconium, and he looked across to the opposite side.⁴⁴² And after receiving gifts from the emperor Heraclius, who personally approached the harbour of Chalcedon in a fleet, he retired, after proclaiming that if certain of us were dispatched on an embassy to Chosroes, there would be peace. And indeed three ambassadors were dispatched from us, namely Olympius

necessary for the introduction of a new coin, whereas the gold/silver ratio (the point at issue here) was established by law (*Cod. Theod.* viii. 4. 27; *Cod. Iust.* x. 78. 1). Because this new coin was used for imperial payments it effectively halved official expenditure internally; the government must also have stipulated that only gold coin would be accepted for tax payments, as the coins would otherwise quickly have passed full circle.

Financial problems: various stories of lost imperial treasure (Nic. 12. 10-14 for Heraclius; J. Nik. 110. 4 for Phocas) reflect a popular awareness of the difficulties. The report that the bronze ox in the Theodosian Forum was melted down for a military treasury (*Parast.* 42) probably points to a very rare use of bronze for soldiers' pay. Hendy (*Economy* 229 n. 55) assumes that the silver equivalent, 24 pounds, of the melted bronze was sent for the army; however, the concluding sentence of *Parast.* 42 implies that the bronze was struck directly into coins, and Heraclius did mint bronze for some military needs (P. Grierson, *Catalogue of the Byzantine Coins in the Dumbarton Oaks Collection and in the Whittemore Collection* ii, pt. 1, Washington 1968, 220). There were other attempts to reduce expenditure: the bronze *folles* was reduced c.616 from approximately 11 to 8 grams, then to 6 grams in the 620s, and 4.5 in the 630s (C. Morrisson, *Catalogue des monnaies byzantines de la Bibliothèque Nationale*, Paris 1970, i. 260). These shortages were not alleviated until Heraclius appropriated the accumulated treasures of the church in 622 (Theoph. 302. 34-303. 3; cf. Nic. 15. 2-4).

⁴⁴² Shahin (Saen) at the Bosphorus: after capturing Jerusalem in 614, the Persians had advanced across Asia Minor to reach the Aegean at Ephesus and the Bosphorus, see C. Foss, 'The Persians in Asia Minor and the End of Antiquity', *EHR* xc, 1975, 721-47. Nicephorus 9. 18-20 notes that Shahin first besieged Chalcedon for a long time. Theophanes records Persian advances to Chalcedon in 607/8 (296. 9-11) and again in 616/7 (301. 15-16, correcting Carthage to Chalcedon), and places embassies from Heraclius to Khusrō in 613/4 (300. 21-5) and 617/8 (301. 21-4), but it is likely that there was only one advance to Chalcedon in these years. There is no reason to reject CP's date.

Ericsson's discussion ('Date' 24-7) of the passage is unconvincing: she postulates that this sentence relates to the arrival of Shahvaraz in 626 (the names of the Persian generals being interchanged), and that before the report of Heraclius' diplomacy there must be a lacuna in which Shahin's attack on Chalcedon was recorded, but this entails pressing Nicephorus' brief mention over-precisely; see further Appendix 3.

Chrysopolis: modern Üsküdar, on the Bosphorus facing Cpl.; Ceconium was also located on the Bosphorus, probably slightly to the north (Janin, *Const.* 494-5, 487).

praetorian prefect, Leontius city prefect, and Anastasius presbyter of the most holy Great Church in Constantinople.⁴⁴³ They also carried written dispatches from our officials to the apostate Chosroes, whose purport is this:

[p.707] 'God, who fashioned all things and sustains them with his might, bestowed upon the race of men as a gift worthy of his goodness the providence of empire, by means of which we are deemed worthy of an untroubled existence or we discover a remedy if we encounter any adversities. Having regard to this divine thing, by which we mean the imperial providence, and to your superabundant clemency above all else, we beseech that you deem us worthy of pardon for daring to make the present recourse to your might, contrary to the former political institution. For we know that in the preceding period the practice prevailed which, when some dispute sprang up between the two states, encouraged their respective sovereigns to resolve the points of dispute by means of communications to each other. But Phocas who plotted against the Roman state dissolved this arrangement: for after secretly corrupting the Roman army in Thrace, he suddenly attacked our imperial city and killed Maurice who piously ruled over us, and his wife, and in addition his children, relatives, and not a few of the officials. And he was not satisfied with his accomplishment of such great evils, but he did not even render the honour that was appropriate to your superabundant clemency, so that thereafter, incited by our faults,

⁴⁴³ Negotiations: cf. Nic. 9. 20-12. 2, which includes (but only in the Vatican recension) a high-flown speech composed for Shahin, who urged on Heraclius the benefits of peace, promising that he spoke for Khusro and would assist in urging Khusro to agree to terms; he subsequently turned against the ambassadors as soon as he reached Persia; Nicephorus notes that Shahin performed obeisance to Heraclius (9. 23-6) and that Khusro executed him for failing to arrest the 'prisoner' (11. 25-30). In fact Shahin survived to die naturally in 626 (Theoph. 315. 23-4), while CP's emphasis on Heraclius' gifts and personal diplomacy as the important factor in securing Shahin's help seems more plausible. There is no confirmation for Stratos' suggestion (*Byzantium* 116-17) that Shahin was persuaded to negotiate by the surprise approach of an army commanded by Philippicus, an army that subsequently vanishes.

Ambassadors: Nicephorus records the same names, but describes Anastasius as the *oikonomos* of the patriarch; subsequently CP also describes Anastasius as *syncellus* (p. 709. 11), the cell-mate of the patriarch: the inclusion on the embassy of a high-ranking ecclesiastic reflects the importance of the church in secular affairs. Leontius: possibly the city prefect mentioned s.a. 603 (although he may have been killed in 610: cf. n. 425). In spite of Shahin's assurances, the ambassadors were maltreated in Persia, where they eventually perished (Nic. 20. 16-20).

you brought the affairs of the Roman state to this great diminution.

[p.708] When he who is now piously ruling over us, together with his father of eternal memory, discovered what had been done by that corrupter, they planned to liberate the Roman state from the great duress of that man. This they in fact achieved, although they found that state humbled by your might. And after the death of the usurper, our emperor wished to take his relatives and to return to his own father in Africa, after urging us to elect the man we wanted as emperor. With difficulty won over by our entreaties, he accepted the sovereignty; and on account of the disturbance prevailing in the two states, and in addition because of the intestine strife, he did not have an opportunity to do what ought to have been done, to present by means of an embassy the honour that was owed to the superabundant might of your serenity.

And so we decided to disregard the custom which we mentioned above and, being insignificant men, to employ entreaty to such a supreme king, by sending certain of us indeed who should be deemed worthy of your footsteps. But because of the intervening events, we have not dared to do this until now. However, when Saen the most glorious Babmanzadag, commander of the Persian army, came to the regions of Chalcedon and met our most pious emperor and ourselves, being entreated by all to hold discussions concerning peace, he said that he did not himself have such power, but that concerning this he would make request of [p.709] your beneficence. But now he has also sent us a dispatch by Spadadavar, promising on oath that your superabundant Might would receive in appropriate manner those dispatched by us and send them off unharmed to return to us, and that it was commanded by your beneficence that this be done. We in turn, having confidence in this sequence of events, and above all in God and in your majesty, have sent off your slaves Olympius the most glorious former consul, patrician and praetorian prefect, and Leontius the most glorious former consul, patrician and city prefect, and Anastasius the most God-loved presbyter and *syncellus*; we beseech that they be received in appropriate manner by your superabundant Might, and that they shortly return to us, securing for us the peace which is pleasing to God and appropriate to your peace-loving Might. We beg too of your clemency to consider Heraclius, our most pious emperor, as a true son, one who is eager to perform the service of your serenity in all things. For if you do this, you will procure a two-fold glory

for yourselves, both in respect of your valour in war and in respect of your gift of peace. And hereafter we shall be in enjoyment of tranquillity through your gifts, which will be remembered for ever, receiving an opportunity to offer prayers to God for your long-lasting prosperity and keeping your benefaction free from oblivion for the eternal duration of the Roman state.'⁴⁴⁴

[p.710]

Olympiad 349

616 Indiction 4, year 6, the 5th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 4 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

From the birth of the Lord Christ up till this consulship, 619 years have been completed and 620 have begun. From this total are subtracted the 33 years until his Crucifixion, and there are left 586. Now 586 years ago the month March began on

⁴⁴⁴ Letter to Khusro: the author of *CP* seems to have had access to an accurate copy of this letter, which displays the periphrastic rhetoric typical of much late Roman diplomacy. The Romans' only resort lay in an appeal to Khusro's better nature, much as Khusro had approached Maurice in 590, but without the supporting argument that legitimate sovereigns should help each other, since Khusro did not accept Heraclius' presentation of himself as the avenger of Maurice. This probably explains why officials, perhaps the senate, rather than the emperor assumed responsibility for the diplomatic initiative (*Stratos, Byzantium* 115-17). Khusro would have rejected overtures from Heraclius as from a usurper, whereas the officials could defend Heraclius' legitimacy as sovereign and offer him to Khusro as a willing adoptive son (*CP* p. 709. 14-17), a similar relationship to that of Khusro to Maurice in 590. Sebeos (ch. 26) preserves a letter that Khusro may have sent in reply to this initiative: Heraclius is presented as a vile slave, a usurper whose God has failed to save him, but is offered pardon, estates, and a pension if he comes to Khusro and asks for forgiveness.

Breakdown in diplomatic relations: Phocas did in fact send the customary embassy at his accession (*Theophylact* viii. 15. 2-7), whereas Heraclius appears not even to have attempted this courtesy. Khusro had exploited Phocas' tyranny as an excuse for war (*Theophylact* viii. 15. 7), and Phocas was blamed as far as possible for the manifold humiliations suffered by the Romans in the early 7th c.

Heraclius' reluctance to assume the throne: cf. *Nic.* 5. 10-16 (he offers the throne to Priscus but is persuaded by senate and people to take it himself); also *J. Nik.* 110. 9. The elder Heraclius died in Africa after his son's accession (*J. Nik.* 110. 13).

Babmanzadag: a rendition of the Persian *Wohu-manahh*, signifying the personification of the Good Spirit (*Justi, IN* 374-5). Spadadavar: more commonly Aspadad in Greek, a military title.

Thursday: for one must add to the 586 the leap years, that is 147, because it is currently a leap year: it comes to 733. Subtract from this 7 times 104, the remainder is 5. Count 5 from the Thursday, and you stop at Monday, which is correct. For the March of the current indiction 4 begins on Monday of the week.⁴⁴⁵

Again if we investigate the periods of the 19-year cycle, we find that 586 years ago, that is to say 30 cycles, it was indiction 11. For 11 up to 11 totals 571; the remainder is 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7; for this is the indiction now current.⁴⁴⁶ Then if we calculate the moon 586 years ago, we find that on the 23rd of that month March, which was on Friday, it was 14 days old, 5, so that the Passover [p.711] of the Jews when the Lord was crucified began on Friday evening. The Lord rose on the following Sunday, which was the 25th of the same month March. This is consistent with the prior determinations of reputable men in the calculation of the heavenly bodies.⁴⁴⁷

⁴⁴⁵ 616 Computation: the reason for this further chronological computation is unclear. The calculation in 609 had already served to verify the author's chronology for the start of Heraclius' reign, and this notice merely adds further confirmation that the author had counted the appropriate number of years since the birth of Christ and the Crucifixion. The first part of the calculation is relatively straightforward, and verifies the date by checking the day of the week on 1 March, using the same method as *s.a.* 609 (when 1 April was verified: cf. n. 414). In AD 31 1 March fell on a Thursday, since the Crucifixion occurred on Friday 23 March in that year (*CP* p. 415. 13). After the passage of 586 years, 1 March would fall 5 days later (counting inclusively), namely on Monday.

⁴⁴⁶ 19-year cycle: the second part of the computation provides a further cross-check with reference to the 'indications' of the 19-year lunar cycle. The Crucifixion had occurred in year 11 of the lunar cycle (*CP* p. 409. 1-2), so that reckoning inclusively from then up to the most recent lunar year 11 gives 571 years (30 cycles of 19, plus one for the inclusive reckoning). Since the Crucifixion occurred 586 years ago, there remained a difference of 15 years between the lunar indiction then and the current one: this difference is then counted through the 19-year cycle, terminating in year 7, the current lunar year.

⁴⁴⁷ Age of moon at Crucifixion: this calculation adds nothing to the cross-checking, but restates the conclusions of the calculation at *CP* pp. 414-15. The age of the moon at the first Easter was a problem in *CP*'s chronology since, whereas the Crucifixion had to occur on a Friday, on the eve of the Jewish Passover (which began when the moon was 14 days old), in *CP*'s *annus mundi* 5540 (year of Crucifixion) the moon was only 13 days old on Friday 23 March. The discrepancy was resolved by a complex reckoning of fractions of days (for which, see Grumel, *Chronologie* 79-80). The significance of the figure 5 (ϵ) after the age of the moon is unclear to us; it might relate to the hour of the night, which is connected with calculations concerning the Crucifixion at *CP* p. 410. 14.

617 Indiction 5, year 7, the 6th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.⁴⁴⁸

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 5 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

618 Indiction 6, year 8, the 7th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 6 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year the recipients of the state bread were requested for 3 coins for each loaf as a levy. And after everyone had provided this, straightway in the month August of the same indiction 6 the provision of this state bread was completely suspended.⁴⁴⁹

619 Indiction 7, year 9, the 8th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 7 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

Olympiad 350

620 Indiction 8, year 10, the 9th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

[p.712] And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 8 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

⁴⁴⁸ 617 Consul: Theophanes 301. 16-18 records a consulship for Heraclius II Constantine on 1 Jan., but this is not attested by *CP* or *Heracl.* Stein ('Post-consulat' 893-4 n. 1) suggested that Theophanes has inserted the notice one indiction cycle (15 years) early, and this is supported by Nic. 22. 26-23. 1.

⁴⁴⁹ 618 Bread ration: as a capital city, Cpl. had received the privilege of a free bread distribution from Constantine (*CP s.a.* 332 with n. 59). Payment was now imposed at a rate of 3 *folles* per loaf (translating *nomismata* as 'coins': cf. p. 706. 9), not 3 '*solidi*' (e.g. Ericsson, 'Date' 19, a confused discussion; Haldon, *Praetorians* 442 n. 354), which would give an impossibly high price for a loaf of bread. (*CP* refers to loaves, not 'bread tickets' as Ericsson loc. cit.) In the famine of 463 bread had sold for 3 *folles* per loaf, according to *CP s.a.* (with n. 281); making allowance for inflation in the intervening 150 years, 3 *folles* was perhaps now not an exceptional charge, and in 626 (cf. *CP s.a.* with n. 456) there was an attempt to increase this to 8 *folles*.

This change, soon to be followed by the suspension of distributions, illustrates both the empire's financial problems and the disruption to the grain supply caused by the Persian capture of Egypt, the normal granary of Cpl.; Persian armies had entered the province in 616, and Alexandria finally surrendered in 619. Nicephorus 12. 4-8 records, not surprisingly, that the loss of Egyptian corn caused a famine and that plague struck soon after.

621 Indiction 9, year 11, post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus year 10.⁴⁵⁰

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 9 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

622 Indiction 10, year 12, post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus year 11.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 10 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

623 Indiction 11, year 13, the 12th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 11 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year in month Daisius, on June 5th according to the Romans, a Sunday, the emperor Heraclius was in the Thracian regions with certain officials, and not only certain property-owners and clergy, but also shopkeepers and partisans from each of the two factions and a considerable throng of others, when the Chagan of the Avars approached the Long Wall with an innumerable throng, since, as it was supposedly rumoured, peace was about to be made between Romans and Avars, and chariot races were about to be held at Heracleia. An innumerable throng, misled by this rumour, came out from the all-blessed city. And about hour 4 of this Lord's Day the Chagan [p.713] of the Avars signalled with his whip, and all who were with him charged and entered the Long Wall, although he remained outside the wall with some of his men; and supposedly he said that he would have both entered the wall and taken the city except that God prevented him. However, his men who entered on this Lord's Day plundered all whom they found outside the city from the west as far as the Golden Gate, together also with the men and animals of various kinds present for whatever reason in the suburbs. They entered both SS Cosmas and Damian at Blachernae, and the Archangel on the far side in the quarter of Promotus; not only did they remove the ciboria and other treasures, but they also broke up the holy altar itself of the church of the Archangel, and without any opposition transported everyone, along with the things removed, to the far side of the Danube.⁴⁵¹

⁴⁵⁰ 621 The MS has a slight variation in the post-consular formula for this year (incorrectly recorded in the Bonn text) the same as in 622; cf. also *s.a.* 626.

⁴⁵¹ 623 Avar attack: on the date of this incident, which we believe that *CP* records correctly, see discussion in Appendix 4.

Heraclius had to establish peace in the Balkans to allow him to concentrate on the Persian campaign to which he was already committed, and his hope in

Olympiad 351

624 Indiction 12, year 14, the 13th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 12 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year in the month Dystrus, March according to the Romans, on the 25th of the month, on the day of the Annunciation of our Lady [p.714] the Mother of God, the emperor Heraclius departed for the eastern regions, together with his children Heraclius and Epiphania, who was also called Eudocia, and the empress Martina. In their company he kept the Easter festival near the city of Nicomedia; after the festival the emperor Heraclius himself with Martina the empress set out for

organizing the meeting with the Avar Chagan seems to have been that Roman expertise at lavish ceremonial might suggest an opulence and strength which the inadequate Roman military forces could not substantiate (cf. Theophylact i. 3. 8-12 for analogous use of lavish gifts by Maurice). This explains the choice of location: it would have been dangerous to admit the Chagan into Cpl., whereas Heracleia was perhaps the nearest city in the Balkans to possess a hippodrome or similar suitable venue for the grand occasion. Heraclius' entourage, ceremonial rather than military, with the circus factions present to provide organized chanting (Cameron, *Factions* 257), also indicates that fighting was not expected.

This incident is also described by Nicephorus (12. 29-14. 10) and Theophanes (301. 26-302. 4). After securing a preliminary promise of goodwill from the Chagan (Nic. 12. 29-13. 10), Heraclius advanced as far as Selymbria (Nic. 13. 14-15), modern Silivri, at the south end of the Long Walls, 40 miles west of Cpl. (cf. n. 322); the Chagan posted troops to the rear of Heraclius, but the latter discovered this and fled ignominiously carrying his crown, at which point the Avars attacked (Nic. 13. 16-28); they ravaged as far as the Hebdomon and the Golden Gate at the south end of the Theodosian walls and the head of the Golden Horn to the north, and apparently took 270,000 captives (Nic. 13. 28-14. 10). In spite of this misfortune, it seems that Heraclius still made an agreement with the Chagan (Theoph. 302. 15-21; Theod. Sync. 302. 4-5; Nic. 17. 16-24): he had no choice, since he could not fight the Persians and the Avars at the same time. The terms recorded by Nicephorus reveal the desperation of the Romans, an annual payment of 200,000 *solidi*, and the surrender as hostages of illegitimate sons of Heraclius and Bonus the patrician, and of Heraclius' nephew Stephen.

Cosmas and Damian: at or near modern Eyüp on the Golden Horn, so that Blachernae is perhaps used loosely for a wide area; see Janin, *Églises* 286-9. Archangel Michael at Promotus: probably identical with the church at Anaplus on the Bosphorus, modern Kuruçesme. Both had been lavishly rebuilt or extended by Justinian, Janin, *Églises* 344-5, 444-5 with 338-40. This attack will have been the occasion for the removal by the Romans from the church to the Virgin at Blachernae of precious metals and the casket containing the Virgin's Robe, as described in the contemporary text translated and discussed by Averil Cameron, 'Robe'; see also Speck, *Bellum Avaricum* 39-41.

the eastern regions,⁴⁵² and Anianus *domesticus* of the *magister* was also with them,⁴⁵³ but his children returned to Constantinople.

In this year in the month Artemisius, May according to the Romans, in the 12th indiction, under Sergius patriarch of Constantinople, it was decided that there should be a chant after everyone had partaken of the Holy Mysteries, when the clergy were about to replace in the sacristy the precious flabella, patens, chalices, and other holy vessels, after the distribution had also been entirely replaced on the holy altar from the credence tables, and the final verse of the Communion had been chanted: this antiphon too should be recited, 'Let our mouth be filled with praise, Lord, so that we may hymn your glory because you have deemed us worthy to share in your Holy Mysteries. Preserve us

452 624 Heraclius' second Persian campaign: for the debate about the date, see Appendix 4. Easter 624 fell on 15 April, and Heraclius' departure for the east shortly afterwards can probably be linked with Theophanes' date of 20 April for Heraclius' 'invasion' of Persia (306. 26-7: see Baynes, 'Date' 113, for the interpretation of this expression to mean 'starting on his march for'). In 622, Heraclius had stayed in the capital to celebrate Easter (4 April) before setting out on campaign (Theoph. 302. 32-4); in 624 he chose a propitious day (the Annunciation) on which to leave Cpl., but still celebrated Easter before his campaign properly began. All this emphasized the religious significance of the Persian war (for which, compare the victory dispatch *sa.* 628). Heraclius was not to return to Cpl. again until after the defeat and overthrow of Khusrō in 628.

CP does not narrate the intervening campaigns (for which, see Bury, *HLRE* [1] ii. 230-43, Stratos, *Byzantium* 151-222), nor mention the preliminary expedition of 622, but the author took little interest in warfare, unless it directly affected Cpl. (see Introduction pp. xxv-xxvi). There were indeed very few decisive battles in 622-7, and these campaigns consisted mainly of important but confusing manoeuvres in the Armenian highlands and sub-Caucasian regions, interspersed with occasional skirmishes. Theophanes, perhaps following lost works of George of Pisidia, did his best to glamorize these, but it was by no means apparent that Heraclius was winning the war. At the time of the 626 siege of Cpl. defeat might have seemed likely, so that the contemporary chronicler prudently waited for conclusive proof in the form of Heraclius' victory dispatch in 628.

Martina: this is the only reference in CP to the empress, who was also Heraclius' niece (their marriage in 613/14 is not recorded); this suggests that the author disapproved of the incestuous union (cf. Nic. 14. 11-15. 2).

453 Anianus: as *domesticus* he was deputy to the *magister officiorum* Bonus, who remained in Cpl.; see Bury, *IA* 50; Haldon, *Praetorians* 145-7. Bury suggested that Anianus went to command the *scholae*, but they were in Cpl. in May 626 (CP *sa.*), and were not commanded in action by the *magister* (Jones, *LRE* 368-9). Part of Anianus' role may have been the difficult task of supervising communications between the emperor and the capital. He subsequently became *magister* (Nic. 24. 6).

in your holiness as we rehearse your justice throughout the whole day. Alleluia!'.⁴⁵⁴

625 Indiction 13, year 15, the 14th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

[p.715] And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 13 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

626 Indiction 14, year 16, post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus year 15.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 14 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year in the month Dystrus, March according to the Romans, an exceedingly bright star appeared for 4 days in the west after sunset.⁴⁵⁵

In this year in the month Artemisius, on May 14th according to the Romans, a Wednesday, at the holy Mid-Pentecost itself, the *scholae* and many others of the multitude congregated in the most holy Great Church and chanted against John who was called Seismos, because he wished to remove the bread of the *scholae* in the name of the soldiers. And the Patriarch Sergius promised to appease the crowd if only they would allow the sacred liturgy to take place.

On the fifteenth of the same month more people were again present in the most holy Great Church, and chanted against the said John. The patriarch, Alexander the praetorian prefect, and certain other officials, including Leontius the *comes Opsariou* and *spatharius*, went up into the *ambo* of the Great Church, and since many chants were made by the assembly against the stated John who was called Seismos [p.716] to the effect that he should no longer participate in affairs of state, that man was demoted and his images were at once destroyed. And Alexander the praetorian prefect made an address, saying, 'From now on you have a grant of bread from me, and I hope that I may speedily make restitution as regards it.' For the said John Seismos, when

⁴⁵⁴ Liturgical change: cf. *s.a.* 615 (with n. 440) for another change. This chant was introduced at the reverse of the Entrance of the Mysteries at the end of the liturgical celebration: Mathews, *Churches* 159, 173; Brightman, *Liturgies* i. 536-7. Both were intended to emphasize that God was present with the congregation, a reliable source of protection in troubled times.

⁴⁵⁵ 626 Star: the sighting of a comet in this year is also reported by Chinese astronomers, J. Williams, *Observations of Comets from B.C. 611 to A.D. 1640 extracted from the Chinese Annals* (London 1871), 40.

a loaf was being sold for 3 *folles*, himself planned to make it cost 8 *folles*. And God destroyed his plan.⁴⁵⁶

It is good to describe how now too the sole most merciful and compassionate God, by the welcome intercession of his undefiled Mother, who is in truth our Lady Mother of God and ever-Virgin Mary, with his mighty hand saved this humble city of his from the utterly godless enemies who encircled it in concert, and redeemed the people who were present within it from the imminent sword, captivity, and most bitter servitude;

⁴⁵⁶ Date: for discussion of Ericsson's attempt to redate this passage to 615, see Appendix 3.

John Seismos: the Earthquake (the noun means 'blackmail' or 'extortion' in 2nd-c. BC papyri: LSJ s.v. 3). His official position is unknown, but the reference to his images indicates that he was important; he might have been city prefect, since this official administered a fund for supplementary purchases of grain, but his actions may have exceeded his legitimate powers. Ericsson ('Date' 21) seeks to identify this John with John Tzittas who plotted against Phocas in 605, but both CP (p. 696. 16) and Theophanes (295. 10-11) distinguish John and Tzittas, and record that all named conspirators were executed.

Scholae: units of guards (*scholariii*), cf. n. 351. Their military effectiveness had by now declined, as indicated by the attempt to divert their rations to soldiers (presumably the troops who had recently arrived to strengthen Cpl.'s defences, cf. n. 461).

Bread ration: for problems in Cpl.'s food supply, cf. n. 449. Strictly the rations of the *scholae* were part of the distribution of civic bread and, if this were remembered, should have been included in the general suspension of bread distribution in 618; however, the *scholae* had defended their privileged rations on other occasions (Cod. Theod. xiv. 17. 8-11 catalogues a dispute in the late 4th c.; cf. Jones, LRE 697) and could well have done so again. Justinian is alleged to have persuaded the *scholae* to renounce their stipends by threatening to send them on active military service (Proc. SH 24. 21; cf. Theoph. 236. 16-20); this seems to parallel the logic of John's action, namely that the privileges of the *scholae* must be sacrificed to military need.

Great Church: the use of St. Sophia for popular demonstrations and mass meetings (cf. CP p. 727. 11) reflects not only the dominance of the Patriarch Sergius, but perhaps also the shrunken population of Cpl. (on which see Mango, Const. 53-5) for whom the Hippodrome was now too large an arena.

Alexander: as praetorian prefect he would have controlled the collection and transport of grain, Jones, LRE 698.

Leontius: his title was most probably *comes Opsikiou* (C. Diehl, BZ ix, 1900, 677); as such he would have commanded the Palace guards (*scholae*) and been an appropriate person to attempt to restore discipline (Haldon, Praetorians 174-5). This Leontius must be distinguished (*contra* Ericsson, 'Date' 22) from the city prefect of 615, who died on embassy to Persia (Nic. 20. 17-18): apart from Ericsson's implausible redating, it is most unlikely that the same individual would hold the civilian post of city prefect and the military one of *comes Opsikiou*. For *spatharius*, cf. n. 264.

no-one will find a means to describe this in its entirety.⁴⁵⁷ For the accursed Salbaras, commander of the Persian army, while he was awaiting (as it seems and was indeed finally revealed by deeds) the arrival of the utterly godless Chagan of the Avars, had for these very many days past been at Chalcedon; he impiously burnt all the suburbs and palaces and houses of prayer, [p.717] and thereafter remained, awaiting the advent of that man.⁴⁵⁸

And so on the 29th of the month June of the present indiction 14, that is on the day of the Feast of the holy and glorious chief

⁴⁵⁷ Siege of Cpl.: there are four other main descriptions of these events, two highly rhetorical accounts by contemporaries, the homily attributed to Theodore Syncellus, and the *Bellum Avaricum* by George of Pisidia (both of which may have been recited in Cpl. in 627), and two later chronicles, Nicephorus (17. 24-19. 2), who is fairly full and includes a few specific details, and Theophanes, who has a brief and generalized version (316. 16-27); cf. Cedr. i. 728. 14-729. 20. Barišić ('Siège' 371-95) has collated the various accounts to produce a composite version; see also Stratos, *Byzantium* ch. 14; Tsangadas, *Fortifications* ch. 9; Speck, *Bellum Avaricum*.

CP's is the most detailed and the fullest narrative, with an introduction couched in a more elaborate style than is usual (e.g. the opening sentence with tricolon at p. 716. 15-16: cf. p. 704. 15-16), but characteristically supplying precise dates and information on the repeated diplomatic exchanges between Romans and Avars, as well as other details. However, events between Monday 4 and Thursday 7 Aug. are omitted, as a result of a lacuna (cf. n. 472). Speck (*Bellum Avaricum* 62-3) suggested that the basis for CP's account was an official report intended for the absent Heraclius, which concentrated on political and military aspects of the siege rather than the religious or miraculous.

The Virgin: see n. 476 for her role, and cf. G. Pisid. BA 1-9 for a similar initial reference to the Virgin. In 623 Cpl. was also thought to have received divine help (CP p. 713. 3-5), hence the opening 'now too'.

⁴⁵⁸ Arrival of Shahvaraz (Salbaras): cf. Theod. Sync. 300. 27-36; siege of Chalcedon: id. 304. 31-2. Although Barišić (390-1) was inclined to regard the joint presence of Persians and Avars as the chance synchronization of independent military action, it is not implausible that the attack had been co-ordinated (cf. Theoph. 315. 7-11, Cedr. i. 727. 11-15; also Nic. 17. 26-18. 2, reading βαρβαροι with the London MS; Stratos, 'Attack' 370-2). In the 6th c. the Persians had shown themselves to be aware of Roman problems in the Balkans (cf. Whitby, *Maurice* 278, 280), and it made sense for the Persians to stir up trouble there to force Heraclius to return west. The Avars could be urged to make a pre-emptive strike before the possible return of a victorious emperor, and they might also have been prompted by unrest among their subjects, for which Roman diplomacy could be held responsible (Stratos, *Byzantium* 315-17). Nevertheless, the siege was primarily an Avar affair, with the Persians as encouraging but ineffectual associates. It marks the high point of Avar power: their intention throughout was to remove the Romans completely from Cpl. (e.g. CP pp. 720. 13-15, 721. 15-21; Nic. 17. 28-18. 2), so that they could take over as the new imperial controller, and their catastrophic humiliation undermined the Chagan's grasp on his subjects and stimulated revolts among subordinate groups.

apostles, Peter and Paul, a vanguard of the God-abhorred Chagan arrived, about 30,000. He had spread the rumour by means of reports that he would capture both the Long Wall and the area within it, and as a result, on the same day, which was a Lord's Day, the excellent cavalry who were present outside the city came inside the new Theodosian wall of this imperial city. The same advance guard remained in the regions of Melantias, while a few of them made sallies at intervals as far as the wall, and prevented anyone from going out or collecting provisions for animals at all.⁴⁵⁹

In the meantime, when as many as ten days in succession had elapsed and none of the enemy appeared near the wall, soldiers went out with camp followers and civilians, with the intention of harvesting a few crops about ten miles distant; it happened that the enemy encountered them, that some fell on either side, and that some of the soldiers' camp followers and of the civilians who had gone out with them were also apprehended. For if it had not happened that the soldiers were diverted to the defence of their camp followers and the civilians, a considerable number of the enemy would have been slaughtered on that day.

Shortly afterwards some of the enemy, as many as 1,000, [p.718] approached the venerated church of the Holy Maccabees on the far side at Sycae; they made themselves visible to the Persians, who had congregated in the regions of Chrysopolis, and they made their presence known to each other by fire signals.⁴⁶⁰

⁴⁵⁹ Avar vanguard: Stratos (*Byzantium* 180-1 with n. XXVI, p. 372) suggested that the Avars also attacked Thessalonica in 626, a distraction which explained why the Avar vanguard arrived a month before the Chagan and the main army, together with its siege equipment which had already been used at Thessalonica. However, as Stratos recognized, it would have been an unwise diversion of resources to assault the two major cities in the Balkans in the same year, and there is no evidence to prove that Thessalonica was besieged in 626 (most scholars prefer an earlier date). The main Avar army, encumbered by large herds of animals, a heavy siege train and portable boats, would naturally have moved slowly; the vanguard, advancing rapidly, was intended to spread terror and prevent the Romans from making last-minute preparations such as the harvesting of crops outside the Theodosian walls to consolidate their food supply (cf. *Miracula S. Demetrii* secs. 198-9, and Theophylact vi. 4. 6 for similar rapid advances).

Melantias: the first stage on the old (inland) Via Egnatia, 18 or 19 miles outside Cpl. near the Athyras stream (Agathias v. 14. 5: the Kotrigurs had camped there in 559) which flows into the Büyük Çekmece inlet west of Cpl. (Mango, *Const.* 32). It was well inside the Long Walls, so that the vanguard's sallies will have penetrated as far as the Theodosian wall.

⁴⁶⁰ Shrine of the Maccabees: at Galata (Janin, *Églises* 313-14), probably on high

In the meantime the accursed Chagan dismissed Athanasius the most glorious patrician from the regions of Adrianopolis, after saying to him, 'Go and see how the people of the city are willing to conciliate me, and what they are willing to give me to make me retire.' And so when the same most glorious Athanasius entered and announced this to Bonus, the most glorious patrician and *magister*, and to the other officials, they reproached him for having thus cringed before the accursed Chagan and for having promised that the people of the city would perform acts of conciliation for him. Then the most glorious Athanasius said that these had been his instructions from the most glorious officials at the time when he was dispatched on embassy; thereafter he had not learnt that the defences had been strengthened thus and that an army was present here; however, he was ready to tell the Chagan without alteration the message given to him. Then, after the same most glorious Athanasius requested that he first wished to inspect the army that was in the city, a muster was held and about 12,000 or more cavalry resident in the city were present. And then the officials gave him a response that was intended by every means to cause the accursed Chagan [p.719] <not> to approach the wall, that is the city. Then, after the most glorious Athanasius had reached the vicinity of that man, he was not received, but the cursed Chagan said that he would not give way at all unless he obtained both the city and those who were in it.⁴⁶¹

ground from which it would have been easy to communicate with the Persians who had moved north from Chalcedon to Chrysopolis (Üsküdar).

⁴⁶¹ Negotiations: it seems that Athanasius had been dispatched to the Chagan to dissuade him from approaching Cpl., perhaps after the appearance of the Avar vanguard. In the meantime, unknown to Athanasius (CP p. 718. 15-22), extra defenders had arrived, sent by Heraclius who had divided his army into three and ordered one section to protect the city (Theoph. 315. 11-13; possibly the Armenians mentioned at CP p. 724. 11); they were perhaps accompanied by written instructions from the emperor about extra precautions in case of siege (G. Pisid. BA 266-92; cf. Theod. Sync. 304. 1-4). Further reinforcements, which arrived at the end of the siege, were brought by Heraclius' brother (CP p. 726. 7-8), presumably Theodore with the detachment of the eastern army that had defeated Shahin (Theoph. 315. 13-24). Speck (*Bellum Avaricum* 46-7) conflates these two separate contingents, contrary to the evidence of CP. However, in spite of these additions, the Roman defenders relied primarily on the strength of Cpl.'s walls (coupled with the superiority of their navy); they did not try to engage the main Avar army outside the walls, and repeatedly attempted to persuade the Chagan to retire. At p. 719. 1, we have followed Du Cange in supplying a negative, 'not to approach...'

Bonus: the *magister*, i.e. *magister officiorum*, see Bury, IA 29-33; Haldon,

On the 29th of the month July the same God-abhorred Chagan reached the wall with the whole of his horde, and showed himself to those in the city.⁴⁶² After one day, that is on the 31st of the same month July, he advanced, arrayed for battle, from the gate called Polyandrium as far as the gate of the Pempton and beyond with particular vigour: for there he stationed the bulk of his horde, after stationing Slavs within view along the remaining part of the wall. And he remained from dawn until hour 11 fighting first with unarmoured Slav infantry, and in the second rank with infantry in corslets.⁴⁶³ And towards evening he stationed a few siege engines and mantelets from Brachialion as far as Brachialion.⁴⁶⁴

Praetorians 444-6 n. 361, with the additional point that CP does not use *magister* for generals. Together with the Patriarch Sergius, guardian of the young Heraclius II Constantine, he had been entrusted by Heraclius with control of the city (Theoph. 303. 3-6, Nic. 15. 16-17, Theod. Sync. 302. 28-30, 305. 16-18). George of Pisidia composed a panegyric in his honour (*In Bonum patricium*, ed. Pertusi, pp. 161-75). The *Patria* (ii. 72, p. 189; cf. Janin, *Const.* 206-7) credits him with the construction of a cistern, which was perhaps connected with preparations for the siege.

⁴⁶² Tuesday 29 July: the Chagan's personal display was intended to intimidate the defenders, and Theodore Syncellus provides an impressionistic account of the terror inspired by the Avars, with their armour glittering in the sun, while the Patriarch Sergius paraded on the walls to counteract this, and Bonus made preparations within the city (305. 13-28); on the next day the Chagan prepared for combat and demanded food, which the defenders graciously supplied without managing to appease him (305. 28-36).

Thereafter the Avars began the siege, which had three main elements: a direct attack against the Theodosian walls where the Avars could deploy their fearsome siege technology and use their subordinates, of whom the most numerous were Slavs, as a human wave; an attempt to bring Persian troops over from Chalcedon by means of Slav canoes; and a naval attack down the Golden Horn, using the Slav canoes to threaten an unprotected (or dilapidated) section of Cpl.'s perimeter.

⁴⁶³ Attack on walls: Theodore gives a very generalized account of the Avar attack 'on the third day' (i.e. 31 July) which was repulsed through the Virgin's miraculous defence (305. 37-306. 12). The Avars had a formidable reputation as besiegers, see *Miracula S. Demetrii* sec. 200; Whitby, *Maurice* 173. They concentrated their attack on the central hilly section of the land walls, from the gate of the Pempton in the Lycus valley, extending about 1 kilometre south to the Polyandrium gate, the modern Yeni Mevlevihane Kapısı near the summit of Cpl.'s 'seventh' hill (Tsangadas, *Fortifications* 90-1, cf. Mango, *Const.* 32-3 with n. 58, 47). However, to keep the defenders occupied, the dispensable Slav infantry and a few siege machines were stationed along the entire length of the land walls. George of Pisidia (BA 217-19) records that about 80,000 barbarians approached the gate of Philoxenus (which is not securely located; see Pertusi, *Giorgio* 215-16).

⁴⁶⁴ Brachialia: literally 'bracelets', these were probably short walls that projected

And again on the following day he stationed a multitude of siege engines close to each other against that part which had been attacked by him, so that those in the city were compelled to station very many siege engines inside the wall. When the infantry battle was joined each day, through the efficacy of God, as a result of their superiority our men kept off the enemy at a distance. But he bound together his stone-throwers and covered them outside with hides; [p.720] and in the section from the Polyandrium gate as far as the gate of St. Romanus he prepared to station 12 lofty siege towers, which were advanced almost as far as the outworks, and he covered them with hides.⁴⁶⁵ And as for the sailors who were present in the city even they came out to assist the citizens. And one of these sailors constructed a mast and hung a skiff on it, intending by means of it to burn the enemies' siege-towers. Bonus the all-praiseworthy *magister* gave commendation to this sailor for having dismayed the enemy not inconsiderably.⁴⁶⁶

But the same most renowned *magister*, after the enemy's approach to the wall, did not cease from urging him to take not only his agreed tribute but also any other condition for the sake of which he had come as far as the wall. And he did not accept, but said, 'Withdraw from the city, leave me your property, and save yourselves and your families.' He was anxious to launch to sea the canoes which he had brought with him, and was prevented by the cutters. Finally he prepared for these to be launched at the bridge of St. Callinicus after a third day of the fighting. It was for this reason that he prepared for the canoes to be launched there, because the area was shallow and the cutters were unable to approach there. But the cutters remained [p.721]

respectively into the Sea of Marmara and the Golden Horn to prevent access around either end of the land walls (cf. Janin, *Const.* 327, Speck, *Bellum Avaricum* n. 148 at 99-100, *contra* Tsangadas 91-3); Const. Porph. *de caer.* i. 18 (p. 108. 19-20) mentions the Brachialion of the Golden Gate which was accessible by boat; also Theoph. 353. 30. Agathias (*Hist.* v. 22. 2) describes comparable projecting walls at the Chersonese.

⁴⁶⁵ Friday 1 Aug.: cf. Theod. Sync. 306. 13-19, for the preparation by the Avars of siege engines, and *Miracula S. Demetrii* sec. 272, for Avar engines covered in hides (to reduce risk of fire). The Avar attack was now concentrated on the short stretch of wall north of the Polyandrium gate as far as St. Romanus (the modern Top Kapısı: Janin, *Const.* 280, 420-1).

⁴⁶⁶ Sailors: presumably the crews not of the Roman warships (who had to be ready to oppose the Slav canoes) but of trading vessels in the capital's harbours; cf. *Miracula S. Demetrii* sec. 209, for sailors from grain ships manning siege engines during an Avar attack on Thessalonica. Heraclius had sent instructions for everyone to be involved in the defence (G. Pisid. BA 293-7).

within sight of the canoes from St. Nicholas as far as St. Conon on the far side at Pegae, preventing the canoes from going past.⁴⁶⁷

On Saturday in the evening, that is on the second of the month August, the Chagan asked for officials to converse with him. And there went out to him George the most glorious patrician, and Theodore the most glorious *commerciarius* for twoad†, and Theodosius the most glorious patrician and logothete, and Theodore *syncellus* most dear to God, and Athanasius the most glorious patrician.⁴⁶⁸ And when they had set out, the Chagan brought into their sight three Persians dressed in pure silk who had been sent to him from Salbaras. And he arranged that they should be seated in his presence, while our ambassadors should stand. And he said, 'Look, the Persians have sent an embassy to me, and are ready to give me 3,000 men in alliance. Therefore if each of you in the city is prepared to take no more than a cloak and a shirt, we will make a compact with Salbaras, for he is my friend: cross over to him and he will not harm you; leave me your city and property. For otherwise it is impossible for you to be saved, unless you can become fish and depart by sea, or birds and ascend to the sky. For look – as the Persians themselves say – neither has your emperor invaded Persia nor [p.722] is your army arrived.' But the most glorious George said to him, 'These men are imposters and do not speak a word of truth, since our

⁴⁶⁷ Naval preparations: the Slavs launched their canoes at the head of the Golden Horn, near the bridge of St. Callinicus over the Barbysses stream (Nic. 18. 18-19, cf. Theod. Sync. 308. 8-10; see also n. 338). They had brought the canoes (*monoxyla*) with them, apparently overland from the Danube (cf. Theoph. 316. 19-21); these may have been simple dugouts, but it is possible that some were rather more sophisticated 'log boats' (for which, see K. Greene, *The Archaeology of the Roman Economy*, London 1986, 20) which could have been dismantled for easier transport, but also been large enough to ferry the Persian cavalry across the Bosphorus. The Roman fleet was deployed across the Golden Horn from St. Nicholas at Blachernae to St. Conon in Galata (Janin, *Églises* 369-71, 283-4) to prevent the Slavs sailing down the Golden Horn. Pegae: the Springs, identified with Kasimpaşa north of the Golden Horn (Janin, *Const.* 463-4).

⁴⁶⁸ Embassy to Chagan: cf. Theod. Sync. 306. 20-5; G. Pisid. BA 311-27. At the same time as resisting Avar assaults, the Romans attempted to restore good relations with the Chagan and so create a favourable climate for the siege to be ended.

Ambassadors: a distinguished group. Theodore the *commerciarius* (a customs officer, Bury, *IA* 88), and the logothete (cf. n. 403) Theodosius were financial officials; Theodore *syncellus* (or cell-mate of the patriarch), is commonly accepted to be the author of the contemporary homily on the siege; the patrician Athanasius had already served on an embassy to the Chagan (CP pp. 718. 4-719. 4). On *commerciarii*, see H. Antoniadis-Bibicou, *Recherches sur les douanes à Byzance* (Paris 1963), chs. 6-7; 'twoad†', *την ἰσταν*, is unexplained.

army is arrived here and our most pious lord is in their country, utterly destroying it.' Then one of the Persians was infuriated and in the presence of the Chagan insulted the said most glorious George, and he himself replied to him, 'It is not you who insult me, but the Chagan.' But the most glorious officials who had come out to him also said this to the Chagan, 'Although you have such great hordes, you need Persian help.' And he said, 'If I wish, they will provide me with men in alliance, for they are my friends.' And again our officials said to him, 'We will never relinquish the city, for we came out to you in the expectation of discussing something material. So if you do not wish to discuss with us peace proposals, dismiss us.' And he dismissed them.⁴⁶⁹

Straightway, during the night preceding the Lord's Day, through the efficacy of the good and mercy-loving God, the same Persians who had been on the embassy to the Chagan, while they were crossing over to Chrysopolis by way of Chalaë, encountered our skiffs, in which there were also some of those from the orphanage. And one of these Persians was found after he had thrown himself into a small skiff known as a *sandalos*, face down and beneath the coverings, and was crossing over to Chrysopolis thus; but the sailor who was in this skiff and was steering it, adroitly signalled to those from the [p.723] orphanage who pulled back and removed the coverings, and found this Persian unharmed and lying face down; they slew him and removed his head. They overpowered the other two Persians along with the sailor as well, while they were crossing over in another boat, and these they brought at dawn to the wall.⁴⁷⁰ Our

⁴⁶⁹ Co-operation between Avars and Persians: cf. Theod. Sync. 306. 26-307. 11 (an eye-witness account); G. Pisid. BA 328-54. In view of the large numbers of troops at the Avars' disposal, the presence of the Persians at the embassy was intended partly to demonstrate Cpl.'s utter helplessness, which the Chagan hoped to impress on the Roman envoys. However, the Persians could also contribute their expertise at siege warfare, and the Chagan made a treaty with the Persians to convey them across the Bosphorus in Slav canoes (Theod. Sync. 307. 1-7, and cf. n. 458, for Persian-Avar co-operation).

CP's detailed account of the diplomatic exchanges suggests a first-hand informant.

⁴⁷⁰ Capture of envoys: cf. Theod. Sync. 308. 1-2, for a vague allusion. After the unsuccessful return of the embassy, the Romans kept a close watch on the sea to prevent further Avar-Persian communications (G. Pisid. BA 355-65).

Chalaë: modern Bebek, on the west side of the Bosphorus at one of its narrowest points (Janin, *Const.* 470). The Persians were probably being returned via Galata, which the Avars controlled.

Orphanage: the identity is unknown; the best-attested orphanage in Cpl.,

men chopped off the two hands of one of the surviving Persians, tied round his neck the head of the man slain in the skiff, and sent him to the Chagan. The other was thrown into a skiff and taken off alive to Chalcedon; when he had been exhibited to the Persians our men beheaded him just as he was in the skiff, and threw his head onto land with a message that read like this: 'The Chagan, after making terms with us, sent us the ambassadors who were dispatched to him by you; two of them we have beheaded in the city, while look! you have the head of the other.'⁴⁷¹

On the same Lord's Day the accursed Chagan set out for Chalae and put to sea canoes which were intended to set out for the opposite side and bring the Persians to him, in accordance with their promise. When this was known, in the evening about

that of St. Paul located on the acropolis (Janin, *Églises* 567-8; Mango, *Const.* 34), was an official institution presided over by an important cleric; see Dagron, *Naissance* 511-12; Bury, *IA* 103-5. Alternatively Pertusi (*Giorgio* 220) identified the orphanage as that connected with the leper house of Zoticus in Galata. The Patriarch Sergius had been superintendant of poor relief prior to his appointment (*CP s.a.* 610), and may have had a long-standing connection with the orphanage(s). It would appear that in the 626 crisis the beneficiaries of the orphanage had been commandeered to assist in the defence of the city by patrolling the Bosphorus to intercept communications between Persians and Avars.

⁴⁷¹ Treatment of Persians: Mango ('*Deux Études*' 107-9) has suggested that the Persian commander Shahvaraz had already been suborned or deceived into disobedience to Khusro by Heraclius (see Theoph. 323. 22-324. 16 and Michael the Syrian xi. 3; Nic. 16. 26-17. 15 has a different version), and that he made no attempt to participate in the siege. The evidence of *CP* suggests that this is unlikely. Shahvaraz ravaged Chalcedon, attempted to link up with the Avars, and sent envoys who promised alliance, reinforced the Avars' self-confidence, and engaged in mutual insults with the Roman representative George; when the Romans intercepted Persian-Avar contacts they mutilated or killed the Persian representatives, and then pretended to Shahvaraz that the Avar Chagan had double-crossed him (*CP* pp. 716. 20-1; 718. 3-4, cf. 723. 15-19; 721. 10-722. 7; 722. 14-723. 15). Such actions are improbable unless Shahvaraz was still perceived by Avars and Romans as a party to the conflict.

The factor which prevented his participation in the siege was the Bosphorus (cf. Theod. Sync. 300. 30-2): the Persians did not have a reputation as sailors, and there is no evidence that Shahvaraz's experience extended to ships; it is also likely that most boats available on the Asiatic shore (e.g. fishing vessels) had been commandeered by refugees fleeing as the Persians approached (or removed by the Roman fleet). At some point towards the end of the war Shahvaraz's loyalty to Khusro was undermined, but the earliest plausible occasion is perhaps the return to Cpl. of the victorious Theodore, Heraclius' brother, at the end of the Avar siege (*CP* p. 726. 7-8) when news and messages might have been passed by him to Shahvaraz.

70 of our skiffs sailed up towards Chalaë, even though the wind was against them, so as to prevent the canoes from crossing over. And towards evening the accursed Chagan retired [p.724] to the vicinity of the wall, and some food and wine were sent to him from the city. Hermitzis, commander of the Avars, came to the gate, saying, 'You have committed a grave deed in killing those who ate with the Chagan yesterday, and furthermore in sending him the head and the other with his hands cut off.' But they said, 'We are not concerned about him.' In the night then, as Monday was dawning, their canoes were able to escape our watch and cross to them...⁴⁷²

...They sank them and slew all the Slavs found in the canoes. And the Armenians too came out from the wall of Blachernae and threw fire into the portico which is near St. Nicholas. And the Slavs who had escaped by diving from the canoes thought, because of the fire, that those positioned by the sea were Avars, and when they came out there they were slain by the Armenians. A few other Slavs who had escaped by diving, and who came out in the region where the godless Chagan was positioned, were slain at his injunction. And at God's command through the intercession of our Lady the Mother of God, in a single instant, calamity at sea came to him.⁴⁷³ Our men drove all the canoes

⁴⁷² Attempt to ferry across Persians: cf. Theod. Sync. 307. 8-308. 2 (attributing failure to divine intervention). Although the Persians on the Asiatic shore were visible to the Avars, they were so unskilled in nautical matters that they had to await the arrival of Slav canoes before attempting to slip across the Bosphorus by night. The canoes reached the Asiatic shore (perhaps while the Roman ships were delayed by a head wind), but their subsequent manoeuvres, encumbered by Persian passengers, were thwarted by the Roman fleet; according to Sebeos (ch. 26, p. 79) 4,000 Persians perished in this naval engagement.

Hermitzis: the Hermi were an element in the Avar federation (H. W. Haussig, 'Theophylaktos Exkurs über die skythischen Völker', *Byz.* xxiii, 1953, 275-462 at 424-5).

Lacuna: the words 'to them' (*πρὸς τοὺς*, a noun is probably lost) end a folio in the Vatican MS: at this point one folio is missing (Mercati, 'Study' 409-10), and the phrase 'they sank...' refers to the Roman defeat of the subsequent Slav naval attack down the Golden Horn (see n. 473). In the intervening days, the Chagan made preparations for a concerted land and sea attack; on Wednesday (6 Aug.) the Romans repulsed an attack on the walls (Theod. Sync. 308. 2-28).

⁴⁷³ Naval attack: Thursday 7 Aug.; cf. Theod. Sync. 310. 38-40 for day and date, and for more impressionistic accounts, *ibid.* 311. 7-312. 5, G. Pisid. *BA* 441-74 (the latter including details of ploys used by shipwrecked Slavs to escape

onto the land, and after this had happened, the accursed [p.725] Chagan retired to his rampart, took away from the wall the siege engines which he had set beside it and the palisade which he had constructed, and began to dismantle the siege towers which he had constructed: by night he burnt his palisade and the siege towers and the mantelets, after removing the hides, and retreated.⁴⁷⁴

Some people said that the Slavs, when they saw what had happened, withdrew and retreated, and for this reason the cursed Chagan was also forced to retreat and follow them.⁴⁷⁵

And this is what the godless Chagan said at the moment of the destruction; *ibid.* 409-12, Bulgars on Slav boats). The attack was concentrated in the Golden Horn, on which side the city was probably not protected by a wall (Mango, *Const.* 25 n. 12; cf. above n. 243).

CP's account of the attack is lost in the textual lacuna, but Nicephorus supplies additional evidence (18. 6-23): the Avars hoped that the appearance of the Slav flotilla would cause confusion within the city and allow them to overrun the walls (cf. Theod. Sync. 311. 10-12). The Romans had advance knowledge of the plan of attack and organized their fleet accordingly; the Slavs were misled into launching their assault too soon when the Romans anticipated Avar fire signals from Blachernae (Speck, *Bellum Avaricum* n. 106 at 92-3, unnecessarily doubts this). The Slav boats were destroyed (cf. *Miracula S. Demetrii* secs. 189-91 for a comparable Slav disaster at Thessalonica); survivors who struggled ashore near Blachernae (where they expected to find Avar besiegers) were killed both by the Roman defenders (Armenians, who were probably among the reinforcements sent by Heraclius; cf. n. 461), and by the enraged Chagan. Nicephorus (18. 21-3) mentions that the Slav dead included women.

St. Nicholas: cf. n. 467. The location of the Roman triumph near the church of the Virgin at Blachernae gave rise to stories of divine intervention (see n. 476). According to George of Pisidia (BA 403-6) the Avars had initially captured Blachernae, and the Armenians were probably trying to drive them back.

⁴⁷⁴ End of siege: Theodore Syncellus records that the Romans collected and burnt the enemies' corpses and canoes, displayed the victims' heads on the walls, and began to sally forth from the city (312. 5-18); 'the guardian of public affairs' (Bonus) had to restrain citizens from rushing out to fire the Avars' engines, and the Theotokos arranged for the Chagan to burn his own machines (312. 19-39).

⁴⁷⁵ Postulated transposition: Speck (*Bellum Avaricum* 31-48) has claimed that much of this and the following two paragraphs (CP pp. 725. 6-726. 10), as well as the notice about the Blachernae wall (*s.a.* 627), has been transposed from its proper connection with the Avar surprise (CP *s.a.* 623). The arguments are unconvincing: (i) the Slavs are not in fact prominent in the earlier surprise attack, whereas the humiliation of the Chagan now prompted them to rebel; the reasons given for the retreat of the Slavs and the Chagan are entirely appropriate to 626, but not to 623 (cf. n. 478). (ii) For the destruction of churches and the Blachernae wall, see nn. 478 and 481. (iii) The reference to the recent arrival of the emperor's brother (CP p. 726. 7-8) must relate to 626, as Speck 43-4 concedes, but this undermines his theory of the transposition of a folio from the Avar surprise to the end of the 626 siege.

battle: 'I see a woman in stately dress rushing about on the wall all alone.'⁴⁷⁶ When he was on the point of retreating, he declared, 'Do not imagine that I am retreating because of fear, but because I am constrained for provisions and did not attack you at an opportune moment. I am departing to pay attention to supplies, and will return intending to do to you whatever you have accomplished against me.'⁴⁷⁷

On the Friday a rearguard of cavalry remained in the vicinity of the wall, setting fire to many suburbs on the same day up till hour 7; and they withdrew. They burnt both the church of SS Cosmas and Damian at Blachernae and the church of St. Nicholas and all the surrounding areas. However, after approaching the church of our Lady [p.726] the Mother of God and the Holy Reliquary, the enemy were completely unable to damage any of the things there, since God showed favour, at the intercession of his undefiled Mother.⁴⁷⁸ And he requested the

⁴⁷⁶ Divine assistance: a key element in Theodore Syncellus' account of the siege (e.g. 304. 4-16, icons of the Virgin set at the gates by Sergius; 311. 17-35, the Virgin sinks the Slav fleet at Blachernae). Nicephorus (18. 4-6) alludes to divine destruction of Avar siege towers, and a subsequent thanksgiving at Blachernae (18. 24-7, cf. Theod. Syn. 320. 10-15, *Anth. Graec.* i. 120-1); Cedrenus (i. 728. 23-729. 12) reports a phantom embassy by a distinguished woman who was mistaken for the empress. The Chagan's mention of his vision is inserted here to confirm the Virgin's intervention; cf. *Miracula S. Demetrii* secs. 222, 260-3 for Demetrius terrifying Slavs at Thessalonica; also *CP s.a.* 350 (Shapur at Nisibis), Zos. v. 6, Evag. iv. 28, Theophylact vi. 5. 7 for comparable apparitions during sieges; and H. Chadwick, *JThS* xxv, 1974, 65 n. 5 for visions of the Virgin as a woman in purple.

During the siege Sergius had maintained the morale of defenders (e.g. Theod. Sync. 303. 14-32), with the Virgin Mary and her precious relics at Blachernae taking pride of place. See N. H. Baynes, 'The Supernatural Defenders of Constantinople', *Byzantine Studies* (London 1955), 248-60; Averil Cameron, 'Robe', and ead. 'Theotokos', although note the cautionary remarks of Speck (*Bellum Avaricum* n. 189 at 105-7), who stresses the pre-eminence of Christ.

⁴⁷⁷ Avar withdrawal: cf. Theod. Sync. 313. 5-13. After the humiliating failure of his attempts (cf. Theoph. 316. 24), the Chagan needed to restore his authority over the Avar federation, which was in danger of disintegration as Slavs (and other subjects) rebelled, cf. Whitby, *Maurice* 184-6. The Chagan used shortage of supplies as an excuse for withdrawal; this has been doubted by Stratos (*Byzantium* 192-5) who regards it as no more than a face-saving formula: however, organization of food supplies was frequently as much of a problem for besiegers as for the besieged (e.g. Malchus fr. 2, lines 20-1), and the Avars were known to be troubled by supply shortages (Maurice, *Strategicon* xi. 2. 66-7, p. 364), so the Chagan's excuse may have been true.

⁴⁷⁸ Destruction of churches: SS Cosmas and Damian near Eyüp had already been ravaged, although not burnt, by the Avars in 623, see n. 451. St. Nicholas: cf. n. 467.

most glorious *commerciarius* to converse with him,⁴⁷⁹ and Bonus the all-praiseworthy *magister* declared this to him: 'Until the present I had the power to talk and make terms with you. But now the brother of our most pious lord has arrived together with the God-protected army. And look! he is crossing over and pursuing you as far as your territory. And there you can talk with one another.'⁴⁸⁰

627 Indiction 15, year 17, the 16th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 15 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In this year was built the wall around the church of our Lady the Mother of God, outside the so-called Pteron.⁴⁸¹

Church of our Lady: the Blachernae church (Janin, *Églises* 161-71), although unprotected by a wall (Proc. *Bld.* i. 3. 3; cf. n. 481), survived the siege, and the Slav naval assault was defeated in its vicinity; the adjacent chapel of the Holy Reliquary contained the relics of the Virgin deposited by Leo I, see C. Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine Empire 312-1453* (Englewood Cliffs 1972), 34-5; Theophylact viii 5. 1-2 with n. 22 (Whitby).

Speck claimed (cf. n. 475) that this account of church burning has been switched from the earlier Avar attack (*CP s.a.* 623), but there is no sign of a break in the narrative of events in 623, where the reference to ravaging is directly linked to the transport of booty back to the Danube (*CP* p. 713. 9-14). The account here of specific burnings is quite compatible with general references in other sources to burnings at the start of the siege, which probably relate to buildings on the Avars' line of advance from Melantias (Theod. Sync. 304. 32-5: churches, all imperial and private property; Nic. 17. 25-6: all suburbs).

⁴⁷⁹ *Commerciarius*: probably the Theodore who participated in George's earlier embassy to the Chagan (p. 721. 7); cf. n. 468. It would be natural for the Chagan to ask for a negotiator whom he already knew (Speck, *Bellum Avaricum* 44).

⁴⁸⁰ Reinforcements: their arrival, probably under the command of Theodore brother of Heraclius (cf. n. 461), would have boosted Roman morale. Stratos ('Attack' 375-6) claimed that a Roman army chased the Avars back to the Danube, but there is no evidence that Bonus' threat to the Chagan of pursuit by the emperor's brother was carried out: the presence of a Byzantine commander at Belgrade at some time in Heraclius' reign, probably c. 630 (Const. Porph. *DAI* 32. 19-20) does not prove that there was an immediate pursuit.

The movements of the Persian army at Chalcedon are uncertain: according to Theophanes (316. 25-7) they remained there throughout the winter; Theodore Syncellus says (313. 14-27) that they continued to besiege Chalcedon for a further period before withdrawing in shame.

⁴⁸¹ 627 Blachernae defences: the suburb of Blachernae was defended by a bulge at the north-west end of the main Theodosian walls. This outwork, known as the Pteron (Nic. 18. 9) did not incorporate the famous church to the Virgin (cf. n. 478). Nicephorus 18. 27-19. 1 confirms that the wall was extended to include the Virgin's church after the siege of 626. For discussion of the fortifications in the vicinity of Blachernae, see Mango, 'Fourteenth Region' 1-3; Müller-Wiener,

In this year in the month Artemisius, on May 11th according to the Romans, there died Bonus of celebrated memory, who had been *magister*, and his body was laid to rest in the revered monastery of [p.727] St. John the Forerunner and Baptist, the one named that of Studius, near the Golden Gate.⁴⁸²

Olympiad 352

628 Indiction 1, year 18, the 17th post-consulship of Heraclius Augustus.

And from 22nd inclusive of the month January it is recorded as year 16 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine.

In the 18th year of the reign of Heraclius, and post-consulship 17, and in year 16 of the reign of Heraclius II Constantine his son, on the 15th of the month May, indiction 1, a Sunday, at the holy Pentecost itself, from the *ambo* in the most holy Great Church were read out dispatches which had been sent from the eastern regions by Heraclius our most pious emperor, which announced the fall of Chosroes and the proclamation of Seiroe as the Persian king. They were as follows:⁴⁸³

'Let all the earth raise a cry to God; serve the Lord in gladness, enter into his presence in exultation, and recognize that God is Lord indeed. It is he who has made us and not we ourselves. We are his people and sheep of his pasture. Enter into his courts

Bildlexikon 301-7, also pl. 275; the treatment in Tsangadas, *Fortifications* ch. 4, is not entirely clear or accurate.

The Vatican MS has the following version of this notice inserted in the margin by a later hand: 'In the 15th year [*sic*] of the reign of Heraclius was built the wall outside Blachernae, and the temple of the all-holy Mother of God was enclosed inside, together with the Holy Reliquary; for previously it was outside the wall.' The incorrect regnal year (15th year = 624/5) is an error derived from the correct indiction date of the main notice. However, Speck (*Bellum Avaricum* 34-41) has asserted that this extra defence was in fact built before the siege, in direct response to the earlier Avar surprise (*CP s.a.* 623), when precious relics had hurriedly to be removed from the church (cf. n. 451). The claim, contradicted independently by *CP* and Nicephorus, is weakly based, and is entirely dependent on the implausible theory that material connected with the 626 siege has been transposed from the earlier Avar attack (cf. n. 475).

⁴⁸² Bonus: co-regent with Sergius during Heraclius' absence, see n. 461. Church of St. John: see Janin, *Églises* 430-40, and cf. n. 284.

⁴⁸³ 628 Heraclius' victory dispatch: characteristically *CP*'s only detailed reference to Heraclius' Persian campaigns is occasioned by the public reading of his victory dispatch in the capital. The magnitude of the occasion is signified by the elaborate dating formula; the scribe of the Vatican MS carefully arranged the passage following the date as an ornamental heading. For the use of St. Sophia for the delivery of this dispatch, cf. n. 456.

with hymns and give thanks to him. Praise his name because Christ is Lord, his mercy is unto eternity, his truth for generation upon generation. Let the heavens be joyful [p.728] and the earth exult and the sea be glad, and all that is in them.⁴⁸⁴

And let all we Christians, praising and glorifying, give thanks to the one God, rejoicing with great joy in his holy name. For fallen is the arrogant Chosroes, opponent of God. He is fallen and cast down to the depths of the earth, and his memory is utterly exterminated from earth; he who was exalted and spoke injustice in arrogance and contempt against our Lord Jesus Christ the true God and his undefiled Mother, our blessed Lady, Mother of God and ever-Virgin Mary, perished is the profaner with a resounding noise. His labour has turned back upon his head, and upon his brow has his injustice descended. For on the 24th of the past month February, of the current first tax period, disturbance came to him at the hands of Seiroe his first-born son, just as we signified to you in our other missive. And all the Persian officials and troops who were there, along with all the army that had been amassed from diverse places by the cursed Chosroes, gathered to the side of Seiroe, together also with Gurdanaspa, the former commander of the Persian army. That God-abhorred Chosroes proposed to resort to flight and, being arrested, was cast in bonds into the new fort which had been built by him for protecting the wealth amassed by him.

[p.729] 'And on the 25th of the same month February, Seiroe was crowned and proclaimed Persian king, and on the 28th of the same month, after keeping the God-abhorred Chosroes bound in irons for 4 days in utter agony, he killed the same ingrate, arrogant, blaspheming opponent of God by a most cruel death, so that he might know that Jesus who was born of Mary, who was crucified by the Jews (as he himself had written) against whom he blasphemed, is God almighty; and he requited

⁴⁸⁴ Biblical exultation: cf. Psalm 99 (100). The triumphant Biblical tone reflects Heraclius' presentation of his Persian campaign as a crusade, in response to Khusrō's attitude to the conflict: although there were influential Christians at the Persian court (e.g. the financier Yazdin, the doctor Gabriel of Sinjar, as well as the family of Shahvaraz, for which see Mango, 'Deux Études' 105-17), Khusrō had set out to triumph over Christianity (e.g. Theoph. 301. 22-4), or at least orthodox Chalcedonian Christianity (Agapius of Membij 460). Heraclius, taking up the religious challenge, had used the celebration of Easter in 622 as the ceremonial start for his Persian campaigns; his second departure to the east was similarly highlighted by a religious festival, cf. *CP s.a.* 624 with n. 452.

The sermon of Dometianus of Melitene at Theophylact iv. 16 has a similar tone, and its composition was probably influenced by Heraclius' dispatch, see Whitby, *Maurice* 334-5.

him in accordance with what we had written to him. And thus perished in this life that opponent of God, but he departed on the path of Judas Iscariot, the man who heard from our almighty God, "It were good for that man not to have been born"; he departed to the unquenchable fire which had been prepared for Satan and his peers.⁴⁸⁵

'In our other missive which came to you from us from our camp near Canzacon, which contained moves from the 17th of the month October until the 15th of the month March, we signified how God and our Lady the Mother of God collaborated with us and our Christ-loving contingents beyond mortal understanding, and how the God-abhorred and execrated Chosroes fled our presence, from Dastagard to Ctesiphon, how his palaces, along with many provinces of the [p.730] Persian state were destroyed, and that by this means Seiroe was enabled to make the move against him.⁴⁸⁶ After we had produced that missive

⁴⁸⁵ Overthrow of Khusro: the Biblical tone of the dispatch continues, quoting Ev. Matt. 26. 24 on Judas. For other more detailed accounts, cf. Theoph. 317. 11-327. 10, Sebeos chs. 26-7, and Anon. *Guidi* 26-8. In autumn 627 Heraclius, advancing from the north, had defeated the main Persian army under Rhazates in Mesopotamia near Nineveh, and had then pressed south to reach Dastagard, Khusro's preferred palace, at the beginning of Jan. Khusro meantime had fled to Ctesiphon, and then across the Tigris where he was incapacitated by dysentery, but the Roman victories, coupled with the extensive ravaging of central districts of Persia both in Azerbaijan and Beth Aramaye, had aroused discontent among his entourage. This crystallized the ambitions of his eldest son Shiroe (Seiroe), who was jealous of the favours lavished on the younger Merdanshah, the son of Khusro's favourite wife, the Christian Shirin; consequently Shiroe began to organize a coup and opened negotiations with Heraclius (Theoph. 325. 10-326. 24), using as intermediary the nobleman Aspad-gusnasp (Gurdanaspa or Gusdanaspa in CP), whose father was probably the general Rahzadh (Rhazates) killed in the battle at Nineveh in 627 (cf. CP p. 731. 8). Heraclius encouraged the plotters; they captured Khusro in a palace garden and imprisoned him in a royal treasury (Theoph. 326. 25-327. 3; Anon. *Guidi* 27, with Tabari pp. 362ff for the location named as the house of Mihraspend); there two Persian nobles, Shamta and Nehormizd, slew him to avenge their fathers (Anon. *Guidi* 28).

⁴⁸⁶ Heraclius' strategy: during this plotting, Heraclius had withdrawn his army from Mesopotamia, where further advance had been prevented by Khusro's destruction of key bridges, to Siarsurae (modern Suleimaniyeh) where he spent much of Feb. ravaging the vicinity (Theoph. 325. 5-8). He crossed the Zagros (Zara) probably by the Shirwan pass (rather than via Rowanduz and the Keli Shin pass, further north and higher) to Azerbaijan; in early March he established winter quarters at Canzacon, traditionally located at Takht-i Suleiman, where there are remains of a substantial fire temple with a strong fortress and more ruins outside the walls (H. C. Rawlinson, 'Notes on a Journey from Tabriz...', *Jour. Royal Geog. Soc.* x, 1840, 1-64 at 46-57, and 'Memoir on the Site of Atropatenian Ecbatana', *ibid.* pp. 65-158; Stratos, *Byzantium* 366, has questioned

and dispatched it on the 15th of the present month March, while we were concerned with the discovery of the sequence of events as regards Chosroes and Seiroe and we were sending to diverse places, both as far as Siarsurae and the Lesser Zab, Chalcas and Iesdem, along the two routes both from our most fortunate contingents and from the Saracens who are subject to our Christ-loving state, for this reason that, as has been said, we might know accurately what moves had been made there,⁴⁸⁷ on the 24th of the same month March the men of the watch brought to us in our camp near Canzacon one Persian and one Armenian, who delivered to us a memorandum which came to us from a certain Persian *a secretis*, whose name was Chosdae and rank Rasnan; its contents were that, following Seiroe's proclamation as Persian king, he dismissed him to us, along with other officials and a memorandum which came to us from Seiroe himself; that when Chosdae came to Arman, he resolved to dismiss to us the aforementioned two men, so that some men might be sent in order to escort himself and those with him unharmed; it was made known to us that because he had seen many Persian corpses on the journey who had been killed by our most fortunate [p.731] army, about 3,000 corpses after the Narban, he was terrified as a result of this and was afraid to come to us without the escorts.⁴⁸⁸

this, but on inadequate grounds). Canzacon was an administrative as well as a religious centre, serving as the seat of the local governor; Heraclius had rested his army there in 624 (Theoph. 307. 31-308. 1), and it was presumably a good place for collecting supplies.

From Canzacon Heraclius had sent to Cpl. a report covering his victorious autumn campaign and events down to the start of the coup against Khusro (but not including Khusro's death). The present letter provided the next instalment.

⁴⁸⁷ Intelligence missions: news filtered slowly across the snow-bound passes over the Zagros, and to collect information Heraclius sent out missions, which returned to Persia in a south-westerly direction towards the valley of the Lesser Zab.

Chalcas: perhaps identical with Karkha d-beth Slokh (modern Kirkuk), the main town of the Lesser Zab. Iesdem: an area named after Yazdin, Khusro's senior finance minister, and located near Karkha d-beth Slokh.

'Two routes': the reference is unclear. In the 6th c. the main Saracen allies of the Romans had been the Ghassanids, whose territory extended south from the Euphrates along the eastern fringes of Syria and Palestine; although most of Heraclius' campaigns had been conducted in the mountains of Armenia and Azerbaijan, it is possible that he had re-established contact with pro-Roman tribes in Mesopotamia and used them to threaten the Persians from two directions at once.

⁴⁸⁸ Persian embassy: confirmation of developments in Mesopotamia was only obtained when an official Persian messenger, Chosdae, arrived. Razban (Rasnan):

'And on the 25th of the same month March we dismissed to them Elias the most glorious *magister militum*, who is called Barsoka, and Theodotus the most magnificent *drungarius*, together with recruits and 20 saddled pack-horses, in order to meet and escort them to us. With them we resolved to send as well Gusdanaspa the son of Rhazes, the chiliarch of the Persian army, who had come to us when the disturbance took place between Seiroe and Chosroes.⁴⁸⁹

And on the 30th of the month March in our same camp near Canzacon we received a dispatch from Elias and Theodotus and Gusdanaspa to the effect that they had found severe winter at the mountain of Zara, that they had taken from the forts Persians and animals and thus cut through the snows; that it had been made known to them that the ambassadors who had been sent by Seiroe the king were nearby in those regions, but that they had been unable to cross the mountain of Zara because of the coming of severe winter. As a result of this we and all the men of our Christ-loving contingents knew even more that the favour and goodness of God had guided us and guides and escorts us. For if it had happened that we had lingered [p.732] for a few days in the regions of Zara and then winter had come like this, our most fortunate contingents could have come to great harm, since such great provisions were not available in those parts: for from the time when we moved from Siarsurae, that is from the 24th of the month February until the 30th of the month March, it did not cease snowing. But by God's aid we came to the regions of Canzacon and found plentiful supplies, both for men and animals, and we have stayed in this city of Canzacon (which lacks nothing and has about 3,000 houses) and in the neighbouring districts, and hence we were able to reside for so many days in one place. And we commanded the men of our Christ-loving contingents that they should put their animals into the houses of the city on account of the winter that had come, and that each one of them should have no more than one horse at our camp: for our rampart is close to this city. For the messenger; *a secretis*: secretary.

Arman: presumably located on the south-west flank of the Zagros. Narban: the Nahr Wahn canal, only 12 miles from Ctesiphon, which marked the furthest point of Heraclius' advance in Jan. 628.

⁴⁸⁹ *Drungarius*: a middle-ranking military officer, possibly in charge of the watch, see Bury, *IA* 41-3, 61-2; this is a very early reference to the position. Gusdanaspa: cf. n. 485.

At p. 731. 10 the Bonn text mistakenly reads *μετὰ τοῦ* ('with the') for the MS *μεταξὺ* ('between').

commandant of the city of Canzacon and all its men of property had withdrawn and retired to more secure fortresses in the mountainous parts when they learnt that we had crossed the mountain of Zara.

'When we received the two men who had been sent from Seiroe the Persian king, we dismissed one of them, namely the Persian, with some other men as well to the commandant of Canzacon, who was in a strong fort 40 miles away, and [p.733] we made him a memorandum that he should make ready about 60 animals on behalf of the ambassadors, so that they might speedily and without impediment depart to Seiroe the Persian king. And when the commandant had received the men sent by us and our said memorandum, for many hours both he and all those who were present there praised both us and Seiroe the Persian king. For they were assured by the Persian who had been sent by the ambassador that the opponent of God Chosroes had perished and that Seiroe had become Persian king. And the same commandant wrote back to us that with regard to our instructions to him, he was making ready the ambassadors' animals and that, whenever he received a second missive from us in which the arrival of the ambassadors was contained, the commandant himself would come personally to us, bringing the said animals, in order to perform all duty and servitude.

'When we had resided in this camp near Canzacon until the third of the month April, about the second hour there arrived Phaiak the *a secretis*, who is also called Rasnan, it being the Lord's Day. And at the same hour we received him, and he gave us a memorandum from Kabates, who is also called Seiroe, the most clement Persian king, which contained his proclamation and his desire to have peace with us and with every man. We therefore resolved to append a copy of the memorandum of Kabates, who is also called Seiroe the most clement, [p.734] to our present missive, and then likewise to append also the reply from us to him. Until the seventh of the month April, that is for 27 days, we resided in our same camp near Canzacon, and on the eighth of the same month we dismissed the same Phaiak the *a secretis*, who is also called Rasnan; so far as was in our power we had attended on him and all those with him, since he also had with him personages of good repute. And with him we dismissed Eustathius the most magnificent *tabularius*. And thus we have confidence in our Lord Jesus Christ, the good and almighty God, and in our Lady the Mother of God, that they will direct all our affairs in accordance with their goodness.

'And on the 8th of the same month, with God's aid we moved

from our camp in order to make our way to Armenia. But may you prosper, praying incessantly and fervently on our behalf that God may deem us worthy of seeing you, as we desire.'⁴⁹⁰

[p.735] *Copy of memorandum which came from Kabates, who is also called Seiroe, the most clement Persian king, to Heraclius our most pious and God-guarded emperor.*

'From Kabates Sadasadasach to Heraclius the most clement Roman emperor, our brother.

'We impart greatest joy to the most clement Roman emperor, our brother.

'Through the protection of God we have by good fortune been adorned with the great diadem, and have gained possession of the throne of our fathers and ancestors. Therefore, because we have thus beneficently been deemed worthy by God of gaining the said throne and lordship, if there is anything for the benefit and service of mankind, we have resolved to accomplish this in so far as it is in our power and, as was proper, we have beneficently ordered it to be done. Since God sanctified us for such a great throne and lordship, we have the intention of releasing each and every man who is confined in prison. And thereafter, if there is anything for the benefit and service of mankind and of this state, and it was possible that it be ordered by us, this we have ordered, [p.736] and it has been done. And we have this intention that we should live in peace and love with you, the emperor of the Romans and our brother, and the Roman state and the remaining nations and other princes who surround our state. Because of the fact that your fraternity the emperor of the Romans was gladdened by our gaining possession of the same throne,...'⁴⁹¹

⁴⁹⁰ Embassy of Phaiak: the local Persian commandant (the Greek *βασιλευς*, translated 'commandant', is a rendition of the Persian title Marzpan) had prudently awaited confirmation of the change in Persian monarch, which was now brought by Phaiak. For *a secretis* and Rasnan, cf. n. 488. *Tabularius*: a military accountant, Jones, *LRE* 564-5.

At p. 734. *ἡ ἐπεποιήσαμεν* ('resided') should be read instead of *ἐποίησαμεν* ('made').

⁴⁹¹ Persian letter: The last pages of the MS of *CP* are badly damaged and the text deteriorates into increasingly disjointed fragments. The most ambitious attempt at restoration is by N. Oikonomides, 'Correspondence between Heraclius and Kavādh-Siroé in the Paschal Chronicle (628)' *Byz.* xli, 1971, 269-81, who has identified and reconstructed part of Heraclius' reply to Shiroe's letter.

It is notable that Shiroe addresses Heraclius as a brother, implying equality; this recalls Khusro II's approach to Maurice in 590, when a Persian king in a

similarly weak position had been prepared to talk in terms of equality with the Romans and not to stress the Persians' traditional belief in their own superiority (cf. Whitby, *Maurice* 204-6 for the diplomatic vocabulary). Shiroe's references to a God in the singular were probably intended to suggest that he was favourably disposed to Christianity, possibly even a candidate for conversion, but Khusro II in 590 had used comparable ambivalent language to elicit Roman help (Theophylact iv. 11). In reply, Heraclius addressed Shiroe as 'son' and, apart from welcoming the Persian approach, he probably demanded the restoration of the Holy Cross and asked about the fate of the Roman ambassadors sent to Khusro in 615 (Nic. 20. 5-20). For Shiroe's promise to release prisoners, cf. Theoph. 326. 20-4, and 327. 10-16 for Shiroe's letter and settlement.

Heraclius' ambition was to achieve a secure settlement with the new Persian monarch, and possibly to install a Christian on the Persian throne. These aims were briefly achieved when the Christian Shahvaraz (the general who had operated against the Romans at Chalcedon in 626) seized the throne, but the rapid turnover of Persian monarchs undermined stability; see Mango, 'Deux Études' 105-18. Shiroe reigned for 6 or 7 months, his young son Ardashir for a few months, Shahvaraz for 40 days, and Boran the wife of Shiroe and daughter of Khusro for less than 2 years. In 631 Yazdgard the last Sassanian king succeeded, but had to overcome two rivals before confronting the Arab invasions.